

Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) DOI: 10.29261/pakvetj/2018.123

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasites of Captive Birds in Punjab, Pakistan

Muhammad Zeeshan Akram¹, Muhammad Arfan Zaman¹, Hassan Jalal¹, Saima Yousaf², Asfand Yar Khan³, Muhammad Zahid Farooq¹, Tauseef-ur-Rehaman⁴, Arbab Sakandar⁵, Muhammad Fiaz Qamar¹ and Dwight D Bowman⁶

¹Department of Pathobiology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences sub Campus College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Jhang 35200, Pakistan (CVAS); ²Department of Wildlife Management, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan; ³Department of Clinical Sciences, CVAS; ⁴Department of Parasitology, University College of Veterinary & Animal Science, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur 63100, Pakistan; ⁵Department of Basic Sciences, CVAS; ⁶Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-6401, USA

*Corresponding author: arfan.zaman@uvas.edu.pk

ARTICLE HISTORY (18-383)

Received: October 08, 2018 Revised: December 24, 2018 Accepted: December 31, 2018 Published online: December 31, 2018

Key words: Captive birds Faecal examination Parasites Risk factor

ABSTRACT

A survey was conducted to investigate the point prevalence of gastrointestinal (GI) parasites of captive birds. Fecal samples from 613 captive birds belonging to 19 species were examined from Gujranwala and Jhang districts by using direct and indirect methods under the microscope. Protozoa, nematodes, cestodes and trematodes contributed 69.33, 35.39, 6.61 and 0%, respectively, to the overall prevalence of 54.32%. The predominant parasite species were in the genera *Eimeria* (67.87%), followed by *Ascaridia* (33.93%), *Capillaria* (11.41%) and *Hymenolepsis* (6.61%). Age and rearing systems of birds were considered as risk factors for GI parasites. Adult captive birds were more commonly infected (58.05%) than yearlings (37.27%). The captive birds reared in aviaries had a higher prevalence of infection (83.51%) than cage-birds (49.23%). In light of these findings, age and rearing systems were identified as highly significant risk factors (P<0.05) for GI parasitic infection in the captive birds.

©2018 PVJ. All rights reserved

To Cite This Article: Akram MZ, Zaman MA, Jalal H, Yousaf S, Khan AY, Farooq MZ, Rehaman TU, Sakandar A, Qamar MF and Bowman DD, 2018. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of captive birds in Punjab, Pakistan. Pak Vet J. http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2018.123

INTRODUCTION

Birds have a supreme place being exceptionally valued by humans. Out of 18,000 species of birds all over the world, in Pakistan, 786 different species of birds have been reported (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List of birds _of_Pakistan). By definition, "captivity" includes the keeping of domestically raised as well as wild-caught birds in cages and enclosures. They are reared for gaming and fancy (decorative) purposes and are also important from an emotional and economic perspective. In recent years, due to a dramatic increase in the human population and subsequently the shortage of accommodations, people are encouraged to adopt captive birds as pets in replacement of dogs and cats. However, birds in captivity in Pakistan and elsewhere face many potential disease problems, including parasitic infections. Parasites of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract including protozoa, nematodes, cestodes and trematodes have been incriminated in robbing hosts off nutrients, minerals and vitamins and causing serious conditions like enteritis, immunosuppression, poor performance, stunted growth, poor reproductive efficiency and sometimes death (El-Shahawy and Abou Elenien, 2015). Based on a review of the published literature, there have been few studies undertaken in Pakistan focusing on the GI parasites of birds, especially with reference to parasites of captive birds. In this backdrop, this study was carried out to ascertain the point prevalence of GI parasites, with the main focus on captive birds in Gujranwala and Jhang districts (Punjab-Pakistan).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Captive birds: This study included (n=613) captive birds belonging to 19 various species of birds. Individual species were as follows; Anas platyrhynchos; Columba livia domestica, Zenaida macroura), Pavo cristatus, Coturnix japonica, Phasianus colchicus, Serinus canaria, Lonchura oryzivora, Carpodacus puniceus; Nymphicus

hollandicus, Melopsittacus undulatus, Psittacus erithacus, Psittacula krameri, Agapornis personata, Agapornis fischeri, Agapornis roseicollis, Psittacula eupatria, Aratinga solstitalis. Gujranwala and Jhang districts were selected as study area due to their favorable geo-climatic conditions for parasites and dense population of captive birds. Apparently healthy captive birds that were not exposed to any anti-parasitic were selected for convenient sampling from March-November2017 for this study. Birds were either housed in cages (70%) or aviaries (30%) depending on their size and nature, either individually or in groups.

Parasitological procedures: Fresh faecal droppings were collected in sterile, pre-labeled plastic vials in the early morning from pet shops, pet houses, and aviaries. Simultaneously, the collected samples were also subjected to macroscopic examination for their odor, color, consistency, and presence of blood and mucus and tapeworm proglottids. All the samples (n=613) were processed through direct wet mount preparation and centrifugal floatation techniques (El-Shahawy and Abou Elenien, 2015) in the laboratory. Diagnosis of *Cryptosporidium* spp. was made by faecal examination

microscopically using acid-fast staining (Chalmers and Katzer, 2013).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In total, 54.32% (333/613) of the captive birds were found positive for GI parasites. Prevalence of protozoa, nematodes, cestodes and trematodes was 69.33, 35.39, 6.61 and 0%, respectively. Among the observed parasites, the predominant parasites were *Eimeria* spp. [67.87%] (226/333)] followed by *Ascaridia* spp. [33.93%] (113/333)], Capillaria spp. [11.41% (38/333)] and Hymenolepsis spp. [6.61% (22/333)]. Among protozoa, Cryptosporidium spp. [9.90% (33/333)], Entamoeba spp. [8.10% (27/333)] and *Balantidium* spp. [6.60% (22/333)] were observed (Table 1). During the necropsy of a peacock, adult Ascaridia (A.) galli were recovered. Captive birds of all age groups showed parasitic infection but adults (≥1 year old) had a higher prevalence (58.05%) than young birds (37.27%). Higher prevalence was recorded in those birds housed in aviaries (83.51%) as compared to cages (49.23%). Significant association of gastrointestinal parasites with age and rearing system were found in the captive birds (Table 2).

Table 1: Prevalence of different gastrointestinal parasites of captive birds in district Gujranwala and Jhang, Punjab-Pakistan

Birds	Scientific name	Percent positive (No.	Nematodes	Cestodes	Trematodes	Protozoa
Anseriformes		positive/ No. tested)				
	A	FO 00 (2/4)				D 1 .: !:
Mallard Duck	Anas platyrhynchos	50.00 (2/4)		-	-	Balantidium spp.
Columbiformes		100 (14/14)				-
Domestic Pigeon	Columba livia domestica	100 (16/16)		-	-	Eimeria spp.
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	0 (0/12)	-	-	-	-
Galliformes						
Peafowl	Pavo cristatus	75.00 (12/16)	Ascaridia galli	-	-	Balantidium spp.
						Eimeria spp.
Japanese Quail	Coturnix japonica	0 (0/20)	-	-	-	-
Ring-necked Pheasant	Phasianus colchicus	0 (0/4)	-	-	-	-
Passeriformes						
Domestic Canary	Serinus canaria domestica	0 (0/35)	-	-	-	-
White java Sparrow	Padda spp.	50.00 (24/48)	Ascaridia galli	-	-	Eimeria spp.
		` '	Capillaria spp.			Cryptosporidium spp.
Red-fronted rose Finch	Carpodacus puniceus	50.00 (14/28)	Ascaridia galli	-	-	Eimeria spp.
	,		•			Entamoeba spp.
Psittaciformes						
Cockatiel	Nymphicus hollandicus	80.00 (56/70)	Ascaridia galli	_	-	Eimeria spp.
	, ,	()	Capillaria spp.			
Budgerigar	Melopsittacus undulates	63.83 (150/235)	Ascaridia spp.	_	_	Eimeria spp.
	77.0.0po.ccdedo dirediaceo	(100/200)	Capillaria spp.			Balantidium spp.
Grey Parrot	Psittacus erithacus	60.00 (12/20)	Ascaridia galli	_	_	Eimeria spp.
Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula krameri	60.00 (9/15)	Ascaridia galli	_	_	Entamoeba spp.
rtose ringed runancee	7 Steaded Redifferi	00.00 (7/13)	riscaridia gain			Cryptosporidium spp.
Blue Masked Lovebird	Agapornis personata	50.00 (15/30)	Ascaridia galli	Hymenolepis	_	-
blue i lasked Lovebii d	Agapornis personata	30.00 (13/30)	Ascuridia guiii	, ,	-	-
Fischer's Lovebird	Agapornis fischeri	20 10 (0/22)		spp. Hymenolepis		Eimoria saa
rischer's Lovebird	Agapornis Jischeri	39.10 (9/23)	-	, ,		Eimeria spp.
Lucia - Develo forced	A	47.05 (0/17)	C = 1: II = -:	spp.		F:i
Lutino Peach-faced	Agapornis roseicollis	47.05 (8/17)	Capillaria spp.	-	-	Eimeria spp.
Lovebird						Cryptosporidium spp.
Lory	Species in the tribe Loriini	0 (0/4)	-	-	-	-
Alexandrine Parakeet	Psittacula eupatria	0 (0/4)	-	-	-	-
Sun Conure	Aratinga solstitialis	50.00 (6/12)	-	Hymenolepis	-	Eimeria spp.
				spp.		
TOTAL		54.32% (333/613)				

Table: 2: Mantel-Haenszel chi-square and multivariate logistic regression analysis of all hypothesized risk factors

Risk	Variables	Prevalence %	Mantel-Haenszel chi-square (P value)	Odds ratio (Multivariate logistic
factors		(No. positive/No. tested)		regression) logistic regression)
Age	Adult	58.05 (292/503)	19.1672 (0.0005)	0.821
-	Young birds (<1 year)	37.27 (41/110)		
Rearing	Cages	49.23 (257/522)	27.5273 (<0.0001)	1.739
system	Aviaries	83.51 (76/91)	• ,	

Captive birds are capable of transmitting diseases not just regionally but internationally due to their intermingling with migratory birds. A total of 54.32% of the captive birds of the study area were positive for GI parasite infection. In the previous study, captive birds in Pakistan were reported (67.70%) with GI parasites (Khan et al., 2010). The salient difference in the current findings versus the previous reports is the high percentage of protozoa (69.33%) as compared to nematodes (35.39%) and cestodes (6.61%). Direct life cycle, low infective dose with short incubation period and the ability to survive in harsh environments might be the factors contributing to the high prevalence of these protozoan GI parasites (Thompson and Smith, 2011). Most of the birds were harboring multiple species of Eimeria. In another study, Parsani et al. (2003) reported 85.48% Eimeria spp. in captive birds. Other protozoa observed in this study were Cryptosporidium spp., Entamoeba spp. and Balantidium spp. In various countries, the prevalence of Cryptosporidium spp. ranges from 1.4-7.2% (Ziegler et al., 2007) whereas the prevalence of Entamoeba spp. and Balantidium spp. have been reported as 17% (Cunha et al., 2008) and 2% (Otegbade and Morenikeji, 2014), respectively. Among helminthes, A. galli. was the second most recorded GI parasite in this study. Capillaria spp. was the other nematode recorded in this study. Nevertheless, in this study clinical signs of A. galli and Capillaria spp. were not found in any bird. In a previous study, 20.75 and 13.2% prevalence of A. galli and Capillaria spp. were observed in captive birds, respectively (Patel et al., 2000). Among the most common cestodes reported in captive birds, only Hymenolepsis spp., a harmless to mild-pathogen was found in this study.

The high frequency of GI parasitism in captive birds might be due to ingestion of contaminated droppings or intermediate hosts such as cockroaches, beetles, earthworm, flies and grasshopper in poorly managed aviaries. A higher prevalence in adults found in this study, might be attributed to the cohort effect and stress of captivity, however, the parasitic prevalence in young birds <1 year old was also high, which suggests that infection

occurs in early life when they have less immunity to tackle the infection (Radfar *et al.*, 2012).

Conclusions: GI parasitic infection is common in the captive birds in Punjab-Pakistan. Examination of the faecal samples of the captive birds on a routine basis (fortnightly, since the life cycle of these protozoa is one to two weeks) with effective treatment programs to control and prevent GI parasites is recommended.

Authors contribution: HJ and MZA conceived the idea. MAZ designed the study. AYK along with HJ and MA collected the droppings and documented the necessary information. SY, MZF, TUR, AS, MFQ and DDB analyzed the data and subsequent draft of the final form of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Chalmers RM and Katzer F, 2013. Looking for Cryptosporidium: the application of advances in detection and diagnosis. Trends Parasitol 29:237-51.
- Cunha ALB, Mendonça FS, Oliveira RA, et al., 2008. Prevalence of endoparasites in faecal samples of cracids bred in captivity at the Parque Dois Irmãos, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil. Acta Vet Brno 77:387-92.
- El-Shahawy IS and Elenien FA, 2015. Enteric parasites of Egyptian captive birds: A general coprological survey with new records of the species. Trop Biomed 32:650-8.
- Khan MA, Khan MS, Shafee M, et al., 2010. Prevalence and chemotherapy of helminthiasis in parrots at Lahore Zoo. Pak J Anim Plant Sci 20:189-92.
- Otegbade AC and Morenikeji OA, 2014. Gastrointestinal parasites of birds in zoological gardens in south-west Nigeria. Trop Biomed 31:54-62.
- Parsani HR, Momin RR, Sahu RK, et al., 2003. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in captive birds at Kamla Nehru Zoological Garden, Kankaria Zoo, Ahmedabad, Gujarat. Zoo Print J 18:987-92.
- Patel PV, Patel AI, Sahu RK, et al., 2000. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in captive birds of Gujarat zoos. Zoo Print J 15:295-6.
- Radfar MH, Khedri J, Adinehbeigi K, et al., 2012. Prevalence of parasites and associated risk factors in domestic pigeons (*Columba livia* domestica) and free-range backyard chickens of Sistan Region, East of Iran. J Parasit Dis 36:220-5.
- Thompson RCA and Smith A, 2011. Zoonotic enteric protozoa. Vet Parasitol 182:70-8.
- Ziegler PE, Wade SE, Schaaf SL, et al., 2007. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* species in wildlife populations within a watershed landscape in southeastern New York State. Vet Parasitol 147:176-84.