



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Epidemiological Investigation of *Cryptosporidium* Infection in Yaks in Chamdo, China

Song Peng^{1,2}, Chang Xu^{1,2}, Muhammad Usman Saleem³, Wasim Babar⁴, Asif Idrees⁵ and Kun Li^{1,2*}

¹Institute of Traditional Chinese Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, PR China

²MOE Joint International Research Laboratory of Animal Health and Food Safety, College of Veterinary Medicine, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, PR China

³Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Bosan Road, Multan, Pakistan; ⁴Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Bahawalpur, Pakistan

⁵KBCMA, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Narowal, Pakistan

*Corresponding author: lik2014@sina.com; lk3005@njau.edu.cn

ARTICLE HISTORY (24-153)

Received: March 12, 2023

Revised: April 17, 2023

Accepted: April 18, 2023

Published online: May 05, 2024

Key words:

Cryptosporidium

Yaks

Epidemiology

ELISA

Molecular characterization

ABSTRACT

Cryptosporidium is a parasitic protozoan that can infect a wide range of animals across different geographical areas. The current incidence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks in Chamdo remains uncertain. Our objective was to determine the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in the study areas through the use of both serology and molecular inquiry. The ELISA and nested-PCR techniques were utilized to analyze blood (n=691) and fecal samples (n=91) from yaks obtained from various farms over the specified period. The nucleic acid-based analysis focused on the 18S rRNA gene. The ELISA approach indicated that the total prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection was 12.7%. The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in fecal samples, as revealed by nPCR, was 33.0% (30 out of 91). The sequencing of selectively amplified samples revealed the presence of *C. bovis* (n=5) and *C. parvum* (n=2). The study utilized logistic regression analysis to examine the correlation between *Cryptosporidium* infection and the yak's location (farms), health condition, age, and sex. The findings indicated that age, farm (with varying management practices), and health status were risk factors that influenced the frequency of *Cryptosporidium* in animals in Chamdo. Overall, the results gained help to develop control methods for *Cryptosporidium* infections in yaks.

To Cite This Article: Peng S, Xu C, Saleem MU, Babar W, Idrees A and Li K, 2024. Epidemiological investigation of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks in chamdo, China. Pak Vet J. <http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2024.170>

INTRODUCTION

Cryptosporidium is a zoonotically important intracellular parasite capable of infecting all vertebrates (Zhao *et al.*, 2024). It causes diarrhea in calves along with colic, puking, naupathia and weight loss (Li *et al.*, 2020). In healthy animals this parasite reduces production however, in immunocompromised animals it often leads to death (Zhao *et al.*, 2024). *Cryptosporidium* causes serious health concerns to all vertebrates as few treatment methods have been discovered for its cure. Scientists have discovered more than forty known species of *Cryptosporidium* out of which *C. parvum*, *C. bovis*, *C. andersoni* and *C. ryanae* are relatively common in cattle (Li *et al.*, 2021).

Chamdo is a farming area in Tibet having 1.54 million cattle out of which are 1.45 million yaks which are considered important ruminants on the Qinghai-Xizang

plateau in China as they provide meat, milk and fur to the people living in that area. Moreover, yaks in that area are also used for draft purposes therefore, maintaining their health is of significance importance (Wang *et al.*, 2021).

Cattle have been reported to be natural reservoirs of *Cryptosporidium* in Shanxi, Jiangxi and Yunnan (Li *et al.*, 2021). However, the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks at Chamdo, China has not been reported as yet. Keeping in view the importance of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks, this study aims to detect the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in yaks of Chamdo, China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement: The current experiment was performed following the procedures laid down by the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Agricultural University (NJAU.No20220520108).

Yak samples: Sample collection was done from yaks of 4 different farms with each farm having free ranged 500 yaks. Farms were located at different geographical locations of Chamdo and the distance between two consecutive farms was not less than 50 kilometers. Moreover, yaks belonged to different age groups (yaks that were 0–1-year-old were considered as un-weaned calves, yaks that were 1–2-year-old were considered as puberty yaks, 2–4-year-old yaks were considered as yaks of latency period and yaks that were more than 4-year-old were considered as adult yaks). Blood (n= 691) and fecal samples (n= 91) were collected from yaks in Chamdo, China. The details of yaks related to difference of geographical location of farm, fecal status (which was considered by checking the consistency of feces either normal or watery), gender and age were recorded. Serum was harvested from blood by centrifuging at 4000×g for 20 min and then stored at –80 °C.

Examination of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in yaks: Serums samples were tested for antibodies-against protozoon through bovine *Cryptosporidium* spp. enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Dongge Boye Biotechnology, Beijing, China) as described by Li *et al.* (2020). Results were calculated according to the optical density (OD)_{450 nm} value and critical value= mean value of negative controls+0.15. Samples that were only having OD_{450nm} ≥ critical value were considered as positive. All samples were tested thrice along with positive and negative controls. The value of positive controls ≥ 1.00 and negative controls ≤ 0.15 were set to ensure their validity.

Regarding fecal samples nested PCR (n-PCR) amplification to screen positive samples was performed. The genomic DNA from fecal samples was extracted by stool genomic DNA extraction kit (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd, China). The obtained products were utilized for n-PCR amplification targeting 18S rRNA (Qin *et al.*, 2024). Agarose gel (1.5%) was used for n-PCR product evaluating and the primers used were 18SiCF2:5'-GACATATCATTCAAGTTTCTGAC C-3' and 18SiCR2:5'-CTGAAGGAGTAAGGAACAAC C-3', 18SiCF1:5'-CCTATCAGCTTTAGACGGTAGG-3' and 18SiCR1:5'-TCTAAGAATTTACCTCTGACTG-3'.

Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis: *Cryptosporidium*-positive products from yaks were sent to Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) for sequencing. Multiple alignments of achieved *Cryptosporidium* spp. sequences with reference 18s rRNA sequences were launched through Mega (Version 6.0). Phylogenetic tree analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates of yaks with reference sequences was implemented via Mega using maximum likelihood method whereas, the firmness of branches was evaluated by bootstrapping of 1000 replicates. Moreover, the primers used were 18SiCF1:5'-CCTATCAGCTTTAGACGGTAGG-3' and 18SiCR1:5'-TCTAAGAATTTACCTCTGACTG-3'.

Statistical analysis: Multivariable logistic regression model was conducted to screen factors of different farms (having different geographical location), fecal status, gender and age that possibly affected the exposure of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in yaks. Statistically difference was

considered at $P < 0.05$ and odds-ratio with 95% confidence interval was calculated by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS for windows version 26.0).



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks: In serum samples the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks was 12.7%. This is higher than that reported in Iran (5%) (Mahmoudi *et al.*, 2021) and Uganda (7.7%) (Witto *et al.*, 2021) while, it is lower than Jiangxi, China (24.3%) (Li *et al.*, 2021), China (29.9%) (Zhao *et al.*, 2024) and Turkey (35.5%) (Yildirima *et al.*, 2020). The difference between the results is because of different climatic conditions under which the animals were being reared and difference of diagnostic methods among the said studies (Li *et al.*, 2021). In different farms the prevalence ranged from 5.2–22.2%. In healthy and diarrheal animals the prevalence was 8.7% and 39.6% respectively. In male and female animals, the prevalence was found to be 12.2% and 13.1%, respectively. Moreover, the prevalence was 6.2–22.4% in yaks of different age groups (Table 1). Results of logistic regression revealed that difference of age, difference of farm (having different geographical locations), fecal status and year of age were the risk factors affecting prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in Chamdo yaks. Animals suffering from diarrhea were more positive compared to non-diarrheal animals. Yaks of ages of 1–2 years and 2–4 years had three times higher risk of infection compared to animals that were more than 4 years old (6.2%) whereas, yaks that were 0–1 year of age (22.4%) had four times higher risk of infection compared to older yaks (Fig. 1A–D). The higher prevalence was detected in yaks suffering from diarrhea which is in line with the results reported in cattle of Shanxi (Zhao *et al.*, 2024). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in yaks of different ages was 6.2%–22.4% whereas, higher prevalence was found in yaks that were less than four years old especially in 0–1-year-old yaks which is in relation with meta-analysis results that indicate higher prevalence in young yaks (Geng *et al.*, 2021). These results confirm that the fecal status and age are the risk factors of *Cryptosporidium* infection in yaks. No significance was observed among gender regarding the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection which is not in line with the results documented regarding cattle of Western Uganda (Witto *et al.*, 2021). However, significance was noticed among male and female yaks at farm D which supports the finding of Witto *et al.* (2021) that gender affect the infection of *Cryptosporidium* (Fig. 2A–C). In fecal samples the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium*-positive samples was 33% (30/91) (95% CI: 23.5–43.6) whereas, in healthy and diarrheal yaks' prevalence was 8.0% (95% CI: 1.0–26.0) and 42.4% (95% CI: 30.3–55.2) respectively (Table 2).

Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis: All *Cryptosporidium*-positive samples were sequenced out of which seven were successfully sequenced. All seven sequences were deposited in NCBI database with accession numbers: PP345956–PP345962. It was found that five of the sequences were 99.28%–99.76% similar to *C. bovis* (OR460649.1) whereas, other two were 99.64%

Table 1: Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in serum samples in yaks

Variables	Category	No. tested	No. positive	% (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)
Farm	C	271	14	5.2 (2.9-8.5)		Reference
	B	102	15	14.7 (8.5-23.1)	0.002	3.165 (1.469-6.821)
	D	169	26	15.4 (10.3-21.7)	<0.001	3.338 (1.689-6.596)
	A	149	33	22.2 (15.8-29.7)	<0.001	5.222 (2.693-10.129)
Animal Health Status	Health	600	52	8.7 (6.5-11.2)		Reference
	Diarrhea	91	36	39.6 (29.5-50.4)	<0.001	6.898 (4.153-11.457)
Gender	Male	303	37	12.2 (8.7-16.4)		Reference
	Female	388	51	13.1 (9.9-16.9)	0.715	1.088 (0.692-1.711)
Age	>4 year	356	22	6.2 (3.9-9.2)		Reference
	2-4 year	161	32	19.9 (14.0-26.9)	<0.001	3.766 (2.109-6.724)
	1-2 year	89	15	16.9 (9.8-26.3)	0.001	3.077 (1.524-6.215)
	0-1 year	85	19	22.4 (14.0-32.7)	<0.001	4.371 (2.240-8.526)
Total		691	88	12.7 (10.3-15.5)		

**Fig. 1:** Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in serum samples in yaks in different farms (a), animal health status (b), genders (c) and ages (d).**Fig. 2:** Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in yaks in different genders. (a) Different Farm, (b) Health Status, (c) Age.**Table 2:** Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in fecal samples in yaks

Yaks	Samples	Positive samples	Prevalence (95% CI)
Healthy	25	2	8.0 (1.0-26.0)
Diarrhea	66	28	42.4 (30.3-55.2)
Total	91	30	33.0 (23.5-43.6)

similar to *C. parvum* (OR460692.1), these results are in agreement with results reported in previous studies (Li *et al.*, 2020). Multiple sequences alignment revealed that the distance between current Chamdo isolates, and available *Cryptosporidium* references was 0.004-0.098 whereas, the distance of yak isolates was 0.002-0.016 (Fig. 3). Phylogenetic tree analysis in Fig. 3 describes that PP345956-PP345960 were clustered together with *C. bovis* (OR460649.1, KT922231.1, OQ456122.1, OP861767.1), whereas PP345961 and PP345962 were clustered with *C. parvum* (OR460692.1, OR460699.1, OR350494.1) (Fig. 4). However, we cannot find *C. andersoni* and *C. ryanae*, which is due to the limited fecal samples in current study. *C. parvum* is a highly prevalent zoonotic parasite causing fatal diarrhea in kid animals (Li *et al.*, 2021). Positive animals are potential threat to the health of other healthy animals and

herdsmen as yaks are inhabiting on the plateau with numerous wild and domestic animals (Mahmoudi *et al.*, 2021). These protozoa are mainly transmitted through intake of oocyst in polluted food or water by host (Mahmoudi *et al.*, 2021). As animals and native people share water resources on this plateau and grazing yaks provide milk products to local people, therefore increase in the infection of *C. parvum* in this plateau is notable. *C. bovis* was mostly detected in post-weaned calves (Zhao *et al.*, 2024) however, it has also been reported in pre-weaned animals (Geng *et al.*, 2021). Although no clinical symptoms are observed in the infection of *C. bovis* nevertheless it causes chronic infection that negatively affects feed conversion efficiency and weight gain of animals (Qin *et al.*, 2024).

The findings of this study indicate that the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* in yaks poses a significant concern in Chamdo, China. The predominant species found in yaks are *Cryptosporidium bovis* and *Cryptosporidium parvum*. The findings of our study provide valuable insights for the development of preventative and control strategies for *Cryptosporidiosis* infection in yaks of Chamdo, China.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1. PP345956	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.010	0.022	0.019	0.019	0.011	0.009	0.047	
2. PP345957	0.005	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.016	0.017	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.010	0.023	0.019	0.019	0.011	0.010	0.047	
3. PP345958	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.010	0.022	0.018	0.019	0.010	0.009	0.047	
4. PP345959	0.004	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.010	0.022	0.018	0.019	0.010	0.010	0.048	
5. PP345960	0.009	0.007	0.010	0.007	0.017	0.018	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.011	0.024	0.020	0.020	0.011	0.011	0.049	
6. PP345961	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.068	0.073	0.003	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.016	0.030	0.026	0.009	0.013	0.053		
7. PP345962	0.071	0.071	0.068	0.070	0.077	0.006	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.016	0.031	0.026	0.009	0.013	0.054		
8. OR460649.1	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.068	0.070	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.009	0.022	0.018	0.018	0.010	0.009	0.047	
9. KT922231.1	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.068	0.070	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.009	0.022	0.018	0.018	0.010	0.009	0.047	
10. OQ456122.1	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.068	0.070	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.009	0.022	0.018	0.018	0.010	0.009	0.047	
11. OP861767.1	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.068	0.070	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.009	0.022	0.018	0.018	0.010	0.009	0.047	
12. OR460692.1	0.068	0.068	0.066	0.067	0.074	0.004	0.002	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.025	0.026	0.008	0.012	0.053		
13. OR460699.1	0.068	0.068	0.066	0.067	0.074	0.004	0.002	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.025	0.026	0.008	0.012	0.053		
14. OR350494.1	0.068	0.068	0.066	0.067	0.074	0.004	0.002	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.030	0.025	0.026	0.008	0.012	0.053		
15. L19068.1	0.039	0.040	0.038	0.038	0.042	0.068	0.070	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.019	0.014	0.015	0.010	0.009	0.042		
16. EU162754.1	0.098	0.099	0.098	0.098	0.102	0.130	0.133	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.080	0.013	0.013	0.024	0.023	0.040		
17. L19069.1	0.082	0.083	0.080	0.080	0.084	0.112	0.113	0.078	0.078	0.078	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.060	0.051	0.002	0.018	0.019	0.040		
18. KF826312.1	0.084	0.085	0.082	0.083	0.087	0.113	0.115	0.080	0.080	0.080	0.112	0.112	0.112	0.063	0.053	0.002	0.019	0.020	0.040		
19. AF115378.1	0.043	0.044	0.042	0.042	0.046	0.037	0.035	0.039	0.039	0.039	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.040	0.103	0.079	0.082	0.007	0.044		
20. AF112576.1	0.038	0.042	0.039	0.039	0.043	0.054	0.055	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.038	0.097	0.081	0.083	0.026	0.045		
21. L24381.1	0.190	0.190	0.188	0.191	0.195	0.207	0.208	0.188	0.188	0.188	0.205	0.205	0.205	0.173	0.166	0.164	0.166	0.179	0.180		

Fig. 3: Analysis of *Cryptosporidium* spp. yak isolates with reference sequences.




Fig. 4: Phylogenetic tree of *Cryptosporidium* spp. isolates using 18S rRNA gene sequences.

Author contributions: SP, CX and KL carried out the conceptual and experimental work. KL wrote the first draft of the manuscript. PS, CX, MUS, WB, AI and KL contributed to the writing and review of the manuscript. KL supervised the study. All authors have approved the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgments: The study was granted by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32102692) and the Start-up fund of Nanjing Agricultural

University (804131).

Conflicts of Interest: None.

REFERENCES

- Geng H, Ni H, Li J, et al., 2021. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Yaks (*Bos grunniens*) in China: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 770612.
- Li K, Li Z, Zeng Z, et al., 2020. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in yaks (*Bos grunniens*) in Naqu, China. *Microb Pathog* 144, 104190.
- Li S, Zou Y, Wang P, et al., 2021. Prevalence and multilocus genotyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in cattle in Jiangxi Province, southeastern China. *Parasitol Res* 120, 1281-1289.
- Mahmoudi M, Tapeh KH, Abasi E, et al., 2021. Prevalence and genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* in pre-weaned cattle in Urmia (Northwestern Iran). *The Journal of Intection in Developing Countries* 422-427.
- Qin H, Lang J, Zhang K, et al., 2024. Study on genetic characteristics of *Cryptosporidium* isolates and first report of *C. parvum* IldA24G2 subtype in dairy cattle in China. *Parasitol Res* 123.
- Wang X, Pei J, Bao P, et al., 2021. Mitogenomic diversity and phylogeny analysis of yak (*Bos grunniens*). *BMC Genomics* 22.
- Witto SG, Kankya C, Akurut G, et al., 2021. The prevalence and genetic characterisation of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from cattle in Kiruhura district, South Western Uganda. *J of Parasite Dis* 778-789.
- Yildirima A, Adanirb R, Incia A, et al., 2020. Prevalence and genotyping of bovine *Cryptosporidium* species in the Mediterranean and Central Anatolia Region of Turkey. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiol and Infectious Dis* 101425.
- Zhao L, Wang M, Wang L, et al., 2024. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy and beef cattle in Shanxi, China. *Parasitol Res* 123.