

Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) DOI: 10.29261/pakvetj/2025.256

RESEARCH ARTICLE

First Detection and Prevalence of Bovine Rhinitis A and B Viruses in Cattle in Türkiye: Risk Assessment and Association with Bovine Respiratory Disease

Emel Aksoy 1, Yasin Şenel² and Ahmet Kürşat Azkur 1,*

¹Department of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale-Türkiye; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale-Türkiye

ARTICLE HISTORY (25-585)

Received: June 29, 2025 Revised: July 27, 2025 Accepted: July 30, 2025 Published online: September 29, 2025

Kev words:

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD)
Bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV)
Bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV)
Cattle
Hematological parameters
Multiplex real-time RT-PCR

ABSTRACT

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) represents a multifactorial syndrome causing significant economic losses in cattle worldwide. Among viral pathogens, bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV) and bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV) have been implicated in BRD etiology; however, to our knowledge, no data are available for Türkiye. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of BRAV and BRBV in cattle from Kırıkkale and Ankara provinces. Samples were collected from 200 cattle (100 BRDaffected and 100 asymptomatic) and BRAV and BRBV detection was carried out via multiplex real-time RT-PCR from nasal swabs, and hematological parameters were analyzed from blood samples. In addition, data on age, body temperature, respiratory signs, and the presence of ocular and nasal discharge were recorded for all animals. BRAV was detected in 24.5% (49/200), BRBV in 12.5% (25/200), and co-infections in 7% (14/200). Infection rates were significantly higher in BRDaffected animals for both BRAV (40% vs. 9%, OR: 6.7, 95% CI: 3.05-14.90, P<0.0001) and BRBV (19% vs. 6%, OR: 3.7, 95% CI: 1.40-9.64, P=0.0082). BRAV positivity was significantly associated with younger age (1-2 months) and fever (P<0.05), while no significant clinical sign or age-related differences were observed for BRBV. Hematological analysis revealed significant differences in neutrophils, lymphocytes and monocytes among virus-positive animals (P<0.05). This study provides the first evidence of BRAV and BRBV circulation in Türkiye, underscoring their potential involvement in BRD pathogenesis.

To Cite This Article: Aksoy E, Şenel Y and Azkur AK 2025. First detection and prevalence of bovine rhinitis A and B viruses in cattle in Türkiye: risk assessment and association with bovine respiratory disease. Pak Vet J. http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2025.256

INTRODUCTION

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is a multifactorial syndrome involving viral and bacterial infections, management, environmental factors and host-related factors such as age, breed, underlying infections, immunological status, etc. (Taylor et al., 2010; Risalde et al., 2015; Zeineldin et al., 2020; Peel, 2020; Smith, 2020). BRD incidence may reach 54.6% in calves, particularly within the first 45 days (Sasaki et al., 2022). BRD causes significant economic losses in the cattle industry due to production losses, high treatment costs, increased rates, lower slaughter weights, mortality (Blakebrough-Hall et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2017; Speer et al., 2001; White and Larson, 2020). BRD related viral etiology includes agents such as herpesvirus, pestivirus, coronavirus, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, influenza C and D viruses, bovine rhinitis

A and B viruses, adenovirus, parvovirus, astrovirus, picobirnavirus (Zhang et al., 2020; Ng et al., 2015; Özbek et al., 2024). Clinical signs of BRD include fever, coughing, abnormal lung sounds, dyspnea, nasal discharge, ocular discharge and increased respiratory rate (Sasaki et al., 2022). Several studies have reported differences in serum biochemistry, acute phase proteins and complete blood counts between BRD-affected and healthy animals (Almujalli et al., 2015; Omar et al., 2024).

Bovine rhinitis viruses are classified in family *Picornaviridae*, subfamily *Caphthovirinae*, genus *Aphthovirus* and consist of two virus species: bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV; currently renamed as *Aphthovirus bogeli*) and bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV; currently renamed as *Aphthovirus reedi*). BRAV and BRBV are non-enveloped, approximately 30 nm in diameter, and have 7.2–7.5 kb of positive-sense, single-

^{*}Corresponding author: azkurkursat@hotmail.com; kursatazkur@kku.edu.tr

stranded RNA genome (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, 2024). Bovine rhinitis viruses cause mild upper respiratory tract infections and fever in cattle. In addition, BRBV has demonstrated neurotropic effects, as viral presence in brain tissue has been confirmed by real-time RT-PCR, virus isolation, and immunohistochemistry in experimentally infected calves, although no neurological symptoms were observed (Bhattarai et al., 2022). Although BRAV and BRBV had been identified previously, widespread application of metagenomics increased and underlined their role in the etiology of BRD, consequently the number of studies focusing on rhinitis viruses has been increasing. Several studies have detected BRAV and BRBV in BRD-affected cattle and these limited available studies on bovine rhinitis viruses have primarily been conducted in the United States, Canada, Mexico, China, Japan, Australia, and Sweden (Hause et al., 2015; Ng et al., 2015; Mitra et al., 2016; Blomström et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020; Zhai et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; Bhattarai et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2023a; Ambrose et al., 2023). To the best of our knowledge, no comprehensive data on bovine rhinitis viruses in Turkish cattle populations currently exist in published literature. This pioneering study aims to: (i) investigate BRV prevalence in cattle across Kırıkkale and Ankara provinces, (ii) associations between viral detection rates, hematological parameters, and clinical manifestations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Groups and Samples: This study was approved by the Kırıkkale University Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee (Approval number: 2023/09/32). Cattle from farms in Kırıkkale and Ankara provinces were clinically examined for respiratory symptoms indicative of bovine respiratory disease (BRD). Animals exhibiting symptoms (fever, cough, BRD-related nasal/ocular discharge) without prior treatment were classified as "BRD-affected group" (n=100), while cattle without any BRD-related signs and with rectal temperatures <39°C comprised the "asymptomatic group" (n=100). Animals developing BRD symptoms within 40 days post-sampling were excluded from asymptomatic group. Sterile swabs were used for sampling, placed in viral transport media which contains gentamicin and amphotericin B. Blood samples were collected via jugular venipuncture into EDTA-treated anticoagulant tubes and analyzed within 4 hours of collection. Swab samples were transported to the laboratory under cold-chain conditions; subsequently, they were vortexed briefly and the viral transport medium was transferred microcentrifuge tubes for storage at -20°C pending RNA isolation.

RT-PCR and multiplex real-time RT-PCR: RNA isolation was performed using the High Pure Viral RNA kit (Roche, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and RNA samples were stored at -80°C until further use. The multiplex real time RT-PCR was carried out in two steps: cDNA synthesis followed by multiplex real-time PCR. cDNA was synthesized from isolated

RNA samples using random hexamer primers and stored at -20°C until used. Prior to multiplex real-time PCR, cDNA samples were verified via conventional PCR targeting the bovine glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene with specific primers (Aksoy *et al.*, 2021; Ji-Hye *et al.*, 2023). Multiplex real-time PCR assays were performed using cDNA samples with specific primers and probes for BRAV and BRBV as described by Ambrose *et al.* (2023).

Hematological Analysis: Complete blood counts (CBC) were performed on collected blood samples using the BC-5000 Vet veterinary hematology analyzer (Mindray, China) at the diagnostic laboratory of Kırıkkale University Veterinary Faculty Animal Hospital. A total of 23 blood parameters were analyzed: white blood cell (WBC), neutrophil (NEU), lymphocyte (LYM), monocyte (MON), eosinophil (EOS), basophil (BAS), neutrophil percentage (NEU%), lymphocyte percentage (LYM%), monocyte percentage (MON%), eosinophil percentage (EOS%), basophil percentage (BAS%), red blood cell (RBC), hemoglobin (HGB), hematocrit (HCT), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), red blood cell distribution width-coefficient of variation (RDW-CV), red blood cell distribution width-standard deviation (RDW-SD), platelet (PLT), mean platelet volume (MPV), platelet distribution width (PDW), and plateletcrit (PCT).

Statistical Analysis: Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS version 30 software (IBM, USA). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05. Associations between viral positivity and clinical findings were analyzed using Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests. Blood parameters were compared using independent T-test or Mann-Whitney U test. Odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and P value were calculated via MedCalc software version 23 to analyze the association between virus positivity, clinical BRD status and BRD-associated clinical signs.

RESULTS

In this study, nasal swab and blood samples were collected from 200 cattle in farms located in Ankara and Kırıkkale provinces, Türkiye. The animals were separated into two groups: asymptomatic (n=100) and BRD-affected group (n=100). Clinical examination comprised assessment of rectal temperature, pulmonary auscultation, and observation for respiratory signs like coughing, nasal and ocular discharge. Following clinical examination, nasal swab and whole blood samples were collected from all animals for subsequent laboratory analyses. All nasal swab samples were subjected to multiplex real-time RT-PCR to detect viral RNA (n=200); however, 3 blood samples cannot be analyzed due to hemolysis (n=98 for BRD group, n=99 for asymptomatic group, total n=197 for blood samples).

Following RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis, cDNA was assessed by conventional RT-PCR targeting the bovine GAPDH gene and all samples tested positive.

In our cohort of 200 cattle, multiplex real-time RT-PCR confirmed that BRAV and BRBV are prevalent in both healthy and clinically affected animals, but markedly so in those exhibiting clinical respiratory disease. Overall, 24.5% (49/200) of nasal swabs were BRAV-positive and 12.5% (25/200) were BRBV-positive. When stratified by clinical status, BRAV was detected in 40% of BRD-affected cattle versus only 9% of asymptomatic animals, corresponding to a 6.7-fold increase in the odds of disease (OR 6.7; 95% CI 3.05–14.90; P<0.0001). Similarly, BRBV infection conferred a 3.7-fold higher risk of BRD (19% vs. 6%; OR 3.7; 95% CI 1.40–9.64; P=0.0082) (Table 1).

Importantly, dual infection with BRAV and BRBV was observed in 7% of all cattle but was predominantly a feature of clinical disease: 13% of BRD cases harbored both viruses compared to just 1% of asymptomatic counterparts, translating to a 14.8-fold elevated risk for BRD (OR 14.8; 95% CI 1.90–115.40; P=0.0102) (Table 1). These findings underscore not only the individual contributions of BRAV and BRBV to BRD but also the synergistic impact of co-infection, highlighting the need to include both agents in diagnostic panels and risk-assessment models.

The information on age and breed and clinical signs such as fever, respiratory signs, ocular discharge and nasal discharge of the sampled animals have recorded. The animals were distributed in 3 age groups: 1-2 months (n=10), 2-6 months (n=82) and over 6 months (n=108). The breeds of the animals were Simmental (n=60), Holstein (n=88), brown Swiss (n=19), cross-bred (n=32) and Charolais (n=1).

There is statistically significant association between age groups and BRAV positivity according to chisquare test (P=0.037), with the highest positivity rate in the 1-2 months age group (50%), indicating that calves are the most susceptible to BRAV infections compared to older ages. After chi-square testing, risk assessment between age groups were calculated. Calves aged 1-2 months BRAV positivity rate was significantly higher and approximately fivefold greater than in 2-6 monthsold age group (OR: 4.86, 95% CI: 1.24-19.05, P=0.0234) (Table 2), suggesting that BRAV could be a significant respiratory pathogen in younger animals. No significant association was found between positivity of BRBV positivity, co-infection status and age groups (P>0.05).

Rectal temperature, respiratory signs, nasal and ocular discharge were clinically evaluated in all sampled animals. Animals in the asymptomatic group had no fever, respiratory signs, or nasal/ocular discharge. In BRD-affected group, rectal temperature, respiratory signs, nasal discharge were statistically

analyzed based on virus positivity/negativity (Table 2). There is no ocular discharge detected in BRD-affected group.

According to the results, the odds of fever were approximately 5 times higher in BRAV-positive animals (OR: 4.7; 95% CI: 1.18–19.18; P=0.0287). In this study, no statistically significant difference was found between BRAV or BRBV positivity and the presence of nasal discharge or cough severity. No statistically significant association was determined between BRBV positivity or co-infection and any clinical signs. These findings indicate that BRAV infection is more likely in animals with fever and in younger animals aged 1–2 months (Table 2).

A total of 197 blood samples were analyzed in this study. According to comparison of complete blood count results of the BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals, there are statistically significant differences were detected in NEU, LYM, MON, EOS, NEU%, LYM%, MON%, EOS%, RBC, HGB, HCT, MCV, MCH, RDW-CV, RDW-SD, PLT, PDW, and PCT parameters (P<0.05) (Table 3). When the hematological parameters of all BRAV-positive and -negative animals were compared, regardless of the study group (BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals were evaluated together), statistically significant differences were determined in NEU, LYM, MON, EOS, NEU%, LYM%, MON%, EOS%, HGB, HCT, and MPV (P<0.05) (Table 4).

When the hematological parameters of all BRBV-positive and -negative animals were compared, regardless of the study group (BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals were evaluated together), statistically significant differences were found in NEU, LYM, NEU%, and LYM% (P<0.05) (Table 5). Regardless of the study group, when the hematological parameters of all coinfected (only BRAV and BRBV positive animals) and other animals were compared, statistically significant differences were determined in LYM, NEU% and LYM% (P<0.05) (Table 6).

The analysis of hematological parameters was also carried out between BRD-affected and asymptomatic groups for BRAV and BRBV positive animals. Statistically significant differences in EOS, EOS%, MCV, and PDW parameters were determined when BRAV positive BRD- and asymptomatic-groups are compared (P<0.05) (Table 7). In BRBV-positive animals, significant differences were found between the BRD-affected and asymptomatic groups in terms of MON, MCV, and MCH (P<0.05) (Table 8). The hematological results of coinfected animals could not be statistically analyzed between groups as only one co-infected animal was identified in the asymptomatic group.

Table 1: Risk assessment of viruses in the BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals. Multiplex real-time RT-PCR detection results of viruses in the nasal swab samples were summarized, and odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and P values were calculated.

Risk factors	Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result	BRD-affected (%)	Asymptomatic (%)	OR	95% CI	P value
Bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV)	Positive	40 (40%)	9 (9%)	6.7	3.05-14.90	< 0.0001
	Negative	60 (60%)	91 (91%)			
Bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV)	Positive	19 (19%)	6 (6%)	3.7	1.40-9.64	0.0082
	Negative	81 (81%)	94 (94%)			
Co-infection with BRAV and BRBV	Positive	13 (13%)	I (I%)	14.8	1.90-115.40	0.0102
	Negative	87 (87%)	99 (99%)			

Table 2: Risk factors associated with clinical signs and age in the BRD-affected group. The distribution of multiplex real-time RT-PCR detection results of viruses in the nasal swab samples according to clinical signs and age, and odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and P values

were calculated. Factors with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

Positive Negative	8 (8%)	temperature (%) 32 (32%)			
Negative		37 (37%)			
			4.7	1.18–19.18	0.0287
	3 (3%)	57 (57%)			
Positive	3 (3%)	16 (16%)	1.7	0.41–7.17	0.4626
	` ,	,	2.9	0.67–13.02	0.1507
					P value
		,	1.9	0.55–6.85	0.3028
		,	0.39	0.05-3.28	0.3897
3	,	,		0.00 5.47	
		,	0.64	0.08-5.47	0.6850
				250/ 61	
Multiplex real-time RT-PCR re		Cough (%)	OR	95% CI	P value
B 111		21 (210)	2.2	0.74 / 40	0.1530
		,	2.2	0.74-6.49	0.1539
			0.00	0.25.2.05	0.0770
		` ,	0.98	0.25-3.85	0.9778
			0.05	0.10.475	0.0403
			0.95	0.19-4.75	0.9483
0				050/ 61	
•					P value
			4.86	1.24-19.05	0.0234
3				011010	0.0004
			1.03	0.11-9.19	0.9804
		` '	2.17	0 22 21 55	0.5005
			2.17	0.22-21.55	0.5095
			O D	05% 61	ь .
•					P value
			2.60	0.70-9.63	0.1526
		,	0.44	0.00 5.30	0.6806
		,	0.64	0.08-5.39	0.6806
			1.22	0 14 10 77	0.0575
			1.22	0.14-10.//	0.0303
	· /		O₽.	0F% CI	P value
•					0.0858
	,		0.54	0.26-1.09	0.0030
		` ,	0.42	0.25 52	0.3017
			0.62	0.23-1.33	0.3017
	, ,		0.54	0 17 1 90	0.3556
			0.56	0.17-1.70	0.3336
	Positive Negative Positive Negative Positive Negative Multiplex real-time RT-PCR reserve Negative Positive Negative Positive Negative Positive Negative Multiplex real-time RT-PCR reserve Positive Negative	Positive 3 (3%) Negative 8 (8%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result Nasal discharge present (Positive 6 (6%) Negative 5 (5%) Positive 1 (1%) Negative 10 (10%) Positive 10 (10%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result Cough and respiratory distress (%) Positive 7 (7%) Positive 7 (7%) Positive 3 (3%) Negative 7 (7%) Positive 3 (3%) Negative 13 (13%) Positive 13 (13%) Positive 2 (2%) Negative 13 (13%) Positive 5 (5%) Negative 14 (14%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) Negative 9 (9%) Positive 1 (1%) Negative 8 (68%) Positive 14 (14%) Negative 68 (68%) Positive 8 (8%) Negative 74 (74%) Positive 4 (4%)	Positive 8 (8%) 79 (79%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result Nasal discharge present (%) Nasal discharge absent (7 Positive 6 (6%) 34 (34%) Negative 5 (5%) 55 (55%) Positive 1 (1%) 18 (18%) Negative 10 (10%) 71 (71%) Positive 1 (1%) 12 (12%) Negative 10 (10%) 77 (77%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result Cough and respiratory distress (%) Positive 9 (9%) 31 (31%) Negative 7 (7%) 53 (53%) Positive 3 (3%) 16 (16%) Negative 13 (13%) 68 (68%) Positive 13 (13%) 68 (68%) Positive 2 (2%) 11 (11%) Negative 14 (14%) 73 (73%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 5 (5%) 68 (68%) Positive 1 (1%) 8 (8%) Negative 9 (9%) 74 (74%) Positive 1 (1%) 8 (8%) Negative 9 (9%) 78 (78%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) 8 (8%) Negative 9 (9%) 74 (74%) Positive 1 (1%) 8 (8%) Negative 9 (9%) 78 (78%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) 8 (8%) Negative 9 (9%) 78 (78%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) 8 (8%) Negative 9 (9%) 78 (78%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) 16 (16%) Negative 9 (9%) 78 (78%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) 16 (16%) Negative 9 (9%) 78 (78%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) Over 6 months age (%) Positive 1 (1%) 16 (16%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (99%) Positive 1 (1%) 16 (16%) Negative 9 (9%) 79 (99%) Positive 1 (1%) 16 (16%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (99%) Positive 1 (1%) 16 (16%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (99%) Positive 14 (14%) 30 (30%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (99%) Positive 14 (14%) 30 (30%) Negative 14 (14%) 30 (30%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (99%) Positive 14 (14%) 92 (92%) Positive 14 (14%) 92 (92%) Positive 14 (14%) 92 (92%) Positive 4 (4%) 92 (92%)	Positive	Positive 3 (3%) 10 (10%) 2.9 0.67–13.02 Negative 8 (8%) 79 (79%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result Nasal discharge present (%) Nasal discharge absent (%) OR 95% CI Positive 6 (6%) 34 (34%) 1.9 0.55–6.85 Negative 5 (5%) 55 (55%) Positive 1 (1%) 18 (18%) 0.39 0.05–3.28 Negative 10 (10%) 71 (71%) Positive 1 (1%) 12 (12%) 0.64 0.08–5.47 Negative 10 (10%) 77 (77%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result Cough and respiratory distress (%) Positive 9 (9%) 31 (31%) 2.2 0.74-6.49 Negative 7 (7%) 53 (53%) Positive 3 (3%) 16 (16%) 0.98 0.25-3.85 Negative 13 (13%) 68 (68%) Positive 3 (3%) 16 (16%) 0.95 0.19-4.75 Negative 14 (14%) 73 (73%) Multiplex real-time RT-PCR result 1-2 months age (%) 2-6 months age (%) 0.8 95% CI Positive 1 (1%) 8 (88%) 1.03 0.11-9.19 Negative 1 (1%) 8 (88%) 1.03 0.11-9.19 Negative 1 (1%) 4 (4%) 2.17 0.22-21.55 Negative 9 (9%) 74 (74%) Positive 1 (1%) 4 (4%) 2.17 0.22-21.55 Negative 5 (5%) 30 (30%) 2.60 0.70-9.63 Negative 5 (5%) 78 (78%) Negative 5 (5%) 78 (78%) Negative 9 (9%) 99(9%) 99 (99%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 99 (99%) Negative 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (9%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 99 (99%) 1.22 0.14-10.77 Negative 1 (1%) 9 (9%) 1.22 0.14-

Table 3: Mean and standard deviation of hematological parameters of animals in the BRD-affected and asymptomatic groups and statistical significance results between the study groups. (Mann-Whitney U test and independent T test were used in statistical analysis)

and independen	t i test were used in s	statistical arialysis)			
	Study Groups				
Hematological	BRD-affected (n=98)	Asymptomatic (n=99)	P value		
Parameters					
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	15.52±17.59	10.48±3.36	0.971		
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	6.11±6.19	3.03±2.12	0.000*		
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	8.33±13.91	6.88±2.36	0.000*		
MON (10 ⁹ /L)	0.89±1.06	0.32±0.23	0.000*		
EOS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.16±0.15	0.24±0.17	0.000*		
BAS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.03±0.07	0.01±0.01	0.903		
NEU%	39.78±17.77	27.69±13.37	0.000*		
LYM%	53.19±18.51	66.92±14.08	0.000*		
MON%	5.74±3.48	2.98±2.04	0.000*		
EOS%	1.33±1.19	2.30±1.52	0.000*		
BAS%	0.25±0.46	0.11±0.12	0.359		
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	8.23±1.63	7.72±1.34	0.012*		
HGB (g/dL)	9.67±1.60	10.44±1.64	0.001*		
HCT (%)	28.22±4.83	29.84±5.06	0.039*		
MCV (fL)	34.81±5.01	39.20±6.48	0.000*		
MCH (pg)	II.94±1.77	13.71±2.06	0.000*		
MCHC (g/dL)	34.48±3.58	35.02±1.14	0.244		
RDW-CV (%)	24.70±4.46	23.06±2.31	0.010*		
RDW-SD (fL)	29.89±5.17	31.57±5.45	0.008*		
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	539.59±290.02	380.90±220.56	0.000*		
MPV (fL)	5.64±0.90	5.67±0.61	0.479		
PDW (Í0GSD)	12.11±3.57	14.88±0.76	0.000*		
PCT (mL/L)	3.00±1.67	2.14±1.13	0.000*		

^{*} Parameters with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

Table 4: Mean and standard deviation of hematological parameters of bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV) positive animals in all blood samples (n=197), and statistical significance results between the positive-negative groups. (Mann-Whitney U test and independent T test were used in statistical analysis)

scaciscical ariarysis)			
		ne RT-PCR results	for BRAV®
Hematological Parameters	Positive (n=49)	Negative $(n=148)$	P value
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	15.24±17.40	12.24±10.92	0.855
NEU (Ì0 ⁹ /L)	6.54±6.88	3.90±3.78	0.011*
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	7.67±13.37	7.58±8.59	0.000*
MON (10 ⁹ /L)	0.84±1.08	0.53±0.69	0.020*
EOS (IÔ ⁹ /L)	0.16±0.14	0.21±0.18	0.037*
BAS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.03±0.07	0.02±0.05	0.066
NEU%	41.82±20.22	31.01±14.63	0.001*
LYM%	51.20±20.49	63.03±15.78	0.000*
MON%	5.33±3.57	4.03±2.95	0.012*
EOS%	1.42±1.15	1.95±1.51	0.016*
BAS%	0.23±0.53	0.16±0.25	0.160
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	7.86±1.61	8.01±1.48	0.278
HGB (g/dL)	9.61±1.69	10.20±1.63	*810.0
HCT (%)	27.65±4.75	29.49±5.01	0.034*
MCV (fL)	35.69±5.03	37.45±6.48	0.212
MCH (pg)	12.40±1.85	12.97±2.18	0.127
MCHC (g/dL)	34.85±3.19	34.72±2.48	0.154
RDW-CŸ (%)	24.88±5.03	23.54±2.98	0.116
RDW-SD (fL)	30.81±5.76	30.71±5.25	0.882
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	526.63±311.51	437.73±250.41	0.063
MPV (fL)	5.46±0.87	5.72±0.72	0.045*
PDW (Í0GSD)	12.97±3.26	13.68±2.79	0.228
PCT (mL/L)	2.80±1.61	2.49±1.44	0.163
& Positive and negative	groups consist	of both BRD-af	fected and

[§] Positive and negative groups consist of both BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals. * Parameters with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

Table 5: Mean and standard deviation of hematological parameters of bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV) positive animals in all blood samples (n=197), and statistical significance results between the positive-negative groups. (Mann-Whitney U test and independent T test were used in statistical analysis)

	Multiplex real-time RT-PCR results for BRBV§		
Hematological	Positive	Negative	P value
Parameters	(n=25)	(n=172)	
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	10.80±4.66	13.30±13.62	0.577
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	4.95±3.33	4.50±5.04	0.021*
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	5.15±1.70	7.96±10.59	0.019*
MON (10 ⁹ /L)	0.51±0.40	0.62±0.86	0.541
EOS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.16±0.13	0.20±0.17	0.443
BAS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.02±0.05	0.02±0.05	0.903
NEU%	43.22±11.24	32.32±17.06	0.000*
LYM%	50.18±11.50	61.53±18.08	0.001*
MON%	4.70±2.87	4.30±3.20	0.386
EOS%	1.64±1.26	1.85±1.47	0.664
BAS%	0.24±0.47	0.17±0.32	0.636
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	8.47±1.92	7.90±1.43	0.350
HGB (g/dL)	9.89±1.36	10.08±1.70	0.613
HCT (%)	29.67±4.76	28.94±5.04	0.569
MCV (fL)	35.78±5.28	37.19±6.30	0.351
MCH (pg)	12.01±2.11	12.95±2.09	0.085
MCHC (g/dL)	33.71±4.02	34.91±2.38	0.135
RDW-CV (%)	24.46±4.43	23.79±3.51	0.439
RDW-SD (fL)	30.46±5.43	30.77±5.37	0.787
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	484.00±253.23	456.33±271.56	0.604
MPV (fL)	5.51±0.75	5.68±0.77	0.378
PDW (Í0GSD)	12.52±3.60	13.65±2.79	0.191
PCT (mL/L)	2.60±1.35	2.56±1.51	0.774

[§] Positive and negative groups consist of both BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals. * Parameters with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

Table 6: Mean and standard deviation of hematological parameters of animals that are co-infected with bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV) and bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV) and others, and statistical significance results between the positive-negative groups. (Mann-Whitney U test was used in statistical analysis)

was used in statistical analysis)				
	Multiplex real-time RT-PCR results for			
	BRAV+BRBV§			
Hematological	Co-infected	Others		
Parameters	(n=14)	(n=183)	P value	
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	11.01±5.28	13.14±13.25	0.688	
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	5.50±3.93	4.49±4.92	0.051	
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	4.80±1.76	7.81±10.29	0.013*	
MON (10 ⁹ /L)	0.50±0.25	0.61±0.84	0.344	
EOS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.19±0.16	0.20±0.17	0.688	
BAS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.03±0.06	0.02±0.05	0.698	
NEU%	46.56±12.85	32.72±16.69	0.001*	
LYM%	46.64±12.65	61.12±17.71	0.001*	
MON%	4.72±2.33	4.33±3.22	0.337	
EOS%	1.74±1.52	1.82±1.44	0.825	
BAS%	0.29±0.61	0.17±0.31	0.530	
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	8.31±1.90	7.95±1.48	0.842	
HGB (g/dL)	9.86±1.58	10.07±1.67	0.481	
HCT (%)	28.84±3.82	29.05±5.08	0.842	
MCV (fL)	35.64±5.62	37.12±6.23	0.457	
MCH (pg)	12.11±1.69	12.88±2.13	0.186	
MCHC (g/dL)	34.11±2.16	34.80±2.70	0.148	
RDW-CV (%)	25.86±5.50	23.72±3.42	0.064	
RDW-SD (fL)	32.07±6.28	30.63±5.29	0.350	
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	537.43±284.50	453.91±267.50	0.221	
MPV (fL)	5.33±0.74	5.68±0.76	0.150	
PDW (I0GSD)	11.67±4.20	13.64±2.77	0.098	
PCT (mL/L)	2.80±1.52	2.55±1.48	0.432	

[§] Co-infected animals only consist of double positive results from both BRD-affected and asymptomatic animals. * Parameters with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

DISCUSSION

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is one of the significant concerns in the cattle industry globally. Bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV) and bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV) have been screened by several studies conducted in different countries but not in Türkiye. In this study, we

investigated the presence of BRAV and BRBV in cattle with and without clinical respiratory disease in Türkiye. Our findings initially indicated that both viruses are circulating in cattle populations in Kırıkkale and Ankara provinces, in Türkiye, and the detection rates of BRAV and BRBV were significantly higher in BRD-affected animals compared to asymptomatic animals. This suggests that these viruses may play a role in the development of respiratory disease in cattle in Türkiye.

Table 7: Mean and standard deviation of hematological parameters of bovine rhinitis A virus (BRAV) positive animals in the BRD-affected and asymptomatic groups and statistical significance results between the study groups. (Mann-Whitney U test and independent T test were used in statistical analysis)

BRAV Positive Animals				
Hematological	BRD-affected	Asymptomatic	P value	
Parameters	(n=40)	(n=9)		
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	16.34±19.06	10.33±3.43	0.849	
NEU (Ì0 ⁹ /L)	7.11±7.44	3.99±2.12	0.567	
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	8.14±14.77	5.58±1.70	0.245	
MON (10 ⁹ /L)	0.91±1.17	0.50±0.43	0.468	
EOS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.14±0.13	0.24±0.14	0.027*	
BAS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.03±0.07	0.01±0.01	0.829	
NEU%	43.12±21.23	36.03±14.50	0.348	
LYM%	49.97±21.49	56.64±15.06	0.383	
MON%	5.42±3.31	4.92±4.79	0.326	
EOS%	1.22±1.06	2.33±1.18	0.002*	
BAS%	0.27±0.58	0.07±0.05	0.889	
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	8.03±1.73	7.12±0.49	0.107	
HGB (g/dL)	9.62±1.81	9.56±1.03	0.922	
HCT (%)	27.55±5.07	28.09±3.11	0.762	
MCV (fL)	34.85±5.00	39.47±3.19	0.011*	
MCH (pg)	12.17±1.90	13.43±1.19	0.063	
MCHC (g/dL)	35.03±3.48	34.04±1.14	0.339	
RDW-CV (%)	25.20±5.48	23.48±1.62	0.694	
RDW-SD (fL)	30.48±6.04	32.24±4.26	0.133	
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	531.20±330.17	506.33±224.11	0.831	
MPV (fL)	5.51±0.94	5.24±0.32	0.154	
PDW (ÍOGSD)	12.54±3.47	14.89±0.55	0.019*	
PCT (mL/L)	2.84±1.72	2.63±1.10	0.730	

st Parameters with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

Table 8: Mean and standard deviation of hematological parameters of bovine rhinitis B virus (BRBV) positive animals in the BRD-affected and asymptomatic groups and statistical significance results between the study groups. (Mann-Whitney U test and independent T test were used in statistical analysis)

	BRBV Positive Animals			
Hematological	BRD-affected	Asymptomatic	P value	
Parameters	(n=19)	(n=6)		
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	10.77±5.18	10.89±2.79	0.437	
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	5.21±3.75	4.11±1.21	0.926	
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	4.81±1.59	6.23±1.68	0.59	
MON (10 ⁹ /L)	0.57±0.44	0.34±0.16	0.274	
EOS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.15±0.13	0.20±0.13	0.138	
BAS (10 ⁹ /L)	0.03±0.05	0.01±0.01	0.437	
NEU%	44.91±11.98	37.85±6.64	0.185	
LYM%	47.94±11.78	57.27±7.48	0.083	
MON%	5.23±3.09	3.03±0.89	0.011*	
EOS%	1.59±1.36	1.80±0.91	0.333	
BAS%	0.29±0.53	0.05±0.08	0.246	
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	8.77±2.06	7.54±0.98	0.175	
HGB (g/dL)	9.72±1.43	10.42±0.99	0.283	
HCT (%)	29.52±5.23	30.17±3.13	0.437	
MCV (fL)	34.34±4.64	40.35±4.82	0.012*	
MCH (pg)	11.39±1.89	13.98±1.53	0.003*	
MCHC (g/dL)	33.42±4.58	34.63±0.80	0.733	
RDW-CV (%)	24.95±4.96	22.90±1.33	0.333	
RDW-SD (fL)	29.96±5.88	32.05±3.57	0.138	
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	513.00±270.18	392.17±178.03	0.318	
MPV (fL)	5.51±0.84	5.52±0.44	0.987	
PDW (I0GSD)	II.83±3.88	14.73±0.51	0.121	
PCT (mL/L)	2.75±1.45	2.13±0.87	0.333	

st Parameters with statistical significance (P<0.05) are shown with asterisk.

In this study, BRAV positivity was detected in 40% of cattle with BRD symptoms, while it was only 9% in asymptomatic animals (Table 1). This significant result shows that BRAV may be related to respiratory disease in cattle. Previous studies have reported varying BRAV positivity rates worldwide. A study conducted in China indicated BRAV positivity in nasal swabs from symptomatic cattle at 22.09% (Zhou et al., 2023a). In the United States, a metagenomic study found a BRAV positivity rate of 24% in calves with BRD (Ng et al., 2015). Similarly, a Canadian study using metagenomics showed a BRAV positivity of 12% in symptomatic calves compared to just 3% in healthy ones (Zhang et al., 2019). Metagenomic analysis involving both symptomatic and asymptomatic cattle from the United States and Mexico reported an overall BRAV positivity rate of 52.7%. Specifically, in Mexico, symptomatic cattle showed an 18.5% positivity, while asymptomatic animals had a higher rate of 26.9%. Notably, in the United States, positivity was considerably higher: 90% symptomatic cattle and 95% among asymptomatic cattle (Mitra et al., 2016). In this study we found a higher rate of positivity in BRD-affected group than asymptomatic animals (40% and 9%, respectively) (Table 1), in contrary to the results of Mitra et al. (2016). These results show that BRAV is common in cattle population globally, but detection rates can be very different in different studies, countries and disease situations.

Our results revealed that BRBV positivity was 19% BRD-affected cattle compared to 6% in the asymptomatic group (Table 1). In the United States, nasal swabs from cattle with BRD revealed a BRBV positivity rate of 40.8% (Bhattarai et al., 2022). Another study in China reported a lower BRBV positivity rate of 9.2% in cattle showing symptoms of BRD (Zhou et al., 2023a). Additionally, a US-based metagenomic study detected BRBV positivity at rates of 8-10% in calves with BRD (Ng et al., 2015). A study from Canada showed 28% BRBV positivity in symptomatic calves and 10% in healthy calves (Zhang et al., 2019). In Mexico, BRBV positivity was 25.9% in symptomatic and 11.5% in asymptomatic cattle. In the US, rates were 20% in symptomatic and 40% in asymptomatic cattle. Combined, overall positivity was 23.7% in both groups (Mitra et al., 2016). Considering these findings of previous studies conducted other countries, BRBV positivity rate appears to be lower in cattle population in Türkiye.

Risk factor assessment in several viral diseases is important to understand, analyze and predict the potential predisposing risks. The risk factors for BRD development include a variety of component such as bacterial and viral infections, host immunity, environmental and weather conditions. stress. transportation. Although assessments have been performed in studies on different BRD-associated viruses such as bovine viral diarrhea virus, bovine parainfluenza virus-3, bovine respiratory syncytial virus, bovine herpesvirus-1 (İnce et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2023b), no such comprehensive risk assessment has been found in any study on BRAV and BRBV. We analyzed some risk factors in BRD-affected animals in this study. Our results indicated that younger age and fever were significantly associated with BRAV infection, suggesting that suckling period may represent a

critical risk window for BRAV-associated respiratory disease. BRAV positivity was nearly five times higher in 1-2-month-old calves compared to 2-6-month-old animals (OR: 4.86, 95% CI: 1.24-19.05, p=0.0234). Additionally, BRAV-positive animals had approximately five times higher odds of exhibiting fever compared to BRAV-negative animals (OR: 4.7, 95% CI: 1.18-19.18, p=0.0287) (Table 2). These findings suggest that BRAV may act as a significant respiratory pathogen in young calves. In contrast, no statistically significant associations were identified between BRBV or co-infection positivity and any clinical signs or age groups. Overall, BRAV appears to contribute to clinical disease expression. particularly in calves aged 1-2 months, whereas the role of BRBV remains uncertain. In a previous experimental study, Bhattarai et al. (2022) inoculated three 7-day-old calves intranasally with 107 TCID₅₀ of BRBV strain 6900 and monitored them daily. Only one calf developed transient fever (>39.4°C) on day 2, which resolved by day 3, and no other clinical signs were observed. Our field study demonstrated that BRAV infection was significantly associated with fever, while BRBV infection showed no significant relationship with fever or other clinical signs, showing compatible findings with the study of Bhattarai et al. (2022). These clinical differences between BRAV and BRBV infection may reflect the influence of viral strain, infectious dose, host immunity, and sampling time on the clinical expression of fever in naturally infected animals compared to experimentally infected calves. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm these associations and better define the epidemiological and clinical significance of BRAV and BRBV in bovine respiratory disease.

While hematological changes may indicate infection, they are not standalone for clinical diagnosis. In our previous study, we have reported that infections with BVDV, BHV-1, and BHV-4 significantly altered hematological parameters as MCV, HCT, MCHC, and MPV (Aslan et al., 2016). Consistent with these findings the present study revealed that BRD-affected animals showed significant hematological differences compared to asymptomatic animals, particularly in NEU, LYM, MON, EOS, their percentages, and several red blood cell indices (P<0.05) (Table 3). In the BRD group, marked neutrophilia indicates an inflammatory response associated with acute bacterial infection or tissue damage. Neutrophils constitute the first line of defense in pulmonary infections (Ackermann et al., Bielamowicz et al., 2024; Omar et al., 2024). Although lymphocyte counts may be within physiological ranges in both groups, absolute lymphocyte elevation is numerically higher in the BRD group due to increased total WBC. This elevation may be associated with viral infections and viral-bacterial co-infections are prevalent in BRD (Ackermann et al., 2010) BRD pathogenesis consistently demonstrates leukocytosis with neutrophilia lymphopenia, hallmarks of acute infection. Elevation of the neutrophil / lymphocyte ratio (NLR) beyond 0.6 provides a clinically validated metric for objectively quantifying disease severity, as it encapsulates both the inflammatory surge and compensatory (Carlos-Valdez immunosuppression et al., 2016; Burciaga-Robles et al., 2010). In their study on calves,

Cuevas-Gómez et al. (2020) reported that NLR on the day of bovine respiratory disease (BRD) diagnosis was 73% higher than in healthy calves. Consistent with the literature, the present study also demonstrates a 69.51% greater NLR in BRD-affected calves compared to asymptomatic controls. BRAV positivity was associated with significant changes in NEU, LYM, MON, EOS, HGB, HCT, and MPV (P<0.05) (Table 4), while BRBV positivity was linked to NEU and LYM counts and percentages (P<0.05) (Table 5). Additionally, BRAVpositive BRD animals exhibited significant alterations in EOS, EOS%, MCV, and PDW compared to asymptomatic animals (P<0.05) (Table 7). Overall, these findings suggest that BRAV infection may lead to more extensive hematological alterations than BRBV, possibly reflecting a stronger host immune response.

Compared to devices such as the Rayto RT-7600Vet (China), which have shown variability in precision for veterinary species, the BC-5000 Vet (Mindray, China) used in this study offers multi-species capability; however, hematological analyzer optimization in animals remains more challenging than in humans due to species-specific differences in cell morphology and distribution. Therefore, species-specific calibration and validation remain essential for accurate interpretation of veterinary hematology results (Farooq *et al.* 2025).

In conclusion, this first nationwide survey of bovine rhinitis A and B viruses in Türkiye fills a critical advances epidemiological gap and the global understanding of their role in bovine respiratory disease (BRD). Our findings indicate that BRAV and BRBV especially their infections—and co-infectionsignificantly contribute to BRD pathogenesis, that calves aged 1-2 months are particularly susceptible to BRAV and that BRAV positivity is strongly associated with fever, underscoring the necessity of incorporating these viral agents both individually and in combination into diagnostic and risk-assessment protocols for bovine respiratory disease.

Authors contribution: EA and AKA conceived and designed the study. YŞ examined the animals, collected nasal swab and blood samples and carried out analysis of whole blood samples. EA and AKA executed the RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and multiplex real-time PCR experiments and analyzed the results and the statistical data. All authors interpreted the data, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual contents and approved the final version.

Acknowledgement: This study was supported by the Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Kırıkkale University (project number 2023/159).

REFERENCES

- Ackermann MR, Derscheid R and Roth JA, 2010. Innate immunology of bovine respiratory disease. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 26(2):215-28.
- Aksoy E, Kara E, Yagcı BB, et al., 2021. Investigation of bovine coronavirus and bovine rotavirus in calves with neonatal diarrhea in Kırıkkale and surrounding provinces. MAKU J Health Sci Inst 9(3):39.44
- Almujalli AM, El-Deeb WM, Eljalii EM, et al., 2015. Biochemical and bacteriological investigation of pneumonia in calves with special

- reference to alpha-I-acid glycoprotein response. Int J Vet Health Sci Res 3:60-63.
- Ambrose RK, Blakebrough-Hall C, Gravel JL, et al., 2023. Characterisation of the upper respiratory tract virome of feedlot cattle and its association with bovine respiratory disease. Viruses 15(2):455.
- Aslan ME, Azkur AK, Bıyıklı E, et al., 2016. Comparison of hematological parameters of Brucella-seronegative naturally infected cattle with BVDV, BHV-I, and BHV-4. Turk J Vet Anim Sci 40: 112-119.
- Bhattarai S, Lin CM, Temeeyasen G, et al., 2022. Bovine rhinitis B virus is highly prevalent in acute bovine respiratory disease and causes upper respiratory tract infection in calves. J Gen Virol 103(2):001714.
- Bielamowicz LP, Celestino ML, Menta PR, et al., 2024. Association of Bovine Respiratory Disease during the Pre-Weaning Period with Blood Cell Counts and Circulating Concentration of Metabolites, Minerals, and Acute Phase Proteins in Dairy Calves Transported to a Calf Raising Facility. Animals 14(13): 1909.
- Blakebrough-Hall C, McMeniman JP and González LA, 2020. An evaluation of the economic effects of bovine respiratory disease on animal performance, carcass traits, and economic outcomes in feedlot cattle defined using four BRD diagnosis methods. J Anim Sci 98(2):skaa005.
- Blomström ÁL, Oma V, Khatri M, et al., 2017. Genome sequence of a bovine rhinitis B virus identified in cattle in Sweden. Genome Announc 5(19):e00172-17.
- Burciaga-Robles LO, Krehbiel CR, Step DL, et al., 2010. Effects of exposure to calves persistently infected with bovine viral diarrhea virus type 1b and Mannheimia haemolytica challenge on animal performance, nitrogen balance, and visceral organ mass in beef steers. J Anim Sci 88(6):2179-88.
- Carlos-Valdez L, Wilson BK, Burciaga-Robles LO, et al., 2016. Effect of timing of challenge following short-term natural exposure to bovine viral diarrhea virus type 1b on animal performance and immune response in beef steers. J Anim Sci 94(11):4799-4808.
- Cuevas-Gómez İ, McGee M, McCabe M, et al., 2020. Growth performance and hematological changes of weaned beef calves diagnosed with respiratory disease using respiratory scoring and thoracic ultrasonography. J Anim Sci 98(11):skaa345.
- Farooq U, Lashari MH, Rehman ZU, et al., 2025. Performance evaluation of Rayto RT-7600Vet hematology analyzer in side-by-side comparison with manual hematological methods for apparently healthy Cholistani cattle blood. PLoS One 20(3):e0302617.
- Hause BM, Collin EA, Anderson J, et al., 2015. Bovine rhinitis viruses are common in U.S. cattle with bovine respiratory disease. PLoS One 10(3):e0121998.
- International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, Virus Taxonomy: 2024 Release. Accession: https://ictv.global/taxonomy (Accessed at 11 June 2025).
- Ince ÖB, Şevik M, Özgür EG, et al., 2021. Risk factors and genetic characterization of bovine respiratory syncytial virus in the inner Aegean Region, Turkey. Trop Anim Health Prod 54(1):4.
- Ji-Hye L, Cho S and Sang-Hwan K, 2023. Effects of apoptosis by 20α-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity on corpus luteum formation during early pregnancy in cattle. Czech J Anim Sci 68(2):53-63.
- Johnson KK and Pendell DL, 2017. Market impacts of reducing the prevalence of bovine respiratory disease in United States beef cattle feedlots. Front Vet Sci 4:189.
- Mitra N, Cernicchiaro N, Torres S, et al., 2016. Metagenomic characterization of the virome associated with bovine respiratory disease in feedlot cattle identified novel viruses and suggests an etiologic role for influenza D virus. J Gen Virol 97:1771–1784.
- Ng TFF, Kondov NO, Deng X, et al., 2015. A metagenomics and case-control study to identify viruses associated with bovine respiratory disease. J Virol 89:5340–5349.
- Omar IS, Hefnawy A, Elattar H, et al., 2024. Hematologic, Histopathological, and Inflammatory Biomarkers associated with Bovine Respiratory Disease in Calves. Egypt J Vet Sci, I-9.
- Özbek R, Abaylı H, Tonbak Ş, et al., 2024. Molecular Characterization of Important Viruses Contributing to Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex in Türkiye. Pak Vet J 42(2):322-329.
- Peel DS, 2020. The effect of market forces on bovine respiratory disease. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 36:497–508.
- Risalde MA, Molina V, Sánchez-Cordón PJ, et al., 2015. Effects of preinfection with bovine viral diarrhea virus on immune cells from the lungs of calves inoculated with bovine herpesvirus 1.1. Vet Pathol 52:644–653.

- Sasaki Y, Iki Y, Anan T, et al., 2022. Economic loss due to treatment of bovine respiratory disease in Japanese Black calves arriving at a backgrounding operation in Miyazaki. | Vet Med Sci 84:1328–1334.
- Smith DR, 2020. Risk factors for bovine respiratory disease in beef cattle. Anim Health Res Rev 21(2):149-152.
- Speer N, Young C and Roeber D, 2001. The importance of preventing bovine respiratory disease: a beef industry review. Bovine Practitioner 35:189–196.
- Taylor JD, Fulton RW, Lehenbauer TW, et al, 2010. The epidemiology of bovine respiratory disease: What is the evidence for predisposing factors? Can Vet J 51(10):1095-102.
- White BJ and Larson BL, 2020. Impact of bovine respiratory disease in U.S. beef cattle. Anim Health Res Rev 21:132–134.
- Zeineldin M, Elolimy AA and Barakat R, 2020. Meta-analysis of bovine respiratory microbiota: link between respiratory microbiota and bovine respiratory health. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 96(8):fiaal 27.
- Zhai SL, Xie YL, Zhai Q, et al., 2021. Genome characterization and phylogenetic analysis of the first bovine rhinitis B virus isolate in China. Front Vet Sci 8:721284.

- Zhang M, Hill JE, Alexander TW, et al., 2021. The nasal viromes of cattle on arrival at western Canadian feedlots and their relationship to development of bovine respiratory disease. Transbound Emerg Dis 68:2209–2218.
- Zhang M, Hill JE, Fernando C, et al., 2019. Respiratory viruses identified in western Canadian beef cattle by metagenomic sequencing and their association with bovine respiratory disease. Transbound Emerg Dis 66:1379–1386.
- Zhang M, Hill JE, Godson DL, et al., 2020. The pulmonary virome, bacteriological and histopathological findings in bovine respiratory disease from western Canada. Transbound Emerg Dis 67:924–934.
- Zhou Y, Chen X, Tang C, et al., 2023a. Detection and genomic characterization of bovine rhinitis virus in China. Animals (Basel) 13(2):312.
- Zhou Y, Shao Z, Dai G, et al., 2023b. Pathogenic infection characteristics and risk factors for bovine respiratory disease complex based on the detection of lung pathogens in dead cattle in Northeast China. | Dairy Sci 106(1):589-606.