



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

### Evaluation of Antiviral Activity of *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) Bark Extract against Newcastle Disease Virus

M. Shahid Mahmood<sup>1</sup>, H Waqas Amir<sup>1</sup>, Rao Zahid Abbas<sup>2</sup>, Bilal Aslam<sup>3</sup> and Azhar Rafique<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Microbiology; <sup>2</sup>Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology; <sup>4</sup>Department of Zoology, GC University Faisalabad Pakistan

\*Corresponding author: azharrafique96@gmail.com

#### ARTICLE HISTORY (17-054)

Received: February 14, 2017  
Revised: April 12, 2017  
Accepted: April 18, 2017  
Published online: May 09, 2017

#### Key words:

Antiviral efficacy  
*Azadirachta indica*  
Embryonated chicken eggs  
Newcastle disease virus

#### ABSTRACT

In the present research, the *in-vitro* and *in-ovo* antiviral activity of Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) bark extract against Newcastle disease virus (NDV) was evaluated. Various dilutions of *Azadirachta indica* extract were used against NDV. *In-vitro* evaluation was done by performing spot assay and micro-heamagglutination test, while *in-ovo* antiviral activity was assessed by injecting the extracts in 11 days old embryonated eggs. During *in-vitro* evaluation, it was found that the stock solution and 1:2 dilution of Neem bark extract exhibit antiviral activity but at the same time these concentrations showed cytotoxic activity as well, while higher dilution (1:8) showed nonsignificant antiviral activity. Same was true for *in-ovo* evaluation, higher dilutions showed nonsignificant activity. Taking together, it may be concluded there was no significant difference in the antiviral effects of different concentrations of Neem bark extracts but the exposure time was a significant variable for cytotoxicity.

©2017 PVJ. All rights reserved

**To Cite This Article:** Mahmood MS, Amir HW, Abbas RZ, Rafique A and Aslam B, xxxx. Evaluation of antiviral activity of *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) bark extract against Newcastle disease virus. Pak Vet J, xx(x): xxx.

#### INTRODUCTION

Poultry is the second largest industry of Pakistan but its progress was hampered due to many bacterial and viral diseases which are major constraints for profitable poultry production (Ahmed *et al.*, 2009). Among viral diseases, the most significant is Newcastle disease (ND). Newcastle disease virus (NDV) is designated APMV-1.

NDV is grouped into five pathotypes (Alexander and Senne, 2008; Alexander, 2010), which include Viscerotropic, Neurotropic, Velogenic, Mesogenic and Lentogenic. Since its acknowledgment in 1926, ND is counted as being endemic in many countries. Regardless of vaccination, other prevention and control measures are necessary to prevent ND outbreaks. Every year, ND causes destruction of poultry industry in Pakistan and in this way affects the economy of country badly. Due to aerosol transmission and the resistance of the virus in the environment, NDV causes infection in other birds (Rasool *et al.*, 2015).

General toxicity of various antimicrobial agents is a serious concern that is why alternate antiviral therapies are now the area of interest for the virologists. The natural plant products exhibit an imperative role in disease management and control (Mahmood *et al.*, 2016).

Different effects posed by these products include microbial growth inhibition, antioxidant activity and genetic/molecular pathways modulation. Neem (*Azadirachta indica*), is a member of the family Meliaceae and commonly found in Pakistan, India, Nepal and Bangladesh. Various phyto-ingredients of *Azadirachta indica* including Limonoids, nimbin, nimbolide and due to these constituents Neem plays a satisfactory role in treatment of infectious diseases (Tiwari *et al.*, 2010; Rafique *et al.*, 2017). Aniseed has been reported to be immunostimulant against Newcastle disease virus (Mahmood *et al.*, 2014<sup>a,b</sup>). Quercetin and  $\beta$ -sitosterol were reported as first purified polyphenolic flavonoids from fresh neem leaves and were recognized as antimicrobial agents. Various spices and their oils have been used to treat several microbial infections (Naveed *et al.*, 2013<sup>a,b</sup>) and green tea extract also possesses antiviral effects (Aslam *et al.*, 2014). Various studies about the antiviral potential of Chinese medicinal herbs against many other viruses including NDV have also been reported (Li *et al.*, 2005).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Plant material:** The fresh bark was collected from Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) tree. The help of a botanist at the

Department of Botany University of Agriculture Faisalabad was sought for identification. The bark was shade dried and grounded into a coarse powder using a grinder (0.25 mm sieve).

The stock of Neem bark powder was dissolved in PBS in a 50 ml tube and kept on a rotatory shaker at 4°C overnight. Red-brown supernatant was obtained after centrifugation at 3000 rpm (10 min), filtered through 0.22µm membrane filter and stored at 4°C until used further (Tiwari *et al.*, 2010).

**Chicken Red Blood Cells (RBCs):** The chicken blood was taken in sterilized vacutainers (with anti-coagulant). The RBCs were washed and 1% RBCs suspension was prepared following Rasool *et al.*, (2015). These RBCs suspensions were used in Spot and Plate haemagglutination tests, respectively.

**Preparation of inoculum (2-fold dilution of virus and Neem bark extract):** A 0.5 ml of 4HAU of locally isolated and characterized NDV isolated from field outbreak and maintained at Institute of Microbiology was mixed separately with 0.5 ml of stock solution, 1:2, 1:4 and 1:8 dilutions of Neem bark extract in sterile eppendorf tubes and incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C. After incubation, 0.2 ml of each suspension was inoculated into a group of ten eggs and incubated for 3 days. Candling was done daily and observations were recorded. Allantoic fluid was harvested on 4<sup>th</sup> day for haemagglutination test (Mabiki *et al.*, 2013).

**In-vitro antiviral activity:** *In-vitro* antiviral activity was checked by incubating the NDV with different concentrations of Neem bark extract in eppendorf tubes. Spot Haemagglutination test with 25% RBCs suspension was conducted post-incubation along with negative control (NDV only) as described by Chen *et al.* (2013).

**Spot assay:** Spot assay was performed by using 25% chicken RBCs on glass slide (Beck *et al.*, 2009). A 50 µl of 25% chicken RBCs were mixed with 50 µl of pre-incubated NDV having different concentrations i.e., 1:2, 1:4 and 1:8 of Neem bark extract and observed for agglutination. Absence of agglutination of RBCs by virus was related with the antiviral activity of Neem bark extract.

**Micro-haemagglutination test:** Micro-haemagglutination test was performed by using the 1% RBCs in 96 well microtitration plate. A 50 µl of pre-incubated suspension of 4HAU of local Velogenic NDV and different concentrations of Neem bark extract were poured into the wells of microtitration plate and then 50 µl of 1% RBCs were poured in all wells containing the suspensions. The 1<sup>st</sup> well was used as virus control and 12<sup>th</sup> well as RBCs control. Inhibition of Haemagglutination activity of NDV (button formation) was related with antiviral activity of Neem bark extract (Siddique *et al.*, 2015).

**In-ovo antiviral and cytotoxicity activity:** Vero cells were propagated in minimal essential medium (MEM, Sigma, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). ND virus was grown in vero cells and cell free virus supernatant was separated and stored at -70°C for further use.

Maximum non-toxic concentration (MNTC) of neem extract and control (Amantadine, Sigma, USA) was estimated on the basis of alteration in cellular morphology (Swayne and King, 2003). Highest dilution of extract without any notifiable structural change in the cells up to 96 hours was considered as MNTC. The Embryonated Chicken Eggs (ECEs) were inoculated with MNTC, via allantoic route along with positive and negative control and incubated at 37°C with 70% humidity for 96 hours. Mean HA titer of infected eggs was calculated. (Ong *et al.*, 2014; Sood *et al.*, 2012).

In a separate experiment, *In-ovo* antiviral activity was evaluated in 9 to 11 day-old Embryonated Chicken Eggs (ECEs). For this purpose, two types of inoculums were prepared. The first inoculum was prepared by mixing 0.5 ml of virus with 0.5 ml of different concentrations i.e., 1:2, 1:4 and 1:8 of Neem bark extract.

The antiviral activity was determined through micro-haemagglutination test following (Lanre *et al.*, 2011; Mabiki *et al.*, 2013).

$$\% \text{ Mean embryo weight (MEW)} = \frac{\text{MEW of the harvested embryo from treated ECE}}{\text{MEW of the harvested embryo from untreated ECE}} \times 100$$

## RESULTS

**Spot Haemagglutination Test:** In Spot assay, stock solution of Neem bark extract and 1:2 dilutions inhibited the visible clumping / agglutination of chicken RBCs, which shows the antiviral activity. However, the higher dilution of 1:8 did not show antiviral activity (Table 1).

The stock solution, 1:2 and 1:4 dilution of Neem bark extract showed antiviral activity and blood discoloration has also been observed. The higher dilutions of Neem bark extract (1:8) neither showed agglutination of RBCs by NDV nor the change in color.

**Micro-haemagglutination test:** The results of Micro-haemagglutination test were similar to that of spot haemagglutination test. The first two wells of microtitration plate containing NDV treated with undiluted stock solution and 1:2 of Neem bark extract, respectively inhibited the haemagglutination property of virus and thus showed antiviral activity. From 1:4 up to 1:512 dilutions the Neem bark extract did not inhibit the haemagglutination property of virus showing no antiviral activity of Neem bark extract. In first three wells, there was a clear change in the color of RBCs suspension from bright red to straw/serum like color with neither agglutination nor button formation. The results of Micro-haemagglutination test are shown in Table 2, which describes that 1:4 dilution of the stock solution of Neem bark extract showed 75% reduction in haemagglutination titer of NDV whereas haemagglutination reduction was 100% in case of 1:8 dilution of Neem bark extract.

**In-ovo antiviral and Cytotoxicity Assay:** Neem bark extract were used for the MNTC cytotoxicity assay. Estimated MNTC for neem bark extract was 0.0783 mg/ml, whereas amantadine showed a MNTC of  $0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ . Results of reduction in HA titer of NDV in neem bark extract treated embryonated eggs are presented in table 1.

**Table 1:** *In-ovo* antiviral activity of neem bark extract

| Extract                   | Total number of eggs infected | Mean HA titer in infected eggs (log <sub>2</sub> ) | Reduction in HA titer in infected eggs % (log <sub>2</sub> ) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Untreated Virus control   | 5                             | 8.0                                                | --                                                           |
| Neem Bark extract treated | 5                             | 5.0                                                | 88%                                                          |

**Table 2:** Haemagglutination Titers of NDV Pre-inoculation and harvested allantoic fluids Post-inoculation with NDV and different concentrations of Neem bark extract

| Group | Treatment                              | HA titer of Velogenic NDV used <i>In-ovo</i> | HA titer of NDV in harvested allantoic fluid | Reduced HA (%) = $\frac{C-E}{C} \times 100$ |
|-------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| A     | Stock solution of Neem bark extract    | 1:64                                         | 0                                            | 100%                                        |
| B     | 1:2 dilution                           | 1:64                                         | 1:32                                         | 93.75%                                      |
| C     | 1:4 dilution                           | 1:64                                         | 1:128                                        | 75%                                         |
| D     | 1:8 dilution                           | 1:64                                         | 1:512                                        | 0%                                          |
| E     | Positive control for Virus             | 1:64                                         | 1:512                                        | ---                                         |
| F     | Positive control for Neem bark extract | ----                                         | ----                                         | ----                                        |
| G     | Negative Control                       | ----                                         | ----                                         | ----                                        |

C = Haemagglutination titer of virus control group E = Haemagglutination titer of treated embryonated chicken eggs group

**Table 3:** Percent mortality in embryonated chicken eggs post-inoculation with NDV and different concentrations of Neem bark extract

| Group             | Treatment                              | Mortality After 24 hour   |      | Mortality after 48 hour   |      | Mortality after 72 hour   |      | Mean±S.E for Groups        |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|----------------------------|
|                   |                                        | No. of dead embryo/ total | %age | No. of dead embryo/ total | %age | No. of dead embryo/ total | %age |                            |
| A                 | Stock solution of Neem bark extract    | 3/10                      | 30   | 7/10                      | 70   | 8/10                      | 80   | *60.00 <sup>a</sup> ±15.28 |
| B                 | 1:2 dilution                           | 4/10                      | 40   | 8/10                      | 80   | 9/10                      | 90   | 70.00 <sup>a</sup> ±15.28  |
| C                 | 1:4 dilution                           | 5/10                      | 50   | 8/10                      | 80   | 9/10                      | 90   | 73.33 <sup>a</sup> ±12.02  |
| D                 | 1:8 dilution                           | 5/10                      | 50   | 9/10                      | 90   | 9/10                      | 90   | 76.67 <sup>a</sup> ±13.33  |
| E                 | Positive control for Virus             | 5/10                      | 50   | 8/10                      | 80   | 1/10                      | 100  | 76.67 <sup>a</sup> ±14.53  |
| F                 | Positive control for Neem bark extract | 3/10                      | 30   | 7/10                      | 70   | 8/10                      | 80   | 60.00 <sup>a</sup> ±15.28  |
| G                 | Negative Control                       | 0/10                      | 0    | 0/10                      | 0    | 0/10                      | 0    | 0.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00    |
| Mean±S.E for Time |                                        | 35.71 <sup>b</sup> ±6.85  |      | 67.14 <sup>a</sup> ±11.49 |      | 75.71 <sup>a</sup> ±12.88 |      | ----                       |

\*Means sharing similar letters in a row or in a column are statistically non-significant (P>0.05).

**Table 4:** Percent mean embryo weight in embryonated chicken eggs post-inoculation with NDV and different concentrations of Neem bark extract

| Groups | Treatment                              | Total No. of ECE in group (n) | Total weight of ECE in grams | Mean embryo weight = $\frac{\text{Total weight}}{n} \pm \text{S.E}$ |
|--------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A      | Stock solution of Neem bark extract    | 10                            | 397.45                       | $\frac{397.45}{10} = 39.74^{bc} \pm 0.49$                           |
| B      | 1:2 dilution                           | 10                            | 385.75                       | $\frac{385.75}{10} = 38.575^{cd} \pm 0.47$                          |
| C      | 1:4 dilution                           | 10                            | 380.80                       | $\frac{380.80}{10} = 38.08^{de} \pm 0.43$                           |
| D      | 1:8 dilution                           | 10                            | 377.20                       | $\frac{377.20}{10} = 37.72^{de} \pm 0.40$                           |
| E      | Positive control for Virus             | 10                            | 371.85                       | $\frac{371.85}{10} = 37.185^e \pm 0.34$                             |
| F      | Positive control for Neem bark extract | 10                            | 372.89                       | $\frac{372.89}{10} = 37.28^e \pm 0.40$                              |
| G      | Negative Control                       | 10                            | 423.55                       | $\frac{423.55}{10} = 42.355^a \pm 0.56$                             |

Means sharing similar letter in a column are statistically non-significant (P>0.05); MEW of the harvested embryo from treated ECE;

= 39.745+38.575+38.08+37.72+37.185; = 191.305/5; = 38.261 grams; MEW of the harvested embryo from untreated ECE = 37.28 grams

% Mean embryo weight (MEW) = MEW of the harvested embryo from treated ECE / MEW of the harvested embryo from untreated ECE × 100

% MEW = 38.261 grams / 37.28 grams × 100 = 102.63%

The cytotoxicity was checked by performing cytotoxic assay the test was performed in triplicate and results were averaged. The stock solution of Neem bark extract at 1:2 and 1:4 dilutions showed cytotoxicity to embryos in embryonated chicken eggs whereas no toxicity was observed at higher dilutions (Table 4).

The results presented in Table 4 showed the minute antiviral activity with less mortality percentage as compared to other groups but with severe lesions on embryo, which might be due to cytotoxicity of Neem barks extract. Statistically there was no significant difference in the antiviral effects of different concentrations of Neem bark extracts but the exposure time was a significant variable for cytotoxicity.

## DISCUSSION

Present study was conducted to evaluate the antiviral activity of *Azadirachta indica* against ND virus. Findings of the current study are in line with the results of Li *et al.* (2005), which described concentration of neem has direct relation with antiviral activity. The *in-vitro* activity was

assessed by performing the spot assay and micro-haemagglutination test. The results are presented in Tables 1 and 2. In spot assay (Table 1), it was observed that NDV with 1<sup>st</sup> two dilutions 1:2 and 1:4 could inhibit the agglutination of chicken RBCs that shows its antiviral activity. Findings of the current investigation are same as the results of Chen *et al.* (2010), who performed similar work by using Curcumin, a natural compound in *Curcuma longa* that has antiviral properties against the enveloped viruses.

The results of Micro-haemagglutination test shown in Table 2, describe that 1:4 dilution of the stock solution of Neem bark extract showed 75% reduction in haemagglutination titer of NDV whereas haemagglutination reduction was 100% in case of 1:8 dilution of Neem bark extract. These findings are in agreement with Elizondo-Gonzalez *et al.* (2012).

The cytotoxicity was checked by performing cytotoxic assay the test was performed in triplicate and results were averaged. The stock solution of Neem bark extract at 1:2 and 1:4 dilutions showed cytotoxicity to embryos in embryonated chicken eggs whereas no toxicity was observed at higher dilutions (Table 4). Elizondo-

Gonzalez *et al.* (2012) performed a similar study to assess cytotoxicity of Fucoida and Ribavirin against Newcastle disease virus and reported that Fucoidan exhibited antiviral activity against NDV La Sota, in which they reported viral inhibition in the early stages of infection (0–60 min post-infection). They also reported inhibition of viral penetration experiments with a wild-type NDV strain supported this result, as these experiments demonstrated a 48% decrease in viral infection as well as reduced HN protein expression. Ribavirin, which was used as an antiviral control, exhibited lower antiviral activity than fucoidan and high toxicity at active doses. In the fusion assays, the number of syncytia was significantly reduced (70% inhibition) when fucoidan was added before cleavage of the fusion protein, perhaps indicating a specific interaction between fucoidan and the F0 protein.

The results presented in Table 4 showed the minute antiviral activity with less mortality percentage as compared to other groups but with severe lesions on embryo, which might be due to cytotoxicity of Neem barks extract. Statistically there was no significant difference in the antiviral effects of different concentrations of Neem bark extracts but the exposure time was a significant variable for cytotoxicity. The findings of the present work are in line with Goebel *et al.* (2007) who conducted a similar study to check the *in-ovo* antiviral potential of *Synadenium glaucescens* against NDV. Similarly, Liu and Yan (2009) reported *in vivo* efficacy of crude extracts from *Artemisia annul L* against NDV in 9-11 day old embryonated chicken eggs. Significant effects of extracts were found on NDV replication.

The findings of the present work are in line with Mabiki *et al.* (2013) and Claudia *et al.* (1996) conducted a similar study to check the *in-ovo* antiviral potential of *Synadenium glaucescens* against NDV. Similarly, Liu and Yan (2009) reported *in vivo* efficacy of crude extracts from *Artemisia annul L* against NDV in 9-11 day old embryonated chicken eggs. Significant effects of extracts were found on NDV replication. Sood *et al.*, 2012 conducted a study on same line of action, they investigated the antiviral activity of *E. jumbolana* crude extracts against avian influenza virus, results of current study are in accordance to that study.

**Conclusions:** In conclusion, Neem bark extract showed significant antiviral activity at higher concentrations during both *in-vitro* and *in-ovo* trials. Reduction in concentration is directly proportional to the reduction in antiviral activity and cytotoxicity. Neem bark extracts have showed promising efficacy as an antiviral agent and can be used as an effective antiviral alternate by minimizing its cytotoxic effects.

**Authors contribution:** MS and HWA executed the experiments and contributed in preparation of the manuscript. RZA, AR and BA analyzed the data and helped in manuscript preparation. All authors approved the final manuscript.

## REFERENCES

Ahmed MS, Sarker A and Rahman MM, 2009. Prevalence of infectious diseases of broiler chickens in Gazipur District. *Bangladesh J Vet Med* 7:326-31.

- Alexander DJ and Senne DA, 2008. Newcastle Disease and Other Avian Paramyxoviruses. In: *A Laboratory Manual for the Isolation, Identification and Characterization of Avian Pathogens*, Dufour-Zavala L. (Editor in Chief) Swayne Glisson DE, Jackwood JR, Pearson MW, JE Reed, WM, Woolcock PR, (4<sup>th</sup> Edn) American Assoc of Avian Pathol, Athens, GA, 135-41.
- Alexander DJ, 2000. A review of avian influenza in different bird species. *Vet Microbiol* 74:3-13.
- Aslam A, Mahmood MS, Hussain I and Khan MN, 2014. Evaluation of Antiviral Effect of Epigallocatechin Gallate, Epigallocatechin, Epicatechin Gallate and Green Tea Extract Against Fowl Adenovirus-4. *Pakistan J Zool* 46:1283-1294.
- Beck NK, Callahan K, Nappier SP, *et al.*, 2009. Development of a spot titer culture assay for quantifying bacterial and viral indicators. *J Rapid Methods Aut Microbiol* 17:455-64.
- Chen DY, Shien IH, Tiley L, *et al.*, 2010. Curcumin inhibits influenza virus infection and haemagglutination activity. *Food Chem* 119:1346-51.
- Chen TY, Chen DY, Wen HW, *et al.*, 2013. Inhibition of enveloped viruses infectivity by Curcumin. *Plos One* 8:e62482.
- Claudia M, Villegas P, Bennet JD and Seal BS, 1996. Virus characterization and sequence of the fusion protein gene cleavage site of recent Newcastle disease virus field isolates from the Southeastern United States and Puerto Rico. *Avian Dis* 40:382-90.
- Elizondo-Gonzalez R, Cruz-Suarez LE, Rique-Marie D, *et al.*, 2012. *In-vitro* characterization of the antiviral activity of fucoidan from *Cladosiphon okamuranus* against Newcastle Disease Virus. *Virol J* 9:307.
- Goebel SJ, Taylor J, Barr BC, *et al.*, 2007. Isolation of avian paramyxovirus 1 from a patient with a lethal case of pneumonia. *J Virol* 81:12709-14.
- Lanre SK, Omolade OA, Smaila I, *et al.*, 2011. *In-ovo* evaluation of the antiviral activity of methanolic root-bark extract of the African Baobab (*Adansonia digitata* Lin). *African J Biotech* 10:4256-8.
- Li S, Chen C, Zhang H, *et al.*, 2005. Identification of natural compounds with antiviral activities against SARS-associated corona virus. *Antivir Res* 67:18-23.
- Mabiki FP, Mdegela RH, Moshia RD and Magadula II, 2013. *In-ovo* antiviral activity of *Synadenium glaucescens* (pax) crude extracts on Newcastle disease virus. *J Med Plants Res*, 7:863-70.
- Mahmood MS, Hussain I, Ahmad MF, Khan A, Abbas RZ and Rafique A, 2014a. Immunomodulatory effects of *Pimpinella anisum* L. (Aniseed) in Broiler Chicks against Newcastle Disease and Infectious Bursal Disease Viruses. *Boletin Latinoamericano Caribe Plantas Med Aromaticas* 13:415-422.
- Mahmood MS, Martinez JL, Aslam A, *et al.*, 2016. Antiviral effects of green tea (*Camellia sinensis*) against pathogenic viruses in human and animals (a mini-review). *Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med* 13:176-84.
- Mahmood MS, Siddique F, Hussain I, Ahmad SI and Rafique A, 2014b. Thermostable Vaccines for Newcastle Disease: A Review. *World's Poult Sci J* 70:829-838.
- Naveed R, Hussain I, Mahmood MS and Akhtar M, 2013a. *In vitro* and *in vivo* Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activities of Essential Oils Extracted from Some Indigenous Spices. *Pakistan Vet J* 33:413-417.
- Naveed R, Hussain I, Tawab A, Tariq M, Rahman MU and Hameed S, Mahmood MS, Siddique AB and Iqbal M, 2013b. Antimicrobial activity of the bioactive components of essential oils from Pakistani spices against Salmonella and other multi-drug resistant bacteria. *BMC Compl Alter Med* 13:265-75.
- Ong GH, Syamsiah AS, Leow BL, *et al.*, 2014. Antiviral Activity of aqueous neem bark extract against highly pathogenic Avian Influenza virus H5N1. *Malaysian J Vet Res* 5:5-9.
- Rafique A, Iqbal F, Ashraf A, Jabeen F, Naz S and Mahmood MS, 2017. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and its effect of hematological picture in commensal rodents in Faisalabad Pakistan. *Pak J Agri Sci* 54:195-199.
- Rasool MH, Afzal AM, Siddique AB, 2015. Antiviral activity of viro care gz-08 against Newcastle Disease virus in poultry and its *in-vitro* cytotoxicity assay. *Pakistan J Agri Res* 28:200-8.
- Siddique F, Mahmood MS, Hussain I and Deeba F, 2015. Evaluation of thermostable Newcastle disease I-2 strain vaccine at commercial poultry farms. *J Ani Plant Sci* 25:1562-1565.
- Sood R, Swarup D, Bhatia S, *et al.*, 2012. Antiviral activity of crude extracts of *Eugenia Jumbolana* Lam. against highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N1) virus. *Ind J Exp Biol* 50:179-86.
- Swayne, DE and King DJ, 2003. Avian influenza and Newcastle disease. *J American Vet Med Assoc* 222:1534-40.
- Tiwari V, Darmani NA, Yue BT and Shukla D, 2010. *In-vitro* antiviral activity of neem (*Azadirachta indica* bark extract) against Herpes Simplex Virus Type-1 infection. *Phytother Res* 24:1132-40.