

Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) DOI: 10.29261/pakvetj/2024.264

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Identification of Virulence Genes and Multidrug Resistance in Shiga-Toxin Producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from Migratory and Captive Wild Birds

Asikur Rahman¹, Md. Shahidur Rahman Chowdhury¹, Hemayet Hossain², Fahmy Gad Elsaid³, Layla A. Almutairi⁴, Ruhena Begum¹, Mirza Synthia Sabrin⁵, Md. Rashedunnabi Akanda⁶, Md. Mukter Hossain¹, Md. Rafiqul Islam¹, Md. Masudur Rahman⁷, Md. Mahfujur Rahman^{1*}

¹Department of Medicine, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh; ²Department of Anatomy and Histology, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh; ³Department of Biology, College of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 960, Asir, Abha, 61421, Saudi Arabia; ⁴Department of Biology, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia; ⁵Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh; ⁶Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh; ⁷Department of Pathology, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh. *Corresponding author: mahfuj.vetmed@.sau.ac.bd

ARTICLE HISTORY (24-503)

Received:	August 17, 2024			
Revised:	September 26, 2024			
Accepted:	October 7, 2024			
Published online:	October 16, 2024			
Key words:				
Captive wild birds				
STEC				
E. coli				
MDR				
Migratory Bir	ds			
Virulent genes	S			
-				

ABSTRACT

Multi-drug resistant-Shiga toxin producing Escherichia coli (MDR-STEC), poses considerable health risks for human, animals and birds. Migratory and captive wild birds are known carriers of this pathogen. This study aimed to investigate prevalence of MDR-STEC along with its associated virulence genes from migratory and captive wild birds in Bangladesh. A total of 247 fecal specimens were obtained from both migratory (119) and captive wild birds (128) for the isolation and characterization of E. coli. Standard microbiological and biochemical methods were used for identification and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was employed for confirmation of E. coli isolates followed by disc diffusion method to determine antibiotic susceptibility. The overall E. coli prevalence was 80.97% (200/247; 95% CI: 75.51-85.67), with a prevalence of 77.31% (92/119) in migratory birds and 84.38% (108/128) in captive wild birds. Among the 200 E. coli isolates, 53 (26.5%; 95% CI: 20.5-33.2) were identified as multidrug-resistant (MDR), with 21.7% (20/92) of MDR isolates originating from migratory birds and 30.6% (33/108) from captive wild birds. Only 24 (12%) isolates were positive for virulent gene stx2 whereas 167 (83.50%) isolates were positive for *fimC*. Among the β -lactamase resistant genes, bla_{TFM} (91.50%; 183/200) was found significantly (p<0.0001) higher than bla_{SHV} (9.00%; 18/200). Among the antimicrobial resistant genes, 175 (87.50%) isolates were found positive for qnrS resistant gene. E. coli isolates of birds exhibited diverse phenotypic AMR patterns, with complete (100%) resistance to several antibiotics (ampicillin, ceftazidime, cefuroxime, and tetracycline) while being entirely sensitive to others (ceftriaxone, amikacin and aztreonam). This research underscores the concerning prevalence of E. coli strains having various virulent genes and resistant to multiple drugs among the wild birds. It emphasizes the immediate requirement for bridging wildlife and public health domains to address the threats posed by the antibiotic-resistant pathogens.

To Cite This Article: Rahman A, Chowdhury Md.SR, Hossain H, Elsaid FG, Almutairi LA, Begum R, Sabrin MS, Akanda Md.R, Hossain Md.M, Islam Md.R, Rahman Md.M, Rahman Md.M, 2024. Identification of virulence genes and multidrug resistance in Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from migratory and captive wild birds. Pak Vet J. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2024.264</u>

INTRODUCTION

There are approximately 10,000 distinct bird species globally (Orubu *et al.*, 2020). Birds have the ability to fly

enormous distances from country to country. Mostly, the migratory birds come to temperate countries in winter and take harbor in haor, ponds, lakes and rivers. It has been observed that migratory birds can spread disease causing organisms to people and animals (Hird et al., 2015; Shah et al., 2022). Numerous studies have revealed bacterial disease transmission pathways from migrating birds to aquatic habitats (Guenther et al., 2010). Pathogenic bacteria from polluted aquatic environments can be disseminated to humans, livestock, and poultry through anseriformes species, including ducks and other waterfowl. Individuals living near migratory bird resting areas might find themselves exposed to water contamination, which they may attempt to utilize for residential or agricultural needs. Additionally, using contaminated water for the production of dairy or poultry might spread bacterial infections to humans and other animals. One of the microorganisms that migrating birds carry that have been found in their gut microbiomes is Escherichia coli, which is frequently found in both humans and avian hosts (Rahman et al., 2020). Fostering stronger connections among wildlife, domestic animals, and humans is crucial for improving veterinary and public health. Emerging research has shown that the intestinal microbiome of wild birds, particularly multidrug-resistant (MDR) Escherichia coli (E. coli), plays a pivotal role in this intricate network (Guenther et al., 2010; Hopkins et al., 2005). Multidrug resistant avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC) have been found to inhabit in wild birds, and these birds can act as mechanical vectors to spread the infection to people and commercial poultry (Joseph et al., 2023). This complex relationship emphasizes the importance of understanding and controlling the dynamics existed between various animal populations and people in order to reduce the risks related to antibiotic resistance. According to Pokharel et al. (2023), pathogenic strains of E. coli pose a risk to the respiratory, urinary, and circulatory systems in both human and animals. In particular, avian colibacillosis, a condition affecting chicken, is attributed to avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC). This infectious disease holds substantial importance within the poultry industry (Foxman, 2010). Beyond its effects on avian health, APEC has a significant impact on human and animal health by highlighting the connection between pathogenic E. coli infections and the importance of holistic approaches to controlling and such diseases. This disease preventing induces inflammation in the bone marrow, pericardium, peritoneum, joints, fallopian tube, and the yolk sac in poultry (Joseph et al., 2023). Numerous virulence factors, including fimC, stx1, stx2, fimH, cvi, iss, papC, tss, and iucD, have been linked to its pathogenesis (Ievy et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2021). STEC strains exhibit one or both of the Shiga toxins, Stx1 and Stx2. An elevated risk of hemolytic uremic syndrome is specifically linked to the Stx2 gene (Nada et al., 2023; Ylinen et al., 2020). The number and arrangement of virulence genes in APEC determines the pathogenic potential of the bacteria (Johar et al., 2021).

The virulence genes (*fimC*, *iucD*, *stx1* and *stx2*) play crucial roles in the pathogenicity of STEC. The *fimC* gene encodes a component of type 1 fimbriae, which is involved in bacterial adhesion to host cells, facilitating colonization and infection, while *iucD* gene is part of the aerobactin operon involved in iron acquisition, essential for bacterial growth in iron-limited environments (Rubab and Oh, 2020). The *stx1* and *stx2* genes encode Shiga toxins, which

inhibit protein synthesis in host cells, leading to severe conditions like hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome (Naidoo and Zishiri, 2023). Regarding the issue of antibiotic resistance, *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{OXA}, and *bla*_{SHV} genes encode beta-lactamases that break down beta-lactam antibiotics (Van Hoek et al., 2023), tetA pumps out tetracycline, qnrS protects against quinolones, sul1 confers resistance to sulfonamides, strA inactivates streptomycin, and *mefA* expels macrolides, reducing their efficacy. These genes collectively contribute to the multidrug resistance observed in STEC strains (Amézquita-López et al., 2024; Rubab and Oh, 2020). The iucD gene enhances iron acquisition, promoting bacterial growth and increasing the severity of systemic infections (Ievy et al., 2020). APEC infections, recognized as zoonotic diseases, are linked to E. coli infection in newborn meninges, leading to infant meningitis, as well as pathogenic infections in the urinary tract in human (Hird et al., 2015; Mellata, 2013).

A critical global issue threatening One Health is "antimicrobial resistance (AMR)". Failure to address AMR effectively by 2050 is projected to lead to 100 million human fatalities, alongside considerable economic losses and reduced productivity (Ahmed et al., 2024). Drugresistant E. coli bacteria have been detected in both wild and migratory bird species (Naseer et al., 2017; Orubu et al., 2020) emphasizing the critical role that these birds play as reservoirs and significant vectors in the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) within the environment (Bonnedahl and Järhult, 2014). E. coli strains exhibiting resistance to multiple treatments have been identified in wild birds held in captivity and during migration across various regions of the world (Sano et al., 2023). This study thoroughly examined the frequency, as well as the phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of AMR traits in Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) isolated from captive wild and migratory bird species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval: The Animal Experimentation and Ethics Committee (AEEC), Sylhet Agricultural University, Bangladesh assessed and approved this work with the goal of improving animal welfare and minimizing the use of animals in vital research. The Divisional Forest Office and Wildlife Conservation Center, Sylhet, Bangladesh, as well as Animal Use Protocol No. [#AUP2022035] approved this inquiry. No specific authorization was required to perform the fieldwork because no sensitive or endangered species were harmed in any of the field investigations for this study.

Research framework and sampling approach: A crosssectional study was carried out at a number of places in Sylhet division of Bangladesh from January 2022 to February 2023. The wild birds' (Black Crowned Heron, Dove, Eagle, Golden Pigeon, Grey Parrot, Grey Parrot, Grey Heron, Horn bill, Kalim, Kite, Macaw, Mathura, Myna, Parrot, Peacock, Purple Heron, Red Jungle Fowl, Silver Pigeon, Sun Conure, Vulture) fecal samples were collected from Tilagor Eco Park, Sylhet and Bangladesh Bannyaprani Sheba Foundation (BBSF), Sreemangal whereas migratory birds' (Red-crested Pochard, Glossy Ibis, Kingfisher and mixed flocks of duck species) fecal samples were collected from Horipur, Tanguar Haor and Tahirpur, Sunamganj (Fig. 1). Convenience sampling strategy was employed to collect the desired samples from the study area.

Determining the sample size: The sample size needed to estimate the prevalence was determined using a specific equation (Asha *et al.*, 2024):

$$n = \frac{Z^2 P_{exp} (1 - P_{exp})}{d^2}$$

Where, P_{exp} = expected prevalence, n = required sample size, d= desired absolute precision and Z = 1.96 for 95% confidence interval level. Utilizing prior data indicating a prevalence rate of 83.33% for E. coli in migratory birds (Islam *et al.*, 2021) denoted as $P_{exp} = 0.83$, from a previous study, was employed to optimize the sample size. Using this P_{exp} with a desired absolute precision d=0.05, a required sample size of at least 214 birds were determined. We collected a total 247 fecal samples from both migratory (119) and captive wild birds (128). Fecal material was obtained using sterile collection techniques through sterile cotton swab stick and immediately transferred into sterile tubes containing buffered peptone water (BPW) to maintain the viability of the bacteria. The samples were kept in a thermal ice cooler box, ensuring a temperature between 2°C and 8°C during transportation to the laboratory. Upon arrival, the samples were promptly incubated at 37°C to ensure the optimal growth conditions for E. coli isolation.

E. coli Isolation and Identification: E. coli was isolated and characterized using conventional culturing methods as described by Emon et al., (2024). The fecal specimens underwent an initial pre-enrichment phase in Buffered Peptone Water (BPW; Oxoid, UK), followed by incubation at 37° C for 24 ± 2 hours. Isolation procedures were then performed using selective media, including Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB; Oxoid, UK) and MacConkey agar (Oxoid, UK). Samples yielding positive cultures were further sub-cultured on EMB agar plates to obtain pure colonies, which were distinguished by their characteristic green metallic sheen. Confirmation of E. coli purity was enhanced through Gram staining and a series of biochemical assays, including sugar fermentation, MRVP (Methyl Red-Voges Proskauer), citrate utilization, motility, indole, and urease tests. Finally, the isolated E. coli strains were preserved in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth with 10% glycerol at -20°C for future analysis.

Extraction and Amplification of Genomic DNA: Following the manufacturer's instructions for PCR analysis, genomic DNA was extracted from the isolated *E. coli* strains using a DNA extraction kit (AddBio Inc. Ltd., Daejeon, Korea). A specific primer set, *phoA* (SFC Probes Ltd., Gyeonggi, Korea), was used to identify *E. coli*; Table 1 contains the primer sequences. Each PCR reaction was prepared with a final volume of 20 µl, consisting of 5 µl of DNA sample, 10 µl of 2x master mix (Add Bio Inc., South Korea), 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol/µl), and 3 µl of DNAse-free water. Following the guidelines set forth by Shome *et al.*, (2011), electrophoresis was performed using a 1.5% agarose gel following a successful amplification.

Identification of virulence genes: To identify virulence genes, each *E. coli* isolate was subjected to uniplex PCR screening, following a specific protocol described by Al-Kandari and Woodward, 2019. The results of the PCRs, conducted in separate reactions using primers to detect the two virulence genes, *fimC* and *iucD*, are presented in Table 1.

Multiplex PCR for detection of STEC: The analysis of each E. coli isolates for the presence of stx genes (stx1 and/or *stx2*) was performed using a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method, following the protocols outlined by Al-Kandari and Woodward, (2019). This multiplex PCR utilized targeted primers specifically engineered to detect two distinct variants of STEC (Table 1). A total volume of 25 µL was used for the PCR, which included 4.5 µL of nuclease-free water, 12.5 µL of 2x master mix (Add Bio Inc, South Korea), 1 µL of forward and reverse primers (10 pmol/gL), and 5 µL of genomic DNA. Cycling conditions were optimized at initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 sec, annealing at 58°C for 40 sec, and 90 sec of extension at 72°C, followed by 5 minutes of 72°C extension.

Antimicrobial susceptibility assessment: Using disk diffusion techniques on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, UK), antimicrobial- susceptibility testing (AST) for E. coli isolates was carried out in accordance with the guidelines outlined by Hindler and Munro. (2024). Following that, the diameter of the inhibitory zones (mm) was measured using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute's (CLSI, 2020, M100, 30th Edition) recommendations. Thirteen distinct antimicrobial disks in all, comprising the betalactam combination agent: amoxicillin + clavulanate (AMC, 20/10 µg); Penicillin: ampicillin (AMP, 10 µg); Tetracyclines: tetracycline (TE, 30 µg); Cephems: cefuroxime (CXM, 30 µg), ceftriaxone (CTR, 30 µg), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 µg), cefotaxime (CTX, 30 µg); Quinolones: ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg); Macrolides: aztreonam (AT, 30 µg); Folate Pathway Antagonists: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (COT, 1.25/23.75 µg); Aminoglycosides: gentamicin (GEN, 10 µg), amikacin (AK, 30 µg), and monobactams: aztreonam (AT, 30 µg) were used to perform AST. In this investigation, isolates that were resistant to at least one agent from each of three distinct antibiotic classes were classified as multidrugresistant (MDR) isolates (Magiorakos et al., 2012; Sweeney et al., 2019). In this study, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 was employed for the quality control (QC) test. The Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index was determined and assessed using the approach and formula described by Rahman et al., (2024).

Identification of Antibiotic Resistance Genes: The β lactamase genes were checked in all of the isolated samples (bla_{TEM} , bla_{OXA} , bla_{SHV}), tetracycline resistant genes (tetA), ciprofloxcin resistant gene (qnrS), sulfonamide resistant genes (sul1), streptomycin resistant gene (strA) and azithromycin resistant gene (mefA) using uniplex PCR. The primers used in this investigation for PCR are shown in Table 1.



Fig. 1: Study area showing the different location where samples were collected and Prevalence was shown in choropleth map. Shape file was extracted from DIVA-GIS using Geographical information system (GIS) to develop the map with ArcMap 10.7 (ArcGIS, ESRI, USA).

Table I: The primer sequ	ences, amplicon sizes and t	arget genes for the dete	ection of E. coli, STEC,	, ESBL resistant and Virulence gene.
Tangat Canas Duinaan	$E_{\text{regularian}} (\mathbf{F}', \mathbf{P}')$	A	licon Sizo (ha)	r (°C) Peteronana

Primer Sequence (5'–3')	Amplicon Size (bp)	AT (°C)	References
F: GGTAACGTTTCTACCGCAGAGTTG R: CAGGGTTGGTACACITGTCATTACG	464	59	(Shome et al., 2011)
F: GGTAGAAAATGCCGATGGTG R: CGTCATTTTGGGGGTAAGTGC	496	59	(Islam et al., 2021)
F: ACAAAAAGTTCTATCGCTTCC R: CCTGATCCAGCTGATGCTC	692	58	(Janßen et al., 2001)
F: ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTGG R: CTGAATCCCCCTCCATTATG	614	58	(Dipineto et al., 2006)
F: CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTT R: CCTGTCAACTGAGCAGCACTTT	779	58	(Dipineto et al., 2006)
F: CATTTCCGTGTCGCCCTTATTC R: CGTTCATCCATAGTTGCCTGAC	800	62	(Ibrahim et al., 2021)
F: AGCCGCTTGAGCAAATTAAAC R: ATCCCGCAGATAAATCACCAC	713	62	(Ibrahim et al., 2021)
F: GGCACCAGATTCAACTTTCAAG R: GACCCCAAGTTTCCTGTAAGTG	564	62	(Ibrahim et al., 2021)
F: GCTACATCCTGCTTGCCTTC R: CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGAGG	502	63	(Karczmarczyk et al., 2011)
F: ACGACATTCGTCAACTGCAA R: TAAATTGGCACCCTGTAGGC	417	53	(Gay et al., 2006)
F: CGGCATCGTCAACATAACCT R: TGTGCGGATGAAGTCAGCTC	433	66	(Lanz, Kuhnert, and Boerlin, 2003)
F: ATGGTGGACCCTAAAACTCT R: CGTCTAGGATCGAGACAAAG	893	63	(Kozak et al., 2009)
F: AGTATCATTAATCACTAGTGC R: TTCTTCTGGTACTAAAAGTGG	345	54	(Nguyen et al., 2009)
	Primer Sequence (5'-3') F: GGTAACGTTTCTACCGCAGAGTTG R: CAGGGTTGGTACACITGTCATTACG F: GGTAGAAAATGCCGATGGTG R: CGTCATTTTGGGGGTAAGTGC F: ACAAAAGTTCTATCGCTTCC R: CCTGATCCAGCTGATGCTC F: ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTGG R: CTGAATCCCCCTCCATTATG F: CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTT R: CCTGTCAACTGAGCAGCAGCAGTT R: CCTGTCAACTGAGCAGCAGCAGTT F: CATTTCCGTGTCGCCCTTATTC R: CGTTCATCCATAGTTGCCTGAC F: AGCCGCTTGAGCAAATTAAAC R: ATCCCGCAGATTCAACTTCCAG R: GACCCCAAGTTCCACTTC R: GACCCCAAGTTCCACTTC R: CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGTG F: GCTACATCGTCGCCTTC R: CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGAGG F: ACGACATTCGTCAACTTCCAACTTC R: CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGAGG F: ACGACATCGTCAACTACCT R: TGTGCGGATGAAGTCAGCTC F: ATGGTGGACCCTAAAACCT R: TGTGGGATCGACGACAAAG F: AGTATCATTAATCACTAGTGC R: TTCTTCTGGTACTAAAAGTGG R: AGTATCATTAATCACTAGTGC R: TTCTTCTGGTACTAAAAGTGG	Primer Sequence (5'-3')Amplicon Size (bp)F: GGTAACGTTTCTACCGCAGAGTTG464R: CAGGGTTGGTACACITGTCATTACG464F: GGTAGAAAATGCCGATGGTG496F: ACATGGAAAATGCCGATGGTG496F: ACATTTTGGGGGTAAGTGC692F: ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTGG614R: CTGAATCCCCCTCCATTATG614F: CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTT779R: CCTGTCAACGAGCAGCAGCAGTT779R: CCTGTCAACGAGCAGCAGCAGCTT779R: CCTGTCAACGAGCAGCAGCAGCT800F: AGCCGCTTGAGCAAAATCAACCAC713F: AGCCGCTTGAGCAAAATCAACCAC713F: GGCACCAGATTACAACTTTCCAAG564F: GGCACCAGATTCAACTTTCCAAG502F: GCTACATCCTGCTGCCTTC502F: ACGACATTCGTCAACTGCCAA417R: TAAATTGGCACCCTGTAGGC413F: ATGGTGGACCCTAAAATCAGCTC433F: ATGGTGGACCCTAAAATCACCTT893F: ATGGTGGACCCTAAAACCT893F: AGTATCATTAATCACTAGTGC345	Primer Sequence (5'-3')Amplicon Size (bp)AT (°C)F: GGTAACGTITCTACCGCAGAGTTG R: CAGGGTTGGTACACITGTCATTACG46459F: GGTAGAAAATGCCGATGGTG R: CGTCATTTTGGGGGTAAGTGC49659F: ACAAAAAGTTCTATCGCTTCC R: CCTGATCCAGCTGATGCTC69258F: ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTGG R: CTGAATCCCCCTCCATTATG F: CCATGACACGGACAGCAGCTT R: CCTGTCAACTGAGCAGCAGCAGTT R: CCTGTCATCCATAGTGCCCCTTATTC R: CCTTCATCCATAGTGCCCGTAATCA R: AGCCGCAGATAAATCACCAC R: AGCCCCAAGTTCACTTCCAGTGG R: GACCCCAAGTTCACTTCCAGTGG R: GACCCCAAGTTCACTTCCAGTGG R: GACCCCAAGTTCCATAGTTCCCTGTAAGTG F: GCTACATCGTGCCGTGAAGAGG F: ACGACATCGCCGTGAAGAGG F: ACGACATCGCCGTGAAGAGG F: ACGACATCGTCAACTGCAA R: TAAATTGGCACCCTGTAGCA R: TAAATTGGCACCCTGTAGCC R: CATAGATCGCCGTGAAAGAGG R: ACGACATCGTCAACATAACCT R: TGTGCGGATGAAGTCAGCACC R: TGTGGGGATGAAGTCAGCCC R: ATGGTGGACCCTAAAATCCACCAC R: TGTGGGGATGAAGTCAGCTC R: TGTGGGGATGAAGTCAGCTC R: AGGATCACTGAAAATCACCACA R: TGTGGGGATGAAGTCAGCACCT R: TGTGGGGATGAAGTCAGCACCT R: TGTGGGGATCGAACATAACCT R: TGTGGGGATCGAGACAAAG F: AGGATCATAATCACTAGTGC R: TGTGGGGATCGAGACAAAG R: AGTATCATTAATCACTAGTGC R: TTCTTCTGGTACTAAAAGTGGA1554

F: Forward; R: Reverse; AT: Annealing Temperature.

Statistical analysis: Data were input, structured, and organized into Excel spreadsheets. Disease prevalence was determined using the standard formula followed by Mahen *et al.*, (2024). A univariate analysis was performed to investigate relationships among different variables using the Chi-square test. Fisher's Exact Test was applied when

the expected frequency in a cell was below 5 and this condition was met in at least 20% of the cells (Hoque *et al.*, 2023). The Binomial exact test was used to compute confidence intervals, and a threshold of less than 0.05 was used to demonstrate statistical significance. All the statistical analysis was carried out using R version 4.3.3.

Geo-spatial mapping and plot: Heat maps and Venn diagrams were created using Origin-Pro (Origin: Data Analysis and Graphing Software) to illustrate the antimicrobial properties of the isolates. These tools provided an in-depth view of the data by making it easier to create intricate Venn diagrams and Heat Maps. ArcMap 10.7 was utilized to create the research area map, with a shape file obtained from (<u>www.diva-gis.org</u>). The choropleth was produced using this mapping data effectively showed the sample sizes and prevalence of different explanatory variables.

RESULTS

Cultural and Biochemical Properties of *E. coli*: Based on microbiological growth on EMB agar, the overall prevalence of *E. coli* was 80.97% (200/247). Gramnegative (pink) rod-shaped *E. coli* bacterium observed under the microscope. Results of several biochemical tests (Sugar fermentation, MRVP, citrate utilization, motility, indole, and urease tests) revealed the similar findings.

Prevalence of E. coli isolates by molecular assay: It was concluded from the PCR results (Fig. 2) of this study that E. coli was detected in 80.97% (95% CI: 75.51-85.67) of captive wild and migratory birds. Specifically, 77.31% (92 out of 119) of migratory birds and 84.38% (108 out of 128) of wild captive birds tested positive for E. coli. According to the location, Tilagor Eco- Park found statistically (p < 0.001) the highest prevalence (89.47%; 51/57) and Haripur found the lowest prevalence (32.00%; 8/25). Most of the captive birds like Dove (Columba livia domestica), Golden Pigeon (Columba aurea), Grey Parrot (Psittacus erithacus). Myna (Acridotheres tristis), Parrot (Psittaciformes), Peacock (Pavo cristatus), Red jungle fowl (Gallus gallus), Silver Pigeon (Columba argentina) and Vulture (Cathartes aura) showed 100% positivity for E. coli (Table 2).

Identification of Genes Associated with Antimicrobial Resistance: Diverse categories of resistance-associated genes, including STEC, virulence genes, ESBL-resistant genes, and antibiotic-resistant genes, were identified and documented in Table 3. The identified resistant genes were shown in Fig. 2E-2J. Antibiotic resistance profiling showed that three isolates exhibited the presence of all (tetA, mefA, qnrS, sull and strA) the tested antibiotics resistance genes (Fig. 2C). In comparison to *bla*_{OXA}, the genes conferring antimicrobial resistance, namely *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{SHV}, were identified in 91.50% (183/200) and 9% (18/200) of the E. *coli* isolates, respectively (Table 3). The prevalence of the *anrS* resistant gene was significantly greater across the total isolates, with 87,50% (175 out of 200) demonstrating resistance; this was a statistically significant finding (p<0.0001). The Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) was calculated to evaluate the relationships between E. coli and various genes. The results revealed a weak positive correlation ($\rho = 0.1-0.3$) between *E. coli* positive isolates and the genes *fimC*, *bla*_{TEM}, *strA*, and *tetA*. Additionally, a moderate positive correlation ($\rho = 0.4-0.6$) was observed between *strA* and *fimC* ($\rho = 0.43$), *tetA* and *fimC* ($\rho = 0.44$), as well as *qnrS* and *bla*_{TEM} ($\rho = 0.47$). Notably, a strong positive correlation was found between *strA* and *tetA* (ρ =

Pak Vet J, xxxx, xx(x): xxx.

0.92), indicating a significant association between these two genes (Fig. 3A).



Fig. 2 (A-J): Electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel showing specific amplified band of different gene of *E. coli* amplification by PCR; Lane M: 100 bp Marker DNA; Lane NC: Control (– ve); A: Lane (1-6) reaction specific (+ ve) for *PhoA* gene (464 bp); B: Lane (1-10) reaction specific (+ve) for *fimC* virulent gene (496 bp); C: Lane 1-2 (+ve) for *iucD* virulent gene (692 bp); D: Lane 1, 3 (+ve) for *stx2* STEC gene (779 bp); E: Multiplex PCR for ESBL producing *bla*_{TEM} (800 bp) and *bla*_{SHV} (713 bp); F-J: Showing specific band 502 bp, 417 bp, 433 bp, 893 bp and 345 bp for *tetA*, *qnrS*, *Sul1*, *strA* and *mefA*, respectively.

Virulence Gene Identification and Co-Existence: Out of 200 E. coli isolates, 167 (83.5%) tested positive for the virulence gene *fimC*, while 17 (8.5%) were positive for the virulence gene *iucD* (3). Notably, only three isolates tested positive for both virulence genes. The difference in percentage between *fimC* and *iucD* was highly significant (p < 0.001), as illustrated in Fig. 2B. In the uniplex PCR testing, both *fimC* and *iucD* genes displayed distinct bands of 496bp (Fig. 2B) and 692bp (Fig. 2C), respectively. The Venn diagram shows the coexistence of virulence genes (*fimC*, *iucD*) and beta-lactam resistance genes (bla_{TEM} , bla_{SHV}). Only two isolates had both virulence and resistance genes. Six isolates were positive for *fimC*, *bla*_{TEM}, and *bla*_{SHV}, while five were positive for *iucD*, bla_{TEM}, and bla_{SHV}. Additionally, two isolates had both beta-lactam resistance genes, and three were positive for five antibiotic resistance genes (tetA, qnrS, sul1, strA, *mefA*), as shown in Fig. 3B and 3C.

Detection of STEC: The stx2 gene was found in 24 isolates (12%; 24/200), while none of the isolates tested positive for



Fig. 3 (A-C): (A) Spearman correlation among the different category of identified genes; (B) Venn diagram showing the interaction of the isolates between Virulent and ESBL resistant genes; (C) Venn diagram showing interaction among different antimicrobial resistant genes.



Fig. 4: 4A: Heat Map showing the resistance pattern with their average zone of inhibition (mm) indicated as color scale; CTX = cefotaxime; TE = tetracycline; CIP = ciprofloxacin; AZM = azithromycin; COT = trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; GEN = gentamicin; CXM = cefuroxime; CAZ = ceftazidime; CTR = ceftriaxone; AMP = ampicillin; AMC = amoxicillin+clavulanate; AK = Amikacin; AT = aztreonam. Figure- 4B: MAR index value; Figure- 4C: Status of MDR %; MDR: Multi-drug resistant, MAR: Multiple antibiotic resistant; NS: Non-significant; *indicates *p* value less than 0.05.

6

Category	Explanatory Variable	n/N	Prevalence% (95% CI)	Fisher's Exact Test/ χ^2	p-value
Type of Birds				1.997	0.16
	Migratory	92/119	77.31% (68.73-84.48)		
	Wild captive birds	108/128	84.38% (76.90-90.19)		
	Total	200/247	80.97% (75.51-85.67)		
Location				45.90	<0.001
	Eco- Park (Tilagore)	51/57	89.47% (78.48-96.04)		
	Haripur (Sylhet)	8/25	32.00% (14.95-53.50)		
	Zoo (Moulavibazar)	84/94	89.36% (81.30-94.78)		
	Tanguar Haor (Sunamganj)	57/71	80.28% (69.14-88.78)		
Birds' species				29.72*	0.014
	Black Crowned Heron	3/6	50.00% (11.81-88.19)		
	Dove	4/4	100.00% (39.76-100.00) ^a		
	Eagle	3/6	50.00% (11.81-88.19)		
	Golden Pigeon	2/2	100.00% (15.81-100.00) ^a		
	Grey Parrot	8/8	100.00% (63.06-100.00) ^a		
	Grey Heron	6/8	75.00% (34.91-96.81)		
	Horn bill	4/5	80.00% (28.36-99.49)		
	Kalim	9/11	81.82% (48.22-97.72)		
	Kite	2/3	66.67% (9.43-99.16)		
	Macaw	2/3	66.67% (9.43-99.16)		
	Mathura	1/3	33.33% (0.84-90.57)		
	Myna	8/8	100.00% (63.06-100.00) ^a		
	Parrot	7/7	100.00% (59.04-100.00) ^a		
	Peacock	10/10	100.00% (69.15-100.00) ^a		
	Purple Heron	1/3	33.33% (0.84-90.57)		
	Red Jungle Fowl	4/4	100.00% (39.76-100.00) ^a		
	Silver Pigeon	2/2	100.00% (15.81-100.00) ^a		
	Sun Conure	4/5	80.00% (28.36-99.49)		
	Vulture	3/3	100.00% (29.24-100.00) ^a		
	Wild Bird (Non-specific)	24/26	92.31% (74.87-99.05)		

^aSuperscript Means One-sided 97.5% Confidence Interval; N= Total tested, n= positive case, CI= Confidence interval; *Superscript means Fisher's Exact Test where minimum 20% cell have expected count less than 5.

Table 3: Detection of genes (STEC, Virulent, ESBL resistant, Resistant gene) from isolated (n = 200) E. coli in migratory and wild captive birds.

Category of Gene	Identified Gene	PCR positive	Prevalence% (95% CI)	χ ²	p-value
STEC				25.53	<0.001
	stx l	0	0.00% (0.00-1.83) *		
	stx2	24	12.00% (7.84-17.33)		
Virulent Gene				226.45	<0.0001
	fimC	167	83.50% (77.62-88.36)		
	iucD	17	8.50% (5.03-13.26)		
ESBL Resistant Gene				465.65	<0.0001
	Ыа _{тем}	183	91.50% (86.74-94.97)		
	Ыа _{sнv}	18	9.00% (5.42-13.85)		
	blaoxa	0	0.00% (0.00-1.83) *		
Antibiotic Resistant Gene		× ·		525.09	<0.0001
	tetA	159	79.50% (73.23-84.87)		
	qnrS	175	87.50% (82.10-91.74)		
	sul l	79	39.50% (32.68-46.64)		
	strA	134	67.00% (60.02-73.47)		
	MefA	25	12.50% (8.26-17.90)		
*Constant Manual One sided 07 F9/	Confidence Internals Ch.	Confidence intermedy C	TEC. Chies serving and design a Fasher	windstan and	

Superscript Means One-sided 97.5% Confidence Interval; CI: Confidence interval; STEC: Shiga-toxin producing Escherichia coli.

the *stx1* gene. In the multiplex PCR assays, a distinct band of 779bp size was observed for the *stx2* gene, while no corresponding band was detected for the *stx1* gene (Fig. 2D).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns: The heat map (Fig. 4A), using a color scale to represent zones of inhibition from 0 to 40 mm, graphically illustrates the antibiotic sensitivity patterns of the *E. coli* isolates. Notably, all 200 isolates showed complete (100%) resistance to multiple antibiotics, including ampicillin, ceftazidime, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, and tetracycline. In contrast, the isolates demonstrated complete (100%) sensitivity to ceftriaxone, amikacin, and aztreonam.

Comparison of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Results: All isolates exhibited complete resistance to tetracycline and cephalosporins, including cefuroxime, ceftazidime, and cefotaxime. However, *E. coli* isolates from captive wild birds showed lower sensitivity to amoxicillin + clavulanate (87.5%) and ciprofloxacin (62.5%) compared to migratory bird isolates (100% and 85.71%, respectively). Captive birds exhibited higher sensitivity to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (87.5%) compared to migratory birds (57.14%). Additionally, captive birds were 100% sensitive to azithromycin, while migratory birds showed 85.71% sensitivity.

Status of MDR and MAR Index: Among the 200 *E. coli* isolates, 53 (26.5%; 95% CI: 20.5-33.2) were identified as multidrug-resistant (MDR), with 21.7% (20/92) of MDR isolates originating from migratory birds and 30.6% (33/108) from captive wild birds (Fig. 4C). The MAR index

 Table 4: Comparison between migratory bird and captive wild bird of antimicrobial susceptibility test of *E. coli* isolates by disk diffusion method.

 Migratory Birds (p=0)
 Captive wild Birds

A	(n=108)					
Antidiotics	Sensitive(S)	Resistant(R)	Sensitive(S)	Resistant(R)		
	%	%	%	%		
Penicillins						
amoxicillin+clavulanate	100	0	87.5	12.5		
(AMC, 20/10 μg)						
ampicillin (AMP, 10 µg)	0	100	0	100		
Cephalosporins						
ceftriaxone (CTR, 30 µg)	100	0	100	0		
ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 µg)	100	100	100	100		
cefuroxime (CXM, 30	0	100	0	100		
μg)	0	100	0	100		
cefotaxime (CTX, 30 µg)	0	100	0	100		
Tetracyclines						
tetracycline (TE, 30 μg)	0	100	0	100		
Fluoroquinolones						
ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg)	85.71	14.29	62.5	37.5		
Macrolide						
azithromycin (AZM 15	95 71	14.20	100	0		
μg)	05.71	14.27	100	0		
Sulfonamides						
trimethoprim-						
sulfamethoxazole	57.14	42.46	87.5	12.5		
(COT, 1.25/23.75 µg)						
Aminoglycosides						
gentamicin (GEN, 10 µg)	85.71	14.29	100	0		
amikacin (AK 30 μg)	100	0	100	0		
Monobactam						
aztreonam (AT, 30 μg)	100	0	100	0		

ranged from 0.23 to 0.46, averaging 0.27. The highest MAR index value of 0.46 was observed in 16 isolates from captive wild birds, indicating significant drug resistance in captive conditions. In comparison, 16 isolates from migratory birds had a MAR index of 0.31 (Fig. 4B).

DISCUSSION

Millions of migratory birds travel globally each year, playing a key role in the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Their interactions with wildlife, other species, and human-altered ecosystems facilitate this bacterial transmission across vast distances (Yuan *et al.*, 2021; Elsohaby *et al.*, 2021). In light of the constrained knowledge regarding MDR bacteria in migratory and captive wild birds, our study focused on detecting MDR-STEC *E. coli*.

This phenomenon is underscored by the findings of our study, revealing a staggering 77.31% prevalence rate of E. *coli* among migratory birds. This prevalence rate notably exceeded the figures documented in previous studies conducted in Bangladesh, Northern Italy, and Egypt (Rashid et al., 2015; Dotto et al., 2016; Fahim et al., 2019). The findings closely aligned with those reported in a study from Bangladesh who found the prevalence of E. coli from migratory bird was 83.33% (Islam et al., 2021). However, our findings indicated a lower prevalence rate when compared to a prior investigation conducted by Shobrak and Abo-Amer, (2015). Several factors may contribute to these observed variations, including disparities in detection methodologies, regional and seasonal dispersion patterns, sample sizes, and the diverse array of migratory bird species studied. Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge the potential influence of stressful circumstances on the shedding of pathogens by migrating birds during their

arduous journeys (Rashid *et al.*, 2015). These multifaceted elements collectively shape the intricate dynamics of antibiotic-resistant pathogen prevalence among avian populations, highlighting the complexity of this ecological phenomenon.

Moreover, the findings from this study highlighted a relatively higher incidence of *E. coli* among captive wild birds, standing at 84.38%. This prevalence rate exceeded that reported in a study by Zurfluh *et al.*, (2019), which documented the prevalence of 53.7%. However, it fell short of the remarkably high prevalence rate of 93.3% observed in a study (Shobrak and Abo-Amer, 2015). It is essential to emphasize the differences in prevalence rates among captive wild birds may stem from management risk factors in captivity as well as differences in sampling methods and laboratory techniques employed across various research endeavors.

In case of virulent gene detection, our study revealed varying prevalence rates, with the *fimC* gene exhibiting a significantly higher occurrence at 83.5%, in contrast to the lower prevalence of the *iucD* gene at 8.5%. Notably, previous research in Bangladesh identified the *fimC* and iucD virulence genes at rates of 67.27% and 29.09% respectively, indicating a shift in these prevalence rates (Islam et al., 2021). Additionally, migratory birds in Italy were found to harbor APEC-associated virulence genes (Bertelloni et al., 2019). The iucD gene's involvement in APEC pathogenesis, mediating aerobactin synthesis and iron acquisition pathways, underscores its significance (Subedi et al., 2018). When APEC colonizes avian hosts, it poses a risk through environmental dissemination, potentially endangering humans, animals, and other avian species through the fecal route (Hu et al., 2022). Furthermore, the threat of APEC to both cattle and human populations might be exacerbated by biological and environmental stressors. Crucially, our study identified APEC-associated virulence genes in migrating birds, raising concerns as these genes have also been found in humans and wild mammals, as reported by Frmmel et al., (2013). This underscores the potential transmission risks between avian species, humans, and other wildlife, highlighting the complex interplay of these virulence genes within various ecosystems.

This study showed that Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) was comparatively rare among wild and migratory birds, affecting just 12% of the isolates. Interestingly, out of 200 isolates, only 24 had the stx2 gene found. On the other hand, according to a previous study conducted in Bangladesh, 35% (8 out of 23) of the isolates had STEC and showed either the stx1 or stx2 gene (Johura et al., 2017). Researchers have looked into the frequency of Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) in different bird species from different parts of the world also noted that 4.5% of isolates from Iranian broilers had the stx2 gene identified (Ghanbarpour et al., 2011). Intriguingly, similar to our current study, as well as findings by Kobayashi et al., (2002). E. coli derived from wild birds in their research did not exhibit the presence of either stx1 or stx2 genes. These observations highlight the variable prevalence of STEC and gene distribution among avian populations across different regions and underscore the need for continued research to elucidate these patterns.

Wild birds, owing to their frequent movement and exposure to various ecological factors, may have an elevated risk of disseminating antimicrobial resistance (AMR) determinants to humans and other wildlife species (Arnold et al., 2016). Our study showed that E. coli exhibited high resistance to various antibiotics such as ampicillin, ceftazidime, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, and tetracycline. Likewise, a separate study conducted in Bangladesh found comparable resistance patterns in E. coli strains isolated from migratory birds (Islam et al., 2021). This research emphasizes how widely antibiotics are used in Bangladeshi veterinary and human healthcare settings. A Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index above 0.20 suggests that the isolates predominantly originate from a resistant source Emon et al., (2024). In our study, 53 isolates had MAR index values exceeding 0.20, with an average of 0.27, indicating moderate levels of bacterial contamination in the samples.

The development of antibiotic resistance in these bacteria is probably caused by a number of causes, the most important of which is the strong selective pressure brought on by the indiscriminate and widespread use of antibiotics (Perry and Wright, 2013). This highlights the imperative requirement for initiatives to control antibiotic misuse and to vigilantly monitor and address antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in both human and veterinary medicine.

In our current investigation, we identified specific antibiotic resistance genes, including bla_{TEM} (91.5%), bla_{SHV} (9%), *tetA* (79.5%), *qnrS* (87.5%), *sul1* (39.5%), *strA* (67%), and *mefA* (12.5%), responsible for conferring resistance to particular antibiotics. In the same geographic region of Bangladesh, studies have frequently detected resistant genes such as bla_{TEM} , bla_{SHV} , *tetA*, *qnrS*, *sul1*, *strA* in various organisms (Emon *et al.*, 2024; Liza *et al.*, 2024; Naser *et al.*, 2024). This suggests a potential for horizontal gene transfer in the captive wild bird populations, contributing to the spread of antibiotic resistance.

It is plausible that these resistance isolates in migratory and wild birds may have been acquired from environments contaminated by human waste or secretions (Islam et al., 2021). The coexistence of multiple resistance genes underscores the genotypic complexity underlying antibiotic resistance, which may potentially foster the development of multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens. Unfortunately, resistance patterns indicate a prevalent misuse and overuse of various antibiotic classes, often without due consideration of the detrimental consequences of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) (Byrne et al., 2019). A study by Mukerji et al., (2019) reported the elevated resistance levels to crucial antimicrobials like extendedspectrum cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones were documented among wild birds. These outcomes point to a concerning pattern of antibiotic overuse and misuse, as well as the possibility of cross-contamination in different environmental contexts, which can promote the emergence of antibiotic resistance. Multidrug-resistant bacteria are becoming more common, which is especially alarming as they pose a serious threat to public health by making it more difficult to administer effective therapy.

This study is an initial attempt to identify the virulence and resistance genes of *E. coli* from wild birds that migrate through the Sylhet region of Bangladesh that are kept in captivity. Whole genome sequencing would offer a more

thorough identification of virulence and resistance genes in the isolated organisms, despite the fact that this is only a starting investigation. Parallel to this, including E. coli isolates from a wider variety of avian species-both migratory and domestic would enhance the study's scope and reliability. This study has some limitations. The molecular identification of virulence and resistance genes was performed but whole genome sequencing could provide more comprehensive insights into the genetic makeup of the isolates. Additionally, environmental factors, such as the birds' diets or habitats, which may influence the spread of antimicrobial resistance, were not thoroughly investigated. Finally, the study did not assess the potential for horizontal gene transfer between bacterial species, which could further complicate the resistance scenario.

Conclusions: This study highlights the alarming prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant E. coli in both migratory and captive wild birds, particularly the identification of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Shiga toxinproducing E. coli (STEC). The significant occurrence of virulence genes, such as fimC and iucD, and resistance genes like blaTEM and qnrS in E. coli of migratory and captive wild birds indicates potential public health threats as they act as reservoirs and disseminators of these pathogens. Notably, the detection of STEC strains and their resistance to critical antibiotics, including tetracycline and cephalosporins, emphasizes the pressing need for a One Health approach. Importantly, the emergence of coexisting resistance genes within the E. coli isolates suggests a complex interplay between different resistance mechanisms, which may enhance the survival and adaptability of these pathogens in both avian and human hosts. Collaborative monitoring and containment strategies are crucial to mitigate the risk of zoonotic transmission and the spread of antibiotic resistance from wildlife to human populations.

Funding: The Ministry of Science and Technology (MoST) of Bangladesh provided funding for this study under a special allocation in the Biological Science Program (project code: SRG-231299). The authors express their appreciation to King Khalid University's Deanship of Scientific Research and Graduate Studies for funding this work under grant number RGP2/61/45 for the Large Research Project. Additionally, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2024R457), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Statement of Declaration of Interest: The authors affirm that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements: The Bangladesh Bannyaprani Sheba Foundation (BBSF), Sreemangal, and the Tilagor Eco Park authorities in Sylhet are acknowledged by the authors for their cooperation and permission. The authors express their appreciation to King Khalid University's Deanship of Scientific Research and Graduate Studies for funding this work under grant number RGP2/61/45 for the Large Research Project. Additionally, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2024R457), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Author's Contribution: AR: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft; MSRC & HH: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing; FGE & LAA: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation. Writing – review & editing: RB & MSS: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology; MRA, MMR & MMH: Validation, Visualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing; MRI: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision; MMR: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed SK, Hussein S, Qurbani K, et al., 2024. Antimicrobial resistance: Impacts, challenges, and future prospects. J Med, Surg, and Pub Health 2:100081.
- Al-Kandari F and Woodward MJ, 2019. Genotypic and phenotypic diversity differences of presumptive commensal and avian pathogenic E. coli. Br Poult Sci 60:79–86.
- Amézquita-López BA, Soto-Beltrán M, Lee BG, et al., 2024. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance profiles of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli from river water and farm animal feces near an agricultural region in northwestern Mexico. Microbiol Res (Pavia) 15:385–403.
- Arnold KE, Williams NJ and Bennett M, 2016. "Disperse abroad in the land": the role of wildlife in the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance. Biol Lett 12.
- Asha MN, Chowdhury MSR, Hossain H, et al., 2024. Antibacterial potential of lactic acid bacteria isolated from raw cow milk in Sylhet district, Bangladesh: A molecular approach. Vet Med Sci 10.
- Bertelloni F, Lunardo E, Rocchigiani G, et al., 2019. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* virulence genes in feces of wild birds from Central Italy. Asian Pac J Trop Med 12:142–146.
- Bonnedahl J and Järhult JD, 2014. Antibiotic resistance in wild birds. Ups J Med Sci 119:113.
- Byrne MK, Miellet S, McGlinn A, et al., 2019. The drivers of antibiotic use and misuse: The development and investigation of a theory driven community measure. BMC Public Health 19:1–11.
- Dipineto L, Santaniello A, Fontanella M, et al., 2006. Presence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in living layer hens. Lett Appl Microbiol 43:293–295.
- Dotto G, Menandro ML, Mondin A, et al., 2016. Wild birds as carriers of antimicrobial-resistant and ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Int J Infec Dis 53:59.
- Elsohaby I, Samy A, Elmoslemany A, et *al.*, 2021. Migratory Wild Birds as a Potential Disseminator of Antimicrobial-Resistant Bacteria around Al-Asfar Lake, Eastern Saudi Arabia. Antibiotics 10:1–16.
- Emon A Al, Hossain H, Chowdhury MSR, et al., 2024. Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility profiles and resistant gene identification of bovine subclinical mastitis pathogens in Bangladesh. Heliyon 10:e34567.
- Fahim KM, Ismael E, Khalefa HS, et al., 2019. Isolation and characterization of *E. coli* strains causing intramammary infections from dairy animals and wild birds. Int J Vet Sci Med 7:61.
- Foxman B, 2010. The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. Nat Rev Urol 7:653–660.
- Frömmel U, Lehmann W, Rödiger S, et al., 2013. Adhesion of human and animal Escherichia coli strains in association with their virulenceassociated genes and phylogenetic origins. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5814.
- Gay K, Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, et al., 2006. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in non-Typhi serotypes of Salmonella enterica. Clin Infect Dis 43:297–304.

- Ghanbarpour R, Sami M, Salehi M, et al., 2011. Phylogenetic background and virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* isolates from colisepticemic and healthy broiler chickens in Iran. Trop Anim Health Prod 43:153–157.
- Guenther S, Grobbel M, Lübke-Becker A, et al., 2010. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Escherichia coli* from common European wild bird species. Vet Microbiol 144:219–225.
- Hindler JF and Munro S, 2024. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook: Third Edition 2–3:5.0.1-5.18.2.1.
- Hird SM, Sánchez C, Carstens BC, et al., 2015. Comparative gut microbiota of 59 neotropical bird species. Front Microbiol 6:149126.
- Hopkins KL, Davies RH and Threlfall EJ, 2005. Mechanisms of quinolone resistance in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: recent developments. Int J Antimicrob Agents 25:358–373.
- Hoque MF, Mehedi AF, Chowdhury EMS, et al., 2023. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* in clinical and subclinical mastitis milk samples. Bang J Vet Med 21.
- Hu J, Afayibo DJA, Zhang B, et al., 2022. Characteristics, pathogenic mechanism, zoonotic potential, drug resistance, and prevention of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC). Front Microbiol 13:1049391.
- Ibrahim ME, Algak TB, Abbas M, et al., 2021. Emergence of blaTEM, blaCTX-M, blaSHV and blaOXA genes in multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter baumannii in Saudi Arabia. Exp Ther Med 22.
- levy S, Islam MS, Sobur MA, et al., 2020. Molecular detection of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) for the first time in layer farms in Bangladesh and their antibiotic resistance patterns. Microorg 2020 8: 1021.
- Islam MS, Nayeem MMH, Sobur MA, et al., 2021. Virulence determinants and multidrug resistance of Escherichia coli isolated from migratory birds. Antibiotics 10:1–13.
- Janßen T, Schwarz C, Preikschat P, et al., 2001. Virulence-associated genes in avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) isolated from internal organs of poultry having died from colibacillosis. Int J Med Microbiol 291:371–378.
- Johar A, Al-Thani N, Al-Hadidi SH, et al., 2021. Antibiotic resistance and virulence gene patterns associated with avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) from broiler chickens in Qatar. Antibiotics 10.
- Johura FT, Parveen R, Islam A, et al., 2017. Occurrence of hybrid Escherichia coli strains carrying Shiga toxin and heat-stable toxin in livestock of Bangladesh. Front Public Health 4.
- Joseph J, Zhang L, Adhikari P, et al., 2023. Avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) in broiler breeders: An overview. Pathogens 2023 12:1280.
- Karczmarczyk M, Abbott Y, Walsh C, et al., 2011. Characterization of multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli isolates from animals presenting at a University Veterinary Hospital. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:7104.
- Kobayashi H, Pohjanvirta T and Pelkonen S, 2002. Prevalence and characteristics of intimin- and Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from gulls, pigeons and broilers in Finland. J Vet Med Sci 64:1071– 1073.
- Kozak GK, Boerlin P, Janecko N, et al., 2009. Antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli isolates from swine and wild small mammals in the proximity of swine farms and in natural environments in Ontario, Canada. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:559–566.
- Lanz R, Kuhnert P and Boerlin P, 2003. Antimicrobial resistance and resistance gene determinants in clinical *Escherichia coli* from different animal species in Switzerland. Vet Microbiol 91:73–84.
- Liza NA, Hossain H, Rahman Chowdhury MDS, et al., 2024. Molecular epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance of Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in retail cattle meat. Vet Med Int 2024.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al., 2012. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 18:268–281.
- Mahen MSK, Chowdhury MSR, Hossain H, et al., 2024. Investigating the infection dynamics and molecular detection of Cryptosporidium in Buffaloes in Sylhet, Bangladesh. Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Reports 52:101043.
- Mellata M, 2013. Human and avian extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli: Infections, zoonotic risks, and antibiotic resistance trends. Foodborne Pathog Dis 10:916.
- Mukerji S, Stegger M, Truswell AV, et al., 2019. Resistance to critically important antimicrobials in Australian silver gulls (Chroicocephalus

novaehollandiae) and evidence of anthropogenic origins. J Antimicrob Chemother 74:2566–2574.

- Nada HG, El-Tahan AS, El-Didamony G, et al., 2023. Detection of multidrug-resistant Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in some food products and cattle faeces in Al-Sharkia, Egypt: one health menace. BMC Microbiol 23:127.
- Naidoo N and Zishiri OT, 2023. Comparative genomics analysis and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains reveal virulence genes, resistance genes, prophages and plasmids. BMC Genomics 24:1–12.
- Naseer U, Løbersli I, Hindrum M, et al., 2017. Virulence factors of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and the risk of developing haemolytic uraemic syndrome in Norway, 1992-2013. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 36:1613–1620.
- Naser J Al, Hossain H, Chowdhury MSR, et al., 2024. Exploring of spectrum beta lactamase producing multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica serovars in goat meat markets of Bangladesh. Vet Anim Sci 25.
- Nguyen MCP, Woerther PL, Bouvet M, et al., 2009. Escherichia coli as Reservoir for Macrolide Resistance Genes. Emerg Infect Dis 15:1648.
- Origin: Data Analysis and Graphing Software. Available at https://www.originlab.com/index.aspx?go=Products/Origin (accessed September 24, 2024).
- Orubu ESF, Zaman MH, Rahman MT, et *al.*, 2020. Veterinary antimicrobial resistance containment in Bangladesh: Evaluating the national action plan and scoping the evidence on implementation. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 21:105–115.
- Perry JA and Wright GD, 2013. The antibiotic resistance "mobilome": Searching for the link between environment and clinic. Front Microbiol 4:48597.
- Pokharel P, Dhakal S and Dozois CM, 2023. The diversity of *Escherichia coli* pathotypes and vaccination strategies against this versatile bacterial pathogen. Microorganisms 11.
- Rahman MdM, Hossain H, Chowdhury MdSR, et al., 2024. Molecular Characterization of Multidrug-Resistant and Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamases-Producing Salmonella enterica Serovars Enteritidis and Typhimurium Isolated from Raw Meat in Retail Markets. Antibiotics (Basel) 13:586.
- Rahman MT, Sobur MA, Islam MS, et al., 2020. Zoonotic diseases: Etiology, impact, and control. Microorganisms 8:1–34.

- Rashid M, Rakib MM and Hasan B, 2015. Antimicrobial-resistant and ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* in different ecological niches in Bangladesh. Infect Ecol Epidemiol 5.
- Rubab M and Oh DH, 2020. Virulence characteristics and antibiotic resistance profiles of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from diverse sources. Antibiotics 9:1–15.
- Sano E, Esposito F, Fontana H, et al., 2023. One health clones of multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli carried by synanthropic animals in Brazil. One Health 16:100476.
- Shah A, Alam S, Kabir M, et al., 2022. Migratory birds as the vehicle of transmission of multi drug resistant extended spectrum β lactamase producing Escherichia fergusonii, an emerging zoonotic pathogen. Saudi | Biol Sci 29:3167–3176.
- Shobrak MY and Abo-Amer AE, 2015. Role of wild birds as carriers of multi-drug resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Escherichia vulneris*. Braz J Microbiol 45:1199–1209.
- Shome BR, Das Mitra S, Bhuvana M, et al., 2011. Multiplex PCR assay for species identification of bovine mastitis pathogens. J Appl Microbiol 111:1349–1356.
- Subedi M, Luitel H, Devkota B, et al., 2018. Antibiotic resistance pattern and virulence genes content in avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) from broiler chickens in Chitwan, Nepal. BMC Vet Res 14.
- Sweeney MT, Lubbers B V., Schwarz S, et al., 2019. Applying definitions for multidrug resistance, extensive drug resistance and pandrug resistance to clinically significant livestock and companion animal bacterial pathogens-authors' response. J Antimicrob Chemother 74:536–537.
- Van Hoek AHAM, Lee S, Van Den Berg RR, et al., 2023. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from dairy goat and sheep farms in The Netherlands. J Appl Microbiol 134.
- Ylinen E, Salmenlinna S, Halkilahti J, et al., 2020. Hemolytic uremic syndrome caused by Shiga toxin–producing Escherichia coli in children: incidence, risk factors, and clinical outcome. Pediatr Nephrol 35:1749.
- Yuan Y, Liang B, Jiang BW, et al., 2021. Migratory wild birds carrying multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* as potential transmitters of antimicrobial resistance in China. PLoS One 16.
- Zurfluh K, Albini S, Mattmann P, et al., 2019. Antimicrobial resistant and extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* in common wild bird species in Switzerland. Microbiologyopen 8.