



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Antibacterial Effect of Different Concentrations of Silver Nanoparticles

A. Ebru Borum^{1*} and Ertan Güneş²

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Balıkesir University, Balıkesir, Turkey

²Vocational School of Technical Sciences, Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey

*Corresponding author: ebruborum@balikesir.edu.tr

ARTICLE HISTORY (17-327)

Received: September 27, 2017

Revised: December 19, 2017

Accepted: December 20, 2017

Published online: February 12, 2018

Key words:

Antibacterial effect

Microorganisms

Silver nanoparticles

ABSTRACT

Silver has been in use since time immemorial in the form of metallic silver, silver nitrate, silver sulfadiazine for the treatment of burns, wounds and several bacterial infections. Silver has long been known to show a strong antimicrobial effect to microorganisms. The antimicrobial effect of 30 and 100 ppm silver nanoparticles were investigated against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Paenibacillus larvae*, *Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus niger*. The microorganisms were diluted with sterile distilled water and prepared dilutions of 10⁶ of test microorganisms. Dilutions of microorganisms cultured to blood agar base and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. One ml. dilution of 10⁶ of all of microorganisms was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 20 minutes. 30 and 100 ppm silver solutions were added. Samples were inoculated Blood Agar at 2, 5, 10, 30, 60 minutes and 24 hour. We detected antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles against various microorganisms in 0, 2, 5, 10, 30, 60 minute and 24 hours. As results, yeast, fungi and bacteriae were inhibited at 30 and 100 ppm. But, *P.larvae* was not inhibited, *B. subtilis* could not be inhibited at 30 ppm. The antibacterial activity of 100 ppm of nanoparticles was stronger than the antibacterial activity of 30 ppm of nanoparticles. Nanosilver is very effective to important pathogens.

©2017 PVJ. All rights reserved

To Cite This Article: Borum AE and Güneş E, 2018. Antibacterial effect of different concentrations of silver nanoparticles. Pak Vet J. <http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2018.031>

INTRODUCTION

Silver is used in medical and surgical equipment such as endotracheal tubes, surgical meshes, catheters, dental filling materials, bandages, medical dressings and a topical cream for prevent burn-associated infections (Silver, 2003). Silver has long been known to show a strong antimicrobial effect to microorganisms (Liau *et al.*, 1997). The nanosilver is effective against bacteria resistant to antibiotics, fungi and virus (Feng *et al.*, 2000; Radzig *et al.*, 2013). Silver nanoparticles are effective against multidrug-resistant bacteriae, as well as against fungi and have been shown to be antibacterial effect microorganisms such as *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans*, *Penicillium citrinum* (Kim *et al.*, 2007; Lara *et al.*, 2010; Marambio-Jones and Hoek 2010; Lalueza *et al.*, 2011).

Silver nanoparticles show great antibacterial effective on important foodborne pathogens (include: *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella*

typhimurium and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*) (Zhang *et al.*, 2016). Also, nanosilver has antifungal effect on *Candida albicans*, *Candida glabrata*, *Candida crusei*, *Candida parapsilosis*, and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (Kim *et al.*, 2008). Silver nanoparticles have antiviral effect on human immunodeficiency virus-I (Lara *et al.*, 2010) and herpes simplex (Barm-pinto *et al.*, 2009).

Silver has been used as in creams, wound dressing, different medical devices, food containers, and water disinfection for antimicrobial agent. The new strains of bacteriae were resistant to antibiotics. Therefore, new bactericides were development. The nanosilver is very effective for multidrug-resistant bacteriae (Morones *et al.*, 2005).

Silver in ionized form or in nanoparticles have got excellent antimicrobial, antifungal activities and was used for coating medical devices for preventing biofilm formation by pathogenic bacteria, water purification, (Bandyopadhyay *et al.*, 2008; Chang *et al.*, 2008; El-Naggar *et al.*, 2016), wound dressing for the promotion of healing (Abboud *et al.*, 2014).

In this study, antimicrobial effect of 30 and 100 ppm silver nanoparticles were determined for against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Paenibacillus larvae*, *Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus niger*. We detected antibacterial effect of nanosilver on *P. Larvae* of cause of American foulbrood for honeybees the first time. We compared the efficacy of 30 and 100 ppm concentrations of silver.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923), *Salmonella typhimurium* (CCM 5445), *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212), *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 6633), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6051), *Paenibacillus larvae* (ATCC 25747), *Candida albicans* (ATCC 90028), *Aspergillus niger* (Clinical isolate, Uludag University, Medicine Faculty) were used for of antibacterial and antifungal activity of nanosilver. Bacteriae and

C. albicans were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). We determined antimicrobial effect of 100 and 30 ppm. nanosilver solutions for some pathogen microorganisms. Antimicrobial activity of 30 and 100 ppm concentrations of silver were compared. The activity of nanosilver on *P.larvae* was determined for the first time with this study.

E.coli, *S.aureus*, *S. typhimurium*, *E.faecalis*, *B.cereus*, *B. subtilis*, *P.larvae* and *C.albicans* were incubated in Fluid Thioglycollate Medium at 37°C for 24 hours and *A.niger* at 37°C for 7 days. The cells were washed twice and centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 20 minutes then suspended in distilled water, obtaining a final concentration of 10^6 cells/100 ml. Two cultures from each culture were prepared. The cultures were then supplemented with 100 µl of 30 and 100 ppm nanosilver solutions. Samples with different concentration of nanosilver were inoculated Blood Agar at 2, 5, 10, 30, 60 minutes and 24 hour. The cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The number of bacteria was determined by counting the colonies.

Antifungal effect of nanosilver for *A.niger* was determined to The National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) M29 method. *A.niger* was incubated Potato dextrose agar at 37°C for 7 days. After, *A.niger* was inoculated to 1 ml. of 0.85% sterile saline and, added 0.01 ml. of Tween 20. Suspension of *A.niger* was homogenized with vortex for 15 seconds. 1 ml. dilution of 10^6 of *A.niger* was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 20 minutes. A hundred µl of 30 and 100 ppm silver solutions were added to two different cultures. Samples with nanosilver were inoculated Potato Dextrose Agar at 2, 5, 10, 30, 60 minutes and 24th hours. The cultures incubated for at 37°C for 7 days. Plates without silver nanoparticles were used as controls. We counted microorganisms growing on plates (Bragg and Rannie, 1974; Feng *et al.*, 2000; Spacciapoli *et al.*, 2001; Sondi and Salopek-Sondi, 2004; Ki-Young *et al.*, 2007; Samarajeewa *et al.*, 2017).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thirty 30 ppm of nanosilver solution inhibited on *Salmonella typhimurium* in 2 minutes. *Esherichia coli*, *Staphlococcus aureus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus cereus* were inhibited in different minutes. But *S. aureus* was not inhibited in 24 hours. *Paenibacillus larvae* was not inhibited 60 min and 24 hours. *Bacillus subtilis* was not inhibited by 30 ppm of nanosilver solution. Microorganisms and inhibition periods are shown in Table 1.

E. faecalis, *S. typhimurium*, *B. cereus* and *C. albicans* were inhibited by 100 ppm of nanosilver within 2 minutes. *E.coli* did not grow at 10 minutes. *S. aureus* were inhibited at 5. minutes. *A.niger* and *B. subtilis* were not inhibited first 10 minutes but lost activity completely after 30 minutes. *P.larvae* was inhibited in the first 30 minutes but it grew 60 minutes and 24 hours. Microorganisms and inhibition periods are shown in Table 2.

Susceptibility to nanosilver is depended on the concentration. *P.larvae* inhibited in the first 30.minutes but it was not inhibited by 30 and 100 ppm of nanosilver solutions.

Table 1: Microorganisms and inhibition periods (30 ppm of nanosilver)

Microorganism	Starting number of microorganism kob/ml	Decreasing values of microorganisms (Kob/ml)						
		0. min Kob/ml	2.min Kob/ml	5. min Kob/ml	10 min Kob/ml	30. min Kob/ml	60. min Kob/ml	24 hours Kob/ml
<i>E.coli</i> ATCC 25922	10^6	6×10^6	5.1×10^6	1.2×10^6	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 25923	10^6	6×10^6	6×10^2	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	6×10^2
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> CCM 5445	10^6	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> ATCC 29212	10^6	5×10^5	1.84×10^5	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 6633	10^6	8×10^3	8.2×10^3	9.4×10^3	8.5×10^3	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 6051	10^6	10^9	10^9	10^9	10^9	10^9	10^9	10^9
<i>Paenibacillus larvae</i> ATCC 25747	10^6	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	6×10^6	2×10^4
<i>Candida albicans</i> ATCC 90028	10^6	6×10^3	No growth	No growth	No growth	2×10^2	No growth	No growth
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Clinical isolate	10^6	6×10^6	4×10^3	2.1×10^3	1.2×10^3	No growth	No growth	No growth

Table 2: Microorganisms and inhibition periods (100 ppm of nanosilver)

Microorganism	Starting number of microorganism kob/ml	Decreasing values of microorganisms (Kob/ml)						
		0. min Kob/ml	2.min Kob/ml	5. min Kob/ml	10 min Kob/ml	30. min Kob/ml	60. min Kob/ml	2424 hours Kob/ml
<i>Escherichiae coli</i> ATCC 25922	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	1,2 × 10 ⁴	5,0 × 10 ²	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 25923	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	4,0 × 10 ²	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> CCM 5445	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> ATCC 29212	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 6633	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 6051	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	2 × 10 ⁶	1,7 × 10 ⁶	1,2 × 10 ⁶	1 × 10 ⁶	No growth	No growth
<i>Paenibacillus larvae</i> ATCC 25747	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	7.2 × 10 ³	1.3 × 10 ²
<i>Candida albicans</i> ATCC 90028	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Clinical isolate	10 ⁶	10 ⁶	3,2 × 10 ³	2,1 × 10 ³	1,2 × 10 ³	No growth	No growth	No growth

Escherichia coli, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus cereus* were inhibited in different minutes.

P.larvae inhibited the first 30th minutes but after it was not inhibited by 30 and 100 ppm of nanosilver solutions. Nanosilver did not effective for *P.larvae*. *E. faecalis*, *S. typhimurium*,

B. cereus and *C. albicans* were inhibited by 100 ppm of nanosilver within 2nd minutes. We did not detect growth on plates. *E.coli* did not grow at 10th minutes. *S. aureus* were inhibited at 5th minutes. *A.niger* and *B. subtilis* were not inhibited first 10 minutes but it lost activity completely after 30 minutes.

The antibacterial activity of 100 ppm of nanoparticles was stronger than the antibacterial activity of 30 ppm of nanoparticles. Both nanoparticles silver solutions 5-10. minutes showed the most antibacterial effects against on microorganisms. But *P.larvae* was not inhibited after 60 minutes and 24 hours by both nanoparticle solutions. *B. subtilis* was not inhibited by 30 ppm nanosilver. *S.aureus* was inhibited 5, 10, 30, 60 minutes but it was grown in 24 hours.

In one study, different concentrations of silver on *E. coli* were investigated in vitro. According to the research; It was determined that nano silver at 10 µg / cm³ concentration was effective at 70% on 10⁵ CFU of *E. coli*. 50-60 µg / cm³ nanosilver concentration was effective for 100%. In the same study, 20 µg / cm³ of nano silver completely inhibited 10⁴ CFU of *E. coli*. As the number of bacteria decreased, nano silver was effective at lower concentrations (Yoon *et al.*, 2007). 70 µg / mL concentration of silver nanoparticles were found to be effective on *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*. *B. subtilis* was found to be more susceptible to silver than *E. coli* (Ki-Young *et al.*, 2007).

In another study, antimicrobial effect of a commercial nanosilver product, NanoCidR L2000, against some foodborne pathogens was evaluated. The MIC values of Ag NPs against tested pathogens were in the range of 3.12-6.25 µg/mL. While *Listeria monocytogenes* showed the MIC value of 6.25 µg/mL, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* all showed the MIC values of 3.12 µg/mL. However, all the pathogens showed the same MBC value of 6.25 µg/mL.

(Zarei *et al.*, 2014). Sixty-five bacterial isolates were isolated from 40 diabetic patients *S. aureus* (37%) and *P. aeruginosa* (18.5%) were the predominant isolates in the ulcer samples. Squilla chitosan silver nanoparticles (Sq. Cs-Ag(0)) showed the maximum activity against the resistant bacteria (El-Naggar *et al.*, 2016).

Thirty 30 ppm of nanosilver solution inhibited on *Salmonella typhimurium* in 2nd minutes. This results similar our research.

Conclusions: The antibacterial activity of 100 ppm of nanoparticles was stronger than the antibacterial activity of 30 ppm of nanoparticles. Nanosilver is very effective to important pathogens. Antimicrobial activity of nanosilver can use for pathogens.

Authors contribution: AEB helped in study design, conduction of the laboratory investigations, collection of data and manuscript preparation. MEG helped in conduction of laboratory investigations and collection of data.

REFERENCES

- Abboud EC, Settle JC, Legare TB, *et al.*, 2014. Silver-based dressing for the reduction of surgical site infection: review of current experience and recommendation for future studies. *Burns* 40:30-9.
- Barm-pinto D, Shukla S, Perkas N, *et al.*, 2009. Inhibition of herpes simplex virus type 1 infection by silver nanoparticles capped with mercaptoethane sulfonate. *Bioconjugate Chem* 20:1497-502.
- Bandyopadhyay R, Sivaiah MV and Shankar PA, 2008. Silver-embedded granular activated carbon as an antibacterial medium for water purification. *J Chem Technol Biot* 83:177-80.
- Bragg PD and Rannie DJ, 1974. The effect of silver ions on the respiratory chain of *E. coli*. *Can J Microbiol* 20:883-9.
- Chang Q, He H and Ma Z, 2008. Efficient disinfection of *Escherichia coli* in water by silver loaded alumina. *J Inorganic Biochem* 102: 1736-42.
- El-Naggar MY, Gohar YM, Sorour MA, *et al.*, 2016. Hydrogel dressing with a nano-formula against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Diabetic Foot Bacteria. J Microbiol Biotechnol* 26:408-20.
- Feng QL, Wu J, Chen GQ, *et al.*, 2000. A mechanistic study of the antibacterial effect of silver ions on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Biomed Mater Res* 52:662-8.
- Ki-Young Y, Jeong HB, Jae-Hong P, *et al.*, 2007. Susceptibility constants of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* to silver and copper nanoparticles. *Sci Total Environ* 373:572-5.
- Kim JS, Kuk E, Yu KN, *et al.*, 2007. Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine* 3:95-101.

- Kim KJ, Sung WS, Moon SK, *et al.*, 2008. Antifungal effect of silver nanoparticles on dermatophytes. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 18:1482-4.
- Laluzza P, Monzon M, Arruebo M, *et al.*, 2011. Bactericidal effects of different silver-containing materials. *Mater Res Bull* 46:2070-6.
- Lara HH, Ayala-Nunez NV, Ixtapan-Turrent L, *et al.*, 2010. Bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles against multidrug-resistant bacteria. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 26:615-21.
- Lara HH, Ayala-Nunez NV, Ixtapan-Turrent L, *et al.*, 2010. Mode of antiviral action of silver nanoparticles against HIV-1. *J Nanobiotechnol* 8:1-10.
- Liau SY, Read DC, Pugh WJ, *et al.*, 1997. Interaction of silver nitrate with readily identifiable groups: relationship to the antibacterial action of silver ions. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 25:279-83.
- Marambio-Jones C and Hoek EMV, 2010. A review of the antibacterial effects of silver nanomaterials and potential implications for human health and the environment. *J Nanopart Res* 12:1531-51.
- Morones JR, Elechiguerra JL, Camacho A, *et al.*, 2005. The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology* 16:2346-53.
- Radzig MA, Nadochenko VA, Koksharova OA, *et al.*, 2013. Antibacterial effects of silver nanoparticles on gram-negative bacteria: Influence on the growth and biofilms formation, mechanisms of action. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 102:300-6.
- Samarajeewa AD, Velicogna JR, Princz JI, *et al.*, 2017. Effect on silver nano-particles on soil microbial growth, activity and community diversity in a sandy loam soil. *Environ Pollut* 220:504-13.
- Silver S, 2003. Bacterial silver resistance: molecular biology and uses and misuses of silver compounds. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 27:341-53.
- Sondi I and Salopek-Sondi B, 2004. Silver nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent: a case study on *E. coli* as a model for gram-negative bacteria. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 275:177-82.
- Spacciapoli P, Buxton D, Rothstein D, *et al.*, 2001. Antimicrobial activity of silver nitrate against periodontal pathogens. *J Periodontol Res* 36:108-13.
- Yoon KY, Byeon JH, Park JH, *et al.*, 2007. Susceptibility constants of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* to silver and copper nanoparticles. *Sci Total Environ* 373:572-5.
- Zarei M, Jamnejad A and Khajehali E, 2014. Antibacterial Effect of silver nanoparticles against four foodborne pathogens. *Jundishapur J Microbiol* 7:1-4.
- Zhang FH, Li M, Wei ZJ, *et al.*, 2016. The effect of a combined nanoparticulate/calcium hydroxide medication on the biofilm of *Enterococcus faecalis* in starvation phase. *Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue* 25:11-5.