

Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) DOI: 10.29261/pakvetj/2024.266

REVIEW ARTICLE

Antioxidant Glutathione Supplementation in Semen Extenders and its Effect on the Quality of Cryopreserved Bull Sperm: A Meta-Analysis

Amrozi Amrozi^{1,*}, Sari Yanti Hayanti², Mohammad Miftakhus Sholikin², Berlin Pandapotan Pardede^{1,3}, Herdis Herdis², Jonathan Anugrah Lase², Surya Surya², Desiana Ade Mahari², Ni Wayan Helpina Widyasanti⁴, Pradita Iustitia Sitaresmi², Santiananda Arta Asmarasari², Ragil Angga Prastiya⁵, Yenni Yusriani², Eko Handiwirawan², Simon Elieser², Syahruddin Said³, and Anuraga Jayanegara^{6,7}

¹Division of Reproduction and Obstetrics, School of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, IPB University, Bogor, 16680, West Java, Indonesia; ²Research Center for Animal Husbandry, Research Organization of Agriculture and Food, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Bogor 16911, Indonesia; ³Research Center for Applied Zoology, National Research, and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Bogor, West Java, Indonesia; ⁴Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Udayana University, South Denpasar 80225, Bali, Indonesia; ⁵Veterinary Reproduction Division, Department of Health and Life Sciences, School of Health and Life Sciences (SIKIA), Universitas Airlangga, Banyuwangi 68423, Indonesia; ⁶Animal Feed and Nutrition Modelling Research Group, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, West Java, Indonesia and ⁷Department of Nutrition and Feed Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, West Java, Indonesia.

*Corresponding author: amrozi@apps.ipb.ac.id

ARTICLE HISTORY (24-452) **A B S T R A C T**

Received: Revised: Accepted: Published online: October 18, 2024 July 28, 2024 October 1, 2024 October 6, 2024 **Key words:** Bull Frozen semen Glutathione Meta-analysis Optimal dose

Glutathione, an organic antioxidant, is believed to suppress the damaging effects caused by reactive oxygen species on post-thaw quality of bull semen. This metaanalysis aimed to evaluate the role and optimal dosage of glutathione in reducing the adverse effects of cryopreservation on post-thaw semen quality of bulls. The analysis used data from 24 articles following various selection processes. The parameters observed were total and progressive sperm motility, acrosomal integrity, sperm viability, morphological abnormalities, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity and fertility. Data were analyzed using the mixed model methodology, with glutathione dosage as the fixed effect and different studies as the random effect. A significant effect of glutathione was found on post thaw total sperm motility ($P<0.05$), progressive motility ($P<0.05$) and plasma membrane integrity $(P<0.05)$. The supplementation of glutathione to semen extenders significantly suppressed the increase in post-thaw sperm abnormalities $(P<0.05)$. Glutathione supplementation in semen extenders had varying effects among different bull breeds (P<0.05). Supplementing glutathione is recommended to improve sperm quality in freezing bull semen. Glutathione supplementation can maintain post-thaw sperm quality with an optimal dosage of 4.49 mM.

To Cite This Article: Amrozi A, Hayanti SY, Sholikin MM, Pardede BP, Herdis H, Lase JA, Surya S, Mahari DA, Widyasanti NWH, Sitaresmi PI, Asmarasari SA, Prastiya RA, Yusriani Y, Handiwirawan E, Elieser S, Said S, and Jayanegara A, 2024. Antioxidant glutathione supplementation in semen extenders and its effect on the quality of cryopreserved bull sperm: A Meta-Analysis. Pak Vet J.<http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2024.266>

INTRODUCTION

An effective and efficient artificial insemination (AI) program depends on ability of sperm to fertilize the ovum. In bovines, artificial insemination is mostly performed using frozen thawed semen under field conditions. The efficacy of the field AI program is contingent upon the quality of the frozen semen. The quality of semen after cryopreservation is influenced by various factors, including the type of diluent, glycerol concentration, semen packaging method, cooling rate, and semen handling during the cryopreservation and thawing process (Lyashenko, 2015). Semen cryopreservation suppresses sperm cell metabolism through rapid temperature reduction (Sharafi *et al.,* 2022). Freezing and subsequent thawing of semen generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), impairing sperm motility, membrane integrity and fertilization capability (Büyükleblebici *et al.,* 2014). Sperm mitochondria may undergo alterations in fluidity during cryopreservation, resulting in the release of substantial

quantities of ROS, such as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , nitric oxide (NO), superoxide anion (O_{2-}) , and hydroxyl radical (OH) (Johnson *et al.,* 1999; Said *et al.,* 2010). ROSinduced ATP consumption or damage to the flagellar contractile apparatus affects sperm motility (Guthrie and Welch, 2012). Sperm capacitation and acrosome reactions require ROS but can be harmful at high concentrations (Ugur *et al.,* 2019).

The free radical proliferation in post-thaw bull semen can be reduced through the addition of antioxidants to the extender (Hayanti *et al.,* 2022; Sapanidou *et al.,* 2023). Antioxidants are typically categorized into enzymatic and non-enzymatic types (Flieger *et al.,* 2021). Enzymatic antioxidants facilitate the reduction of hydrogen peroxide and organic peroxides, including phospholipid peroxides, within the antioxidant system of semen (Irato and Santovito, 2021). In contrast, non-enzymatic antioxidants impede superoxide production, thereby safeguarding against sperm dysfunction (Kowalczyk, 2022). Glutathione is an organic compound that serves as an enzymatic antioxidant in various cell types, including spermatozoa. It is found in the cytosol of both animal and plant cells (Meister, 1988; Wu *et al.,* 2004; Hasanuzzaman *et al.,* 2017). In body cells, glutathione is present in two forms, namely reduced glutathione (GSH) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) (Enns and Cowan, 2017). The GSH functions as an antioxidant by scavenging electrophilic species and oxidants, both directly and via enzymatic catalysis (Gaucher *et al.,* 2018). It protects cells against free radicals, reactive oxygen species, lipid hydroperoxides, xenobiotic toxins and heavy metals (Narayanankutty *et al.,* 2019). It is also a cofactor for antioxidant and detoxification enzymes such as glutathione peroxidase, glutathione S-transferase and glyoxalase. It enables cells to adapt and adjust according to changes in the internal and external environment (Panday *et al.,* 2020).

Previous studies have suggested that supplementation of GSH to semen extenders improves the ability of postthawing sperm homeostasis (Karaji *et al.,* 2014; Nadri *et al.,* 2020). However, several other studies have indicated that the dosage of GSH administered in frozen bovine semen extenders varied widely. Meta-analysis can be used as a logical technique to analyze data from several studies using comparable variables to obtain a final dosage recommendation that refers to the results of various previous studies. Therefore, the present meta-analysis aimed to determine the effect of Reduced Glutathione (GSH) supplementation to semen extenders on the quality parameters of cattle bull sperm after freezing and thawing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search through search engine: The articles were obtained through extensive online search on library databases, such as PubMed, Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar, Science Direct, and Scopus. The process involved the use of specific keywords such as "bovine", "bull", "semen", "spermatozoa", "Glutathione", "reduced Glutathione", "GSH", "amino acids", "antioxidants", "supplements", "cryopreserved", "frozen" and "post-thaw". The compilation comprised a comprehensive collection of scholarly publications, including primary research articles, literature reviews and conference proceedings, totaling 508 items published between 1987 and 2023 (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1: The literature selection process for meta-data analysis of the effects of glutathione supplementation on cryopreserved bull sperm.

Selection of articles: The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) protocol, which considers previously available reviews, was followed for selection of articles. The methods were used to ensure that the articles selected were relevant and of high quality for inclusion in the meta-analysis and the possibility of bias in the selection process was minimized (Sholikin *et al.,* 2023). For this purpose, the following six points were considered: i), the title and abstract of the article was related to key-words; ii), articles were selected based on experimental research; iii), articles had sufficient information about materials and methods and were well designed experimentally; iv), articles had information on glutathione type, levels and units; v), articles described the effect of GSH supplementation only on post-thawing sperm; vi), bull semen was used as raw material in the article. A representative selection process and the amount of literature collected are presented in Fig. 1. Articles that did not meet the selection process requirements were discarded.

Meta-data collection and verification: A total of 24 articles obtained through the selection process are presented in Table 1. All of this literature was used for the meta-analysis. Data collected from 24 articles consisted of databases and parameters. The database contained primary information about the dosage of glutathione supplementation to semen extenders. The final unit dosage of glutathione was converted into mM, with the lowest value being 0 mM and the highest value being 10 mM. Apart from the dosage, the glutathione type and breed of bulls were also included in the database. Meanwhile, the parameters observed were total sperm motility (%), progressive motility (%), acrosomal integrity (%), sperm

meta-analysis studies of the effect of glutathione supplementation

able 1. List of literature used in meta-analysis studies or the enect or giutatmone supplementation on cryopreserved sperm.								
No	Article	Dosage (mM)	Country	Breed	Sperm parameters examined			
	Li et al. (2023)	0, 4	China	Holstein	Total motility, acrosome integrity, viability, abnormality, plasma membrane integrity, fertility			
\mathcal{L}	Ogata et al. (2022)	0, 1, 5, 10	Japan	Holstein Japanese Black	Total motility, acrosomal integrity, viability, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, fertility			
3	Almeida et al., (2021)	0, 2	Brazil	Nellore	Total motility, acrosomal integrity, abnormality, plasma membrane integrity, fertility			
	Salman et al., (2021)	0, 5	Austria	Holstein	Total motility, progressive motility, viability, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity			
5	Nadri et al., (2020)	0, 1, 2.5, 5	Iran	Holstein	Total motility, progressive motility, acrosomal integrity, viability, abnormality, plasma membrane integrity, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity			
	6 Pinto et., al (2020)	0, 2.5	Brazil	Nellore	Total motility, acrosomal integrity, abnormality			
	Yadav et al., (2019a)	0, 0.5, 1	India	Hariana	Plasma membrane integrity			
8	Yadav et al., (2019b)	0, 0.5, 1	India	Hariana	Viability			
	9 Yadav et al., (2019c)	0, 0.5, 2	India	Hariana	Progressive motility, sperm viability			
	10 Murtaza et al., (2019)	0, 0.5, 1, 2	Pakistan Sahiwal		Total motility, acrosomal integrity, viability, plasma membrane integrity			
	11 Shah et al., (2017)	0, 0.5, 1	India	Hariana	DNA damage			
	12 Uysal et al., (2007)	0, 5	Turkey	Holstein	Total motility, abnormalities, plasma membrane integrity			
	13 Eidan, (2016)	0, 2	Iraq	Holstein	Total motility, acrosomal integrity, viability, plasma membrane integrity, fertility			
	14 Sattar et al., (2016)	0, 1	Pakistan Sahiwal		Total motility, progressive motility, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity			
	15 Ansari et al., (2014)	0, 0.5, 1	Pakistan Sahiwal		Total motility, acrosomal integrity, viability, plasma membrane integrity			
16	Daghigh-Kia et al., (2014)	0, 5	Iran	Holstein	Total motility, progressive motility, viability, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity			
	17 Karaji et al., (2014)	5, 7.5	Iran	Holstein, Simental	Total motility, progressive motility, viability, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity			
	18 Badr et al., (2012)	0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5 Egypt		Nellore	Total motility, acrosomal integrity, fertility			
	19 Perumal et al., (2011)	0, 5	India	lersey crossbred	Total motility, progressive motility, curvilinear velocity			
	20 El-Harairy et al., (2011)	0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8	Egypt	Friesian	Total motility, viability			
21	Tuncer et al., (2010)	0, 0.5, 2	Turkey	Holstein	Total motility, viability, abnormality, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity, fertility			
	22 Sariözkan et al., (2009)	0, 2	Turkey	Holstein	Total motility, acrosome integrity, acrosome abnormality, abnormality, plasma membrane integrity, fertility			
	23 Perumal, (2008)	0, 5	India	Jersey crossbred	Total motility, viability, abnormality, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity, fertility			
	24 Gadea et al., (2008)	0, 1, 5	Spain	Spanish breed 'Asturiana de Valles'	Total motility, progressive motility, acrosomal integrity, viability, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity, fertility			

liveability (%), morphological abnormalities (%), plasma membrane integrity (%), DNA damage (%), curvilinear velocity (VCL) (μM/s), straight linear velocity (VSL) (μ M/s), and fertility (%).

Construction of mathematical model: The current study utilized quantitative, qualitative and interaction metaregression techniques, also known as a linear mixed model (LMM), as meta-analysis methods (St-Pierre, 2001; Sauvant *et al.,* 2008). The mathematical model is presented below:

$$
Y_{ij} = \mu + s_i + \tau_j + s\tau_{ij} + \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{ij} + (Breed*GSH) + b_i X_{ij} + e_{ij}
$$
 (1)

Note: the dependent variable is represented as Y_{ij} , the average value is represented as μ, the i-th random factor is represented as S_i , and the j-th fixed factor is represented as τ_j . The random interaction factor between the difference in the study and the fixed factor is represented as ST_{ij} . The point at which the average value of all studies intersects with the X-axis is represented as β_0 , while the coefficient is represented as β_1 . The term "Breed*GSH" represents the cumulative impact of all studies. The variable " e_{ij} " denotes the residual error value, whereas " $b_i X_{ij}$ " represents the random effect of the heterogeneity in studies on the regression coefficient Y in the X variable of the i-th

study. The meta-regression test employed in the advanced stage was based on least-squares means, as described by Searle *et al.* (1980).

Statistical model test: The statistical analysis in this metaanalysis was conducted using Core Team (2022) version 4.2.0. The residual mean square error (RMSE) was utilized for statistical testing. The Nakagawa determination value or RGLMM(c)2, as described by Nakagawa *et al.* (2017) and Nakagawa and Schielzeth (2013), was also employed. The Core Team (2022) was the source of the R software. The equations for RMSE and Nakagawa's R-squared (R^2) were as follows:

$$
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (O - P)^2}{NDP}}
$$
 (2)

 $R_{GLMM}(c)^2$

$$
= \frac{(\sigma^2_f + \Sigma(\sigma^2_l))}{(\sigma^2_f + \Sigma(\sigma^2_l) + \sigma^2_e + \sigma^2_d)}
$$
(3)

Here, O represents the observed value, P represents the predicted value and NDP represents the number of data points. Additionally, the formula included the following variables: $\lbrack \lbrack \sigma^2 \rbrack \rbrack$ f, which represented the variant of a fixed factor; $\Sigma(\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix})$, which represented the total of all variants of the component; $[\![\sigma^{\wedge}2]\!]$ e, which

represented the variant owing to the predictor dispersion;

and $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 2 \end{bmatrix}$ d, which represented the particular distribution of the variant. The significance of the model was subsequently evaluated using a variance analysis test, which was considered significant if the p-value was less than 0.05 and tended to be non-significant if the p-value was more than 0.05.

Determination of the optimal dosage: The research determined the optimal point of the response surface methodology (RSM) to ascertain the ideal dosage of Glutathione (Kamarudin *et al.,* 2017). This approach involved one factor, namely the Glutathione dosage (mM). Four responses were selected for assessment: response 1 for total sperm motility (%), response 2 for progressive motility (%), response 3 for abnormalities (%) and response 4 for plasma membrane integrity (%). These responses pertained to the performance parameters observed throughout the entire process of supplementing frozen bovine semen with Glutathione. It was crucial to acknowledge that not all responses were appropriate for analysis using RSM; only explicitly expressed responses were taken into consideration. The optimization process identified the most favorable value for each factor and response. The RSM and optimization procedures were conducted using Design Expert version 13.

RESULTS

The effect of GSH supplementation on frozen semen quality parameters after thawing is presented in Table 2. The quadratic effect of GSH supplementation was found on sperm total motility $(P-quadratic (Pq) < 0.001)$, abnormalities (Pq=0.039) and plasma membrane integrity (Pq=0.005) (Table 2). GSH also had a significant linear effect on sperm total motility (P-linear $(Pl)=0.006$), progressive motility (Pl=0.024), abnormalities (Pl=0.009) and plasma membrane integrity (Pl<0.001). However, it had a non-significant linear effect on acrosome integrity, sperm viability, DNA damage, curvilinear velocity, straight linear velocity and fertility (Table 2).

Glutathione had a significant effect $(P<0.05)$ in preventing a decrease in total sperm motility after thawing (Table 2, Fig. 2a). The optimal response of GSH supplementation at a dosage of 4.49 mM on total spermatozoa motility was 57.2% (Fig. 4). Moreover, supplementation of GSH had a significant effect (P<0.05) on progressive motilit (Table 2, Fig. 2b). The optimal response of GSH at a dosage of 4.49 mM to progressive motility after thawing was 39.2% (Fig. 4). Similarly, GSH supplementation had a significant effect $(P<0.05)$ in preventing an increase in sperm abnormalities in frozen semen (Table 2, Fig. 2e). Optimal supplementation of GSH

Fig. 2: Response of total and progressive motility, acrosomal integrity, sperm viability, abnormality and plasma membrane integrity due to glutathione supplementation in cryopreserved bovine sperm. The blue line represents the effect of increasing and then decreasing, and the red line corresponds to reducing impact.

at a dosage of 4.49 mM can suppress the percentage of abnormalities by up to 11.7% (Fig. 4). Likewise, GSH had a significant effect $(P<0.001)$ on sperm plasma membrane integrity (Table 2, Fig. 2f). The optimal dosage of GSH at 4.49 mM can increase the percentage of plasma membrane integrity up to 62% (Fig. 4). However, glutathione showed a non-significant effect (P>0.05) on acrosomal integrity (Fig. 2c), sperm viability (Fig. 2d), DNA damage (Fig. 3a), VCL (Fig. 3b), VSL (Fig. 3c) and fertility (Fig. 3d). The desirability value obtained at the optimal dosage of 4.49 mM based on the significantly affected parameters was 0.6 (Fig. 4).

The alterations in the values of all parameters prior to and after glutathione administration in post-thaw sperm are

presented in Table 2. The quadratic model indicated that both total motility and plasma membrane integrity exhibited a decline in value following the administration of glutathione. Concurrently, in other quadratic parameters, specifically abnormality, a positive change in value was observed following the administration of glutathione. Following the thawing of sperm, parameters such as total motility, progressive motility, acrosome integrity, sperm viability, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, VSL, and infertility, analyzed through a linear model, exhibited an increase in value with a positive slope after the administration of glutathione. Concurrently, sperm exhibiting abnormal parameters and VCL demonstrated a decline in value following the administration of glutathione.

Table 2: Effect of glutathione supplementation on various quality parameters of cryopreserved sperm.

No.	Parameter		Intercept		Slope		RMSE	R ²	B vs G
		Value	SE		SE Value				
	Total motility (%)	52	2.45	2.39	0.833	0.006	6.17	0.755	0.56
				-0.416	0.102	< 0.001			
2.	Progressive motility (%)	38	3.74	0.498	0.205	0.024	2.14	0.963	0.135
3.	Acrosomal Integrity (%)	57.8	3.94	0.494	0.316	0.127	4.64	0.879	0.654
4.	Sperm viability (%)	59.4	1.7	0.458	0.314	0.15	5.87	0.517	0.33
5.	Abnormality (%)	14.I	0.946	-2.43	0.769	0.009	1.23	0.743	0.127
				0.376	0.162	0.039			
6.	Plasma membrane integrity (%)	49.5	2.62	5.1	1.12	< 0.001	3.79	0.839	0.674
				-0.589	0.192	0.005			
7.	DNA damage (%)	13.5	6.93	0.689	0.411	0.11	5.74	0.899	0.221
8.	Curvi linear velocity (µM/s)	114	9.14	-0.892	0.444	0.053	7.53	0.946	0.032
9.	Straight linear velocity $(\mu M/s)$	57.I	8	0.075	0.273	0.789	2.16	0.986	0.025
10.	Fertility (%) $P = I \cup I \cup I$ $C = I \cup I$ $I \cup I \cup I \cup I$ $M \cup I \cup I$	48.8 $\mathbf{1}$ be $\mathbf{1}$.	7.04	0.515	0.511	0.325 $P = \frac{1}{2}$	5.74	0.901	0.587 $CP =$

 $B =$ bull breed; G = glutathione dosage in mM; R² = R squared Nakagawa for validate linear mixed model, RMSE = root mean square error, and SE = standard error.

Table 3: Effect of glutathione supplementation on various quality parameters of cryopreserved sperm across multiple cattle bull breeds

No.	Parameter	ΒI	B ₂	B3	B ₄	B ₅	B6	B7	B8	B ₉	SEM	p-value
	Total motility (%)	60ab		50. Ia	39a	69.4b	40.2ab	55.6ab	52.2ab	44.7ab	3.64	0.013
	Progressive motility (%)	41.6	54.4	34.		52		12.6	32.1		6.26	0.071
	Acrosomal Integrity (%)	55.8ab		65.1 _b	63.3 _b		43.9ab	67.6b		18.1a	7.68	0.014
	Sperm viability (%)	59.5	62.4	57.5	66.2	66.4		66.2	59		.45	0.47
	Abnormality (%)			l 3. I		13.7	9.72				.24	0.259
6.	Plasma membrane integrity (%)		59.4	54.7		68.8	31.6	47.7	45.9		5.21	0.097
	DNA Damage (%)		13. I	9.1	12.8	13.1					1.52	0.986
8.	Curvi linear velocity $(\mu M/s)$	l 16		135	86.6	107		61.7	74.9		l I.I	0.316
	Straight linear velocity (µM/s)	86.7		52		59.5		49.3			8.53	0.692
10.	Fertility (%)	55.7		60.6	l I.5	57.5	35.7			39.4	7.62	0.48

Note: B1 = Asturiana de Valles, B2 = Hariana, B3 = Holstein, B4 = Japanese Black, B5 = Jersey Crossbred, B6 = Nellore, B7 = Sahiwal, B8 = Simental, B9 = Friesian, SEM = sum error of mean. Different superscripts in breeds indicate differences between breeds only in that parameter. Different superscripts indicate significant differences between bull breeds for each parameter (P<0.05).

The model's efficacy, indicated by an \mathbb{R}^2 value nearing 1.0, is also illustrated in Table 2. Among all parameters, those approximating 1.0 were VSL, VCL and progressive motility. In contrast, total motility, acrosomal integrity, sperm viability, abnormalities, plasma membrane integrity, DNA damage, and fertility exhibited inferior model goodness relative to VSL, VCL, and progressive motility. Table 2 also illustrates the interaction between bulls and glutathione. Bull and glutathione exhibited significant interaction on VCL $(P=0.032)$ and VSL $(P=0.025)$. Meanwhile, there was no interaction between bull and glutathione concerning total motility, progressive motility, acrosomal integrity, sperm viability, plasma membrane integrity, sperm abnormalities, DNA damage and fertility.

The results of the analysis showed that different bull breeds had significant differences in two parameters of the quality of post-thawing bull sperm given GSH (Table 3).

The analysis of GSH supplementation to bulls of several breeds showed significant differences (P<0.05) in total sperm motility and acrosomal integrity. The total sperm motility of Holstein and Japanese Black bull sperm given GSH was significantly lower (P<0.05) compared to the total sperm motility of Jersey Crossbred bulls, but was non-significantly different from Asturiana de Valles, Nellore, Sahiwal and Friesian bulls. Following GSH supplementation, the acrosomal integrity of Friesian bull sperm was significantly lower $(P<0.05)$ compared to the acrosomal integrity of Holstein, Japanese Black and Sahiwal bull sperm but was non-significantly different from the Asturiana de Valles and Nellore bulls.

DISCUSSION

Many studies have recorded variable effects of GSH supplementation as an antioxidant in cryopreserved semen

extenders on sperm quality, especially in bovine species. Some of these studies strongly recommend adding the antioxidant GSH because it increases sperm fertilization ability, with increasing the percentage of motile sperm (Gilmore *et al.,* 2021). Several previous studies on GSH have also suggested the best dosage of GSH that should be supplemented with the semen extender to optimize the improvement in sperm quality parameters. The addition of antioxidants to semen extenders is anticipated to enhance sperm viability during cryopreservation while preserving fertilization capacity of each sperm (İnanç *et al.*, 2018). Unfortunately, the recommended dosages vary greatly, even though studies have been conducted on bovine species (Ogata *et al.,* 2022). The relationship between the supplementation of GSH in the semen extender and various sperm quality parameters was investigated in the present study (Table 1). This meta-study was prepared based on a compilation of literature studies over a decade that have researched and found multiple effects of GSH supplementation with various supplementation dosages and on several bull breeds.

The primary function of GSH in the reproductive system is related to its interaction with other systems as a preventive mechanism against reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Adeoye *et al.,* 2018). Previous studies have clearly stated that GSH deficiency can cause instability of the sperm midpiece, which can cause motility disorders (Adeoye *et al.,* 2018; Abdullah *et al.,* 2021). GSH protects the plasma membrane from lipid peroxidation, scavenging superoxide and preventing oxygen formation (Ayala *et al.,* 2014; Abdullah *et al.,* 2021). Furthermore, it is known that mitochondria are organelles located in the midpiece of the sperm (Pardede *et al.,* 2023).

Mitochondria help produce energy in sperm, which is needed for sperm to be motile and progressive (Pardede *et* *al.,* 2023). Pardede *et al.* (2024) reported decreased spermatozoa motility related to decreased mitochondrial activity. Mitochondrial damage disrupts adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production (Pardede *et al.,* 2023; Pardede *et al.,* 2024). Mitochondria are the primary oxidative energy source for cells that produce ATP through the electron transport chain (Pardede *et al.,* 2024). Castellini *et al.*, (2021) added that energy in the form of ATP is the result of metabolism in the mitochondrial membrane, which plays a role in the work of microtubules so that spermatozoa can move freely.

Furthermore, motile and progressive sperm movement is essential for the success of the fertilization process (Pardede *et al.,* 2024). So, it is unsurprising that motility is one of the main factors or sperm quality parameters most widely used as a standard for determining the feasibility of freezing semen and the distribution of frozen semen (Pardede *et al.,* 2020a; Pardede *et al.,* 2024). It is often used as a determining parameter for the fertility of bulls (Pardede *et al.,* 2020a).

The findings in the current study support the above hypothesis. The supplementation of GSH in the extender to frozen semen significantly improves several sperm quality parameters (Table 2). Based on these parameters, the optimum dosage that can be supplemented to the extender as part of the cryopreservation process was 4.49 mM (Fig. 4), with a desirability value of 0.6. The desirability value of 0.6 indicates that the dosage of 4.49 mM obtained through the meta-analysis is optimal but has the potential to be increased. With a dosage of 4.49 mM, it is believed that the supplementation of antioxidant will be more optimal and can provide maximum impact and improve various aspects of sperm quality parameters. Furthermore, based on analysis, the value of 4.49 mM is believed to apply to specific and all bull breeds. Nineteen of the twenty-four articles used in this meta-analysis study were close to the optimum dosage, while the rest varied with dosages only reaching 4.0 mM. Despite this dosage, several previous studies showed a significant impact, but only in certain bull breeds, such as Holstein and Nellore bulls (Table 3).

There was a significant increase in the percentage of total and progressive sperm motility and plasma membrane integrity and decrease in sperm abnormalities following supplementation of semen extender with GSH (Table 2). GSH supplementation may increase the possibility of successful fertilization and improve fertility rates. However, the results show that the GSH supplementation did not significantly improve the fertility rates in this study. However, besides the quality of the sperm (Butler *et al.,* 2019), many other factors can also influence fertility rate in bulls. These factors include the cow, AI technicians in the field, extender and such others (Butler *et al.,* 2019). However, when talking about the fertility of bulls, sperm quality is an essential factor (Kusumawati *et al.,* 2023). According to Pardede *et al.* (2020a) and Pardede *et al.* (2024), the fertility of bulls is greatly influenced by the quality of semen, where good quality semen must contain spermatozoa that are motile (above 80%), live (above 80%), have intact plasma membranes (above 80%), intact acrosomal membranes (above 90%), and have low abnormal morphology (below 45%), which is good for fertilizing the oocyte. However, recently, it has been suggested that there are intrinsic spermatozoa factors,

including molecules such as RNA and protein, which play an essential role and are considered more accurate in determining the fertility of bulls (Pardede *et al.,* 2020b; Indriastuti *et al.,* 2022; Rosyada *et al.,* 2023).

Glutathion was also found to improve plasma membrane integrity with a optimum effect value of 62% (Fig. 3). Plasma membrane parameters are related to the function of spermatozoa movement (Shan *et al.,* 2021). The stability of the plasma membrane affects ATP production, whereas damage to the membrane causes ATP production to stop so that spermatozoa are unable to move (Gallo *et al.,* 2021). It was further explained that the integrity of the spermatozoa plasma membrane is essential for optimizing spermatozoa function (Shan *et al.,* 2021) because only spermatozoa with intact plasma membranes can survive, go through a series of complex changes in the female reproductive tract and can fertilize oocytes (García-Vázquez *et al.,* 2016). According to Boe-Hansen *et al.* (2018), midpiece damage contributes the most to bovine sperm abnormalities, namely 9.97%. As it was explained that GSH deficiency is related to the midpiece, this may be related to the abnormalities found in this study (Adeoye *et al.,* 2018). However, the various studies compiled did not explain what morphological abnormalities were intended in the study. However, several other studies have demonstrated a connection between abnormalities in the plasma membrane and spermatozoa motility (Pardede *et al.,* 2020a; Palacin *et al.,* 2020). The various sperm quality parameters play their respective roles in the normal function of sperm, which is closely related to fertility (Pardede *et al.,* 2020a; Pardede *et al.,* 2022). In a study involving infertile men with unilateral varicocele or genital tract inflammation, glutathione caused a significant improvement in sperm quality (Adeoye *et al.,* 2018). The glutathione/reductase system protects against lipid peroxidation in the spermatozoa plasma membrane (Atig *et al.,* 2012). It scavenges lipid peroxides, thereby stopping the progressive chain reaction of lipid peroxidation (Ayala *et al.,* 2014; Adeoye *et al.,* 2018). GSH also scavenges hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , which is responsible for lipid peroxidation (Chaudhary *et al.,* 2023). Glutathione reductase reduces glutathione disulfide to reduced glutathione, thereby recycling it (Vašková *et al.,* 2023).

Specifically, this research found that bull breeds had different and varied response after GSH supplementation to the frozen semen extender, especially on total motility and acrosome integrity. It cannot be denied that bull breeds have different sperm quality characteristics, and this has been widely reported before (Barth and Waldner 2002; Novianti *et al.,* 2020; Pardede *et al.,* 2021; Pardede *et al.,* 2022). Moreover, the qualities of semen are also influenced by various factors, most of which are influenced by genetics and the environment (Barth and Waldner, 2002; Donkin and Barrès, 2018). Different bull-rearing environments can significantly affect the quality of the semen, not to mention genetic factors that have been carried since the bull was still in embryo form (Donkin and Barrès, 2018). However, of course, these findings are quite relevant in determining the particular dosage of GSH to be given, which at least needs to consider the breed factor or at least can be material for further studies to group them first based on species, such as *Bos taurus*, *Bos indicus*, and *Bos sondaicus*. However, as discussed previously, the meta-analysis results show that the

dosage of 4.49 mM is optimal and can be applied to all bull breeds without considering breed factors. However, further studies with these findings would be beneficial and enrich the results of this meta-analysis study.

Conclusions: Glutathione supplementation in the semen extender for the cryopreservation of bull semen may maintain some sperm quality parameters post-thawing. The optimal dosage of Glutathione recommended as an antioxidant in semen extenders during cryopreservation is 4.49 mM, with the expected positive impacts including increasing total and progressive motility, plasma membrane integrity, and minimizing sperm abnormalities.

Acknowledgments: IPB University and the National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia, funded and supported the study.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' contributions: AA and SYH conceived the idea of the study, which was supervised by AA, SYH, EH, SE, SS, HH and AJ; JAL, SS, DAM, NWHW, PIS, SAA and YY tabulated the data; MMS and SS validated the database; MMS, JAL and RAP performed the analysis visualization; SYH and BPP prepared the paper; AA, BPP, YY, EH, SE, HH and SS reviewed the paper; JAL, BPP, SS, DAM, NWHW, PIS, SAA and YY carried out necessary editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah F, Nor-Ashikin MNK, Agarwal R, *et al.,* 2021. Glutathione (GSH) improves sperm quality and testicular morphology in streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice. Asian J Androl 23(3): 281- 287. doi: 10.4103/aja.aja_81_20.
- Adeoye O, Olawumi J, Opeyemi A, *et al.,* 2018. Review on the role of glutathione on oxidative stress and infertility. JBRA Assist Reprod 22(1):61-66. doi: 10.5935/1518-0557.20180003.
- Almeida DS, Pinto S, Alves MBR, *et al.,* 2021. Glutathione and IGF-1 in bovine seminal cryopreservation: oxidative stress response and pregnancy rate. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 73:311-319. doi: 10.1590/1678-4162-12060.
- Ansari MS, Rakha BA, Iqbal R, *et al.,* 2014. Effect of glutathione in extender on the freezability of Sahiwal Bull spermatozoa. Pak J Zool 46(1): 17-21.
- Atig F, Raffa M, Abdelhamid K, *et al.,* 2012. Altered antioxidant status and increased lipid per-oxidation in seminal plasma of tunisian infertile men. Int J Biol 8(1): 139-49. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.8.139.
- Ayala A, Muñoz MF and Argüelles S, 2014. Lipid peroxidation: production, metabolism, and signaling mechanisms of malondialdehyde and 4 hydroxy-2-nonenal. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2014:360438. doi: 10.1155/2014/360438.
- Badr MR, Abd El-Malak MG and Ghattas TA, 2012. Effect of glutathione on the freezability and in vitro fertilizing potentials of bovine spermatozoa. Assiut Vet Med J 58(134): 374-381.
- Barth AD and Waldner CL, 2002. Factors affecting breeding soundness classification of beef bulls examined at the Western College of Veterinary Medicine. Can Vet J 43(4):274-84.
- Boe-Hansen GB, Fortes MRS and Satake N, 2018. Morphological defects, sperm DNA integrity, and protamination of bovine spermatozoa. Andrology 6(4):627-33. doi: 10.1111/andr.12486.
- Butler ML, Bormann JM, Weaber RL, *et al.,* 2019. Selection for bull fertility: a review. Transl Anim Sci 4(1): 423-441. doi: 10.1093/tas/txz174.
- Büyükleblebici S, Tuncer PB, Bucak MN, *et al.,* 2014. Cryopreservation of bull sperm: effects of extender supplemented with different cryoprotectants and antioxidants on sperm motility, antioxidant capacity and fertility results. Anim Reprod Sci 150(3-4):77-83. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2014.09.006.
- Castellini C, D'Andrea S, Cordeschi G, *et al.,* 2021. Pathophysiology of mitochondrial dysfunction in human spermatozoa: focus on

energetic metabolism, oxidative stress and apoptosis. Antioxidants (Basel) 10(5):695. doi: 10.3390/antiox10050695.

- Chaudhary P, Janmeda P, Docea AO, *et al.,* 2023. Oxidative stress, free radicals and antioxidants: potential crosstalk in the pathophysiology of human diseases. Front Chem 11:1158198. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2023.1158198.
- Core Team R, 2022. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, " R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. doi: R-project.org/.
- Daghigh-Kia H, Olfati-Karaji R, Hosseinkhani A, *et al.,* 2014. Effect of rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis) extracts and glutathione antioxidants on bull semen quality after cryopreservation. Spanish J Agri Res 12(1): 98-105; doi: 10.5424/sjar/2014121-4486.
- Donkin I and Barrès R, 2018. Sperm epigenetics and influence of environmental factors. Mol Metab 14:1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2018.02.006.
- Eidan SM, 2016. Effect on post-cryopreserved semen characteristics of Holstein bulls of adding combinations of vitamin C and either catalase or reduced glutathione to Tris extender. Anim Reprod Sci 167: 1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.01.014.
- El-Harairy MA, Eid L, Zeidan A, *et al.,* 2011. Quality and fertility of the frozen-thawed bull semen as affected by the different cryoprotectants and glutathione levels. J Am Sci 7(5): 791-801.
- Enns GM and Cowan TM, 2017. Glutathione as a redox biomarker in mitochondrial disease-implications for therapy. J Clin Med 6(50):1- 17. doi: 10.3390/jcm6050050.
- Flieger J, Flieger W, Baj J, *et al.,* Antioxidants: classification, natural sources, activity/capacity measurements, and usefulness for the synthesis of nanoparticles. Materials (Basel) 14(15):4135. doi: 10.3390/ma14154135.
- Gadea J, Gumbao D, Matás C, *et al.,* 2008. Supplementation of the dilution medium after thawing with reduced glutathione improves function and the in vitro fertilizing ability of frozen-thawed bull spermatozoa. Int J Androl 31(1):40-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2605.2007.00756.x.
- Gallo A, Esposito MC, Tosti E, *et al.,* E. 2021. Sperm motility, oxidative status, and mitochondrial activity: exploring correlation in different species. Antioxidants (Basel) 10(7):1131. doi: 10.3390/antiox10071131.
- Gaucher C, Boudier A, Bonetti J, *et al.,* 2018. Glutathione: antioxidant properties dedicated to nanotechnologies. Antioxidants 7(5):62. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox7050062.
- García-Vázquez FA, Gadea J, Matás C, *et al.,* 2016. Importance of sperm morphology during sperm transport and fertilization in mammals. Asian J Androl 18(6):844-50. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.186880.
- Gilmore A, Hitit M, Ugur MR, *et al.*, 2021. Functional variables of bull sperm associated with cryotolerance. Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg 27(3):371-79. doi: 10.9775/kvfd.2021.25653.
- Guthrie HD and Welch GR, 2012. Effects of reactive oxygen species on sperm function. Theriogenology 78(8): 1700-8. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2012.05.002.
- Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Anee TI, *et al.,* 2017. Glutathione in plants: Biosynthesis and physiological role in environmental stress tolerance. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 23(2):249-68. doi: 10.1007/s12298-017-0422-2.
- Hayanti SY, Sholikin MM, Jayanegara A, *et al.,* 2022. Effect of supplementing L-cysteine and its group analogs on frozen semen quality of bulls: A meta-analysis. Vet World 15(11): 2517-24. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2022.2517-2524.
- İnanç ME, Olgac KT, Tekin K, *et al.,* 2018. Effect of cholesterol and 7- Dehydrocholesterol on bull semen freezing with different rates of glycerol. Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg 24(6): 815-20. doi: 10.9775/kvfd.2018.19958.
- Indriastuti R, Pardede BP, Gunawan A, *et al.,* 2022. Sperm transcriptome analysis accurately reveals male fertility potential in livestock. Animals (Basel) 12(21):2955. doi: 10.3390/ani12212955.
- Irato P and Santovito G, 2021. Enzymatic and non-enzymatic molecules with antioxidant function. Antioxidants (Basel) 10(4):579. doi: 10.3390/antiox10040579.
- Johnson LA, Welch GR and Rens W, 1999. The Beltsville sperm sexing technology: high-speed sperm sorting gives improved sperm output for in vitro fertilization and AI. J Anim Sci 77(2):213-20. doi: 10.2527/1999.77suppl_2213xA.
- Kamarudin NB, Sharma S, Gupta A, *et al.,* 2017. Statistical investigation of extraction parameters of keratin from chicken feather using Design-Expert. 3 Biotech 7(2):127. doi: 10.1007/s13205-017-0767-9.
- Karaji RO, Daghigh HK and Ashrafi I, 2014. Effects of in combination antioxidant supplementation on microscopic and oxidative parameters of freeze-thaw bull sperm. Cell Tissue Bank 15(3): 461- 70. doi: 10.1007/s10561-013-9412-y.

Kowalczyk A, 2022. The role of the natural antioxidant mechanism in sperm cells. Reprod Sci 29(5):1387-94. doi: 10.1007/s43032-021-00795-w.

- Kusumawati A, Satrio FA, Indriastuti R, *et al.,* 2023. Sperm head morphology alterations associated with chromatin instability and lack of protamine abundance in frozen-thawed sperm of Indonesian local bulls. Animals (Basel) 13(15):2433. doi: 10.3390/ani13152433.
- Li S, Ren J, Zhang W, *et al.,* 2023. Glutathione and selenium nanoparticles have a synergistic protective effect during cryopreservation of bull semen. Front Vet Sci 10:1093274. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1093274.
- Lyashenko A, 2015. Effect of different thawing procedures on the quality and fertility of the bull spermatozoa. Asian Pacific J Reprod 4(1):17- 21. doi: 10.1016/S2305-0500(14)60051-8.
- Meister A, 1988. Glutathione metabolism and its selective modification. J Biol Chem 263(33):17205-208.
- Murtaza A, Ahmad M, Zubair M, *et al.,* 2019. Comparative effects of addition of superoxide dismutase and reduced glutathione on cryopreservation of Sahiwal bull semen. J Hell Vet Med Soc 69(4): 1291-96. doi: 10.12681/jhvms.19619.
- Nadri T, Towhidi A, Zenioaldini S, *et al.,* 2020. Supplemental glutathione improves post-thaw quality of Holstein bull sperm in a nanomicelle based extender. Iran J Appl Anim Sci 10(4): 615-22.
- Nakagawa S and Schielzeth H, 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R 2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol Evol 4:133-42. doi: 10.1111/j.2041- 210x.2012.00261x.
- Nakagawa S, Johnson PCD and Schielzeth H, 2017. The coefficient of determination R2 and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and expanded. J Royal Soc Interface 14:20170213, doi: 10.1098/rsif.2017.0213.
- Narayanankutty A, Job JT and Narayanankutty V, 2019. Glutathione, an antioxidant tripeptide: dual roles in carcinogenesis and chemoprevention. Curr Protein Pept Sci 20(9):907-17. doi: 10.2174/1389203720666190206130003.
- Novianti I, Purwantara B, Herwijanti E, *et al.,* 2020. Effect of breeds on semen characteristics of aged bulls in the Indonesian National Artificial Insemination Center. Indones J Anim Sci 30(2):173-79. doi: 10.21776/ub.jiip.2020.030.02.10.
- Ogata K, Imai A, Sato S, *et al.,* 2022. Effects of reduced glutathione supplementation in semen freezing extender on frozen-thawed bull semen and *in vitro* fertilization. J Reprod Develop 68(1):53-61. doi: 10.1262/jrd.2021-079.
- Palacin I, Santolaria P, Alquezar-Baeta C, *et al.,* 2020. Relationship of sperm plasma membrane and acrosomal integrities with sperm morphometry in Bos taurus. Asian J Androl 22(6):578-82. doi: 10.4103/aja.aja_2_20.
- Panday S, Talreja R and Kavdia M, 2020. The role of glutathione and glutathione peroxidase in regulating cellular level of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Microvasc Res 131:104010. doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2020.104010.
- Pardede BP, Agil M, Yudi Y, *et al.,* 2020a. Relationship of frozen-thawed semen quality with the fertility rate after being distributed in the Brahman cross breeding program. Vet World 13(12): 2649-57. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2020.2649-2657.
- Pardede BP, Agil M and Supriatna I, 2020b. Protamine and other proteins in sperm and seminal plasma as molecular markers of bull fertility. Vet World 13(3):556-62. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2020.556-562.
- Pardede BP, Agil M, Karja NWK, *et al.,* 2022. PRM1 gene expression and its protein abundance in frozen-thawed spermatozoa as potential fertility markers in breeding bulls. Vet Sci 9(3):111. doi: 10.3390/vetsci9030111.
- Pardede BP, Kusumawati A, Pangestu M, *et al.,* 2023. Bovine sperm HSP-70 molecules: A potential cryo-tolerance marker associated with semen quality and fertility rate. Front Vet Sci 9(10):1167594. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1167594.
- Pardede BP, Karja NWK, Said S, *et al.,* 2024. Bovine nucleoprotein transitions genes and protein abundance as valuable markers of sperm motility and the correlation with fertility. Theriogenology 215:86-94. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2023.11.015.
- Pardede BP, Maulana T, Kaiin EM, *et al.,* 2021. The potential of sperm bovine protamine as a protein marker of semen production and quality at the National Artificial Insemination Center of Indonesia. Vet World 14(9):2473-81. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2021.2473-2481.
- Perumal P, 2008. Reduced glutathione and cysteine hydrochloride on crossbred bull semen. Nat Prec pp: 1-147. doi: 10.1038/npre.2012.6998.1.
- Perumal P, Selvaraju S, Selvakumar S, *et al.,* 2011. Effect of pre-freeze addition of cysteine hydrochloride and reduced glutathione in semen of crossbred Jersey bulls on sperm parameters and

conception rates. Reprod Domest Anim 46(4):636-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0531.2010.01719.x.

- Pinto S, Almeida DS, Alves MBR, *et al.,* 2020. Does supplementation of vitamin C, reduced glutathione or their association in semen extender reduce oxidative stress in bovine frozen semen?. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 72:9-17. doi: 10.1590/1678-4162-11293.
- Rosyada ZNA, Pardede BP, Kaiin EM, *et al.,* 2023. A proteomic approach to identifying spermatozoa proteins in Indonesian native Madura bulls. Front Vet Sci 10:1287676. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1287676.
- Said TM, Gaglani A and Agarwal A, 2010. Implication of apoptosis in sperm cryoinjury. Reprod Biomed Online 21(4):456-62. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2010.05.011.
- Salman A, Caamaño JN, Fernández-Alegre E, *et al.,* 2021. Supplementation of the BIOXcell extender with the antioxidants crocin, curcumin and GSH for freezing bull semen. Res Vet Sci 136: 444-52. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2021.03.025.
- Sapanidou V, Tsantarliotou MP and Lavrentiadou SN, 2023. A review of the use of antioxidants in bovine sperm preparation protocols. Anim Reprod Sci 251:107215. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2023.107215.
- Sariözkan S, Tuncer P, Bucak MN, *et al.,* 2009. Influence of various antioxidants on microscopic-oxidative stress indicators and fertilizing ability of frozen-thawed bull semen. Acta Vet Brno 78(3): 463-69. doi: 10.2754/avb200978030463.
- Sattar A, Farhan F, Andrabi SMH, *et al.,* 2016. Cysteine and glutathione improves post-thaw quality of Sahiwal bull spermatozoa. Anim Reprod Sci 169: 99-135. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.03.029.
- Sauvant D, Schmidely P, Daudin JJ, *et al.,* 2008. Meta-analyses of experimental data in animal nutrition. Animal 2:1203-14. doi: 10.1017/S1751731108002280.
- Searle SR, Speed FM and Milliken GA, 1980. Population marginal means in the linear model: An alternative to least squares means. Am Stat 34:216-21. doi: 10.1080/00031305.1980.10483031.
- Shah N, Singh V, Yadav HP, *et al.,* 2017. Effect of reduced glutathione supplementation in semen extender on tyrosine phosphorylation and apoptosis like changes in frozen thawed Hariana bull spermatozoa. Anim Reprod Sci 182:111-22. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2017.05.006.
- Shan S, Xu F, Hirschfeld M, *et al.,* 2021. Sperm lipid markers of male fertility in mammals. Int J Mol Sci, 22(16): 8767. doi: 10.3390/ijms22168767.
- Sharafi M, Borghei-Rad SM, Hezavehei M, *et al.,* 2022. Cryopreservation of semen in domestic animals: a review of current challenges, applications, and prospective strategies. Animals (Basel), 12(23): 3271. doi: 10.3390/ani12233271.
- Sholikin MM, Sadarman, Irawan A, *et al.,* 2023. A meta-analysis of the effects of clay mineral supplementation on alkaline phosphatase, broiler health, and performance. Poult Sci 102(3): 102456. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.102456.
- St-Pierre NR, 2001. Invited review: Integrating quantitative findings from multiple studies using mixed model methodology. J Dairy Sci 84:741-55. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74530-4.
- Tuncer PB, Bucak MN, Büyükleblebici S, *et al.,* 2010. The effect of cysteine and glutathione on sperm and oxidative stress parameters of postthawed bull semen. Cryobiology 61(3):303-7. doi: 10.1016/j.cryobiol.2010.09.009.
- Ugur MR, Saber AA, Evans HC, *et al.,* 2019. Advances in cryopreservation of bull sperm. Front Vet Sci 27(6):268. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00268.
- Uysal O, Bucak M, Yavaş I, *et al.,* 2007. Effect of various antioxidants on the quality of frozen-thawed bull semen. J Anim Vet Adv 6(12):1362-66.
- Vašková J, Kočan L, Vaško L, *et al.,* 2023. Glutathione-related enzymes and proteins: A review. Molecules 28(3):1447. doi: 10.3390/molecules28031447.
- Yadav D, Singh A, Yadav S, *et al.,* 2019a. Effect of glutathione on membrane integrity of bovine. spermatozoa during cryopreservation of extended semen in Tris based dilutor. Pharma Innov J 8(6):734-38.
- Yadav D, Singh V, Yadav SS, *et al.,* 2019b. Effect of glutathione on viability and acrosomal integrity of bovine spermatozoa during graded cryopreservation. J Pharmacog Phytochem 8(3): 4796-4800.
- Yadav D, Singh V, Yadav SS, *et al.,* 2019c. Effect of glutathione on viability and progressive motility of Hariana bull spermatozoa during cryopreservation in semi-arid region. Pharma Innov J 8(6):871-76.
- Wu G, Fang YZ, Yang S, *et al.* 2004. Glutathione metabolism and its $implications for health.$ J Nutr $134(3):489-92.$ 10.1093/jn/134.3.489.