

## EFFECTS OF FEEDING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ON BODY WEIGHT AND REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF BALOCHI EWES

M. MUNIR, A. W. JASRA<sup>1</sup> AND M. A. MIRZA

Animal Sciences Institute, National Agricultural Research Centre, Park Road, Islamabad;

<sup>1</sup>Range Management & Forestry, Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad, 45500, Pakistan

### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to assess the performance of Balochi ewes under three management systems i.e. T<sub>1</sub>-Extensive (grazing only), T<sub>2</sub>-Semi-extensive (grazing plus daily feed supplementation for nine months @ 0.625 kg/ewe/day) and T<sub>3</sub>-Intensive (experimental ration only @ 1.5625 kg/ewe/day). Animals under T<sub>1</sub> lost as high as 7.9 kg body weight during winter. T<sub>2</sub> flock maintained their body weights and T<sub>3</sub> achieved significantly higher body weight (i.e. 15.1 kg). Highest conception and lambing percentages (93.8%) were attained under T<sub>3</sub> group, followed by T<sub>2</sub> (87.5%) and T<sub>1</sub> (75%). These results strongly recommend appropriate feed supplementation to small ruminants on Balochistan ranges for sustainable pastoral livelihood.

**Key words:** Ewes, grazing systems, weight gains, breeding performance, Balochistan.

### INTRODUCTION

Although Balochistan is geographically the largest province of Pakistan, but its cultivated area is comparatively limited due to several ecological reasons. Eventually, small ruminants have historically emerged as a preferred subsistence oriented livelihood choice. Traditionally, bulk of sheep and goats diet comprised of forage plant species. During the past, the animal population in this province has quickly multiplied. Consequently, many fold more grazing pressure on local rangelands has been causing decline in forage availability from this natural resource. Range resources have visibly become depleted. Eventually, the animals are under-nourished, more prone to diseases and their productivity is quite low under poor conventional management systems (Wahid, 1990).

Under traditional management practices, small ruminants are not supplemented on rangelands for improving their nutritional status (Wahid, 1990). Conclusively, under the prevailing low feeding regimen, the genetic potential of local sheep is under-exploited and breed improvement programmes offer little success. For sustaining the local pastoral livelihood, it is now strategic to give serious and scientific consideration to extensive range-livestock production. For this reason, El-Hag *et al.* (2006) offered supplementary feeding to desert ewes at mating time which increased lambing and gave heavier lambs with greater monetary return to farmers. Bianchi *et al.* (2001) advised a preferential diet for ewes around mating period in order to improve the reproductive performance of flocks, because protein deficiency in

diet may lead to reduced forage intake and consequently reduced performance (Taylor *et al.*, 2002). It was further concluded that lack of feed supplement affected body condition score, wool characteristics and lambing percentage of ewes (Taylor *et al.*, 2002). This study was conducted to determine the effect of feeding and management systems on body weight and reproductive performance of Balochi ewes.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the Range-Livestock Research Station (RLRS) of Arid Zone Research Centre (AZRC), Zarchi, district Kalat, Balochistan, Pakistan. This area is located at 29°07'N, 66°24'E and at an altitude of 1850 m (ICARDA, 1989) where average annual precipitation is 200 mm. Wahid (1990) described Zarchi vegetation as desert shrub type dominated by *Artemisia maritima* and *Haloxylon griffithii*. Chemical composition of major range plant species reported by Wahid (1990) was used as nutritional guideline. A flock of 48, two to five years old Balochi sheep, was maintained at RLRS for this study. Flock was randomly divided into three groups of 16 animals each. Each group was randomly allotted one of the following treatments for nine months:

Extensive management system (T<sub>1</sub>): Animals depended on grazing only for eight hours daily during the study period i.e. fall, winter and spring (October – June).

Semi-intensive management system (T<sub>2</sub>): In addition to daily grazing for 8 hours, animals were fed an experimental ration @ 0.625 kg/ewe/day in the afternoon.

Intensive management system (T<sub>3</sub>): Sheep were fed the experimental ration @ 1.5625 kg/ewe/day and were not allowed any grazing.

Cost per kg of experimental ration ranged between Rs.6.50 to 8.00, depending upon fluctuation in market prices of ingredients. Ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental ration are given in Table 1.

**Table 1: Ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental ration**

Ingredients	Composition (%)
Lucerne hay	50
Cottonseed cake	25
Wheat straw	10
Wheat bran	15
Crude protein	12
Total digestible nutrients	55

Ewes were weighed on 1<sup>st</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> of every month from October to June. All animals were vaccinated against enterotoxaemia, sheep pox, Foot and Mouth disease, Caprine Contagious Pleuro-pneumonia and anthrax. They were also drenched with Systamex, Zanyl or Nilzan (Levamisol hydrochloride), depending upon availability in the market and were also dipped in Neguvon solution as per prevailing schedule before and during the study.

Following parameters were recorded: initial (mature) body weight of ewes, fortnightly body weight, conception rate, lambing percentage, lamb birth weight, lamb weaning weight and lamb mortality. Data on body

weight were analyzed by analysis of variance using completely randomized design (Steel and Torrie, 1984) and means were compared by the LSD test using MSTATC computer package. Whereas conception rate and lambing percentage data were analyzed by Chi-square analysis (Little and Hills, 1978).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fortnightly body weights of ewes are given in Table 2. During the first two weeks of study, body weights of ewes under three treatments did not differ significantly. Ewes under T<sub>1</sub> (i.e. extensive management) and T<sub>2</sub> (i.e. semi-extensive management) showed similar but significantly lower (P<0.05) body weights than T<sub>3</sub> (i.e. intensive management) during next one month period. However, during rest of the winter and spring period, ewes under three treatments differed significantly (P<0.05) in their body weights. The values were the highest for T<sub>3</sub> and lowest for T<sub>1</sub>. But during the last two months of study, again the ewes in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> had similar but significantly lower (P<0.05) body weights than T<sub>3</sub> ewes. It may be concluded that increased protein supplementation under T<sub>3</sub> has positive impact on ewes performance as mentioned by Taylor *et al.* (2002).

Loss of weights in sheep during winter is a common observation on Balochistan ranges because vegetation gets dormant with a sharp decline in its nutritive value (Wahid, 1990) and animals get limited grazing time due to snow and severe cold. This climatic inhospitality forces the local population to migrate

**Table 2: Effect of feeding and management systems on body weights (kg) of Balochi ewes**

Treatment	W1 <sup>F</sup>	W2 <sup>F</sup>	W3 <sup>F</sup>	W4 <sup>W</sup>	W5 <sup>W</sup>	W6 <sup>W</sup>	W7 <sup>W</sup>	W8 <sup>W</sup>	W9 <sup>W</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	32.8 <sup>a</sup>	32.4 <sup>a</sup>	29.8 <sup>b</sup>	29.8 <sup>b</sup>	29.1 <sup>c</sup>	28.4 <sup>c</sup>	28.6 <sup>c</sup>	28.4 <sup>c</sup>	26.8 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	34.2 <sup>a</sup>	33.3 <sup>a</sup>	32.2 <sup>b</sup>	32.5 <sup>b</sup>	32.7 <sup>b</sup>	33.8 <sup>b</sup>	33.9 <sup>b</sup>	34.2 <sup>b</sup>	32.0 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	33.2 <sup>a</sup>	35.2 <sup>a</sup>	35.6 <sup>a</sup>	38.0 <sup>a</sup>	38.5 <sup>a</sup>	39.3 <sup>a</sup>	41.6 <sup>a</sup>	42.7 <sup>a</sup>	43.3 <sup>a</sup>
SEM	± 0.64	± 0.66	± 0.77	± 0.80	± 0.87	± 0.99	± 1.05	± 1.17	± 1.25
Treatment	W10 <sup>S</sup>	W11 <sup>S</sup>	W12 <sup>S</sup>	W13 <sup>S</sup>	W14 <sup>S</sup>	W15 <sup>S</sup>	W16 <sup>S</sup>	W17 <sup>S</sup>	W18 <sup>S</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	25.7 <sup>c</sup>	24.9 <sup>c</sup>	29.6 <sup>c</sup>	27.9 <sup>c</sup>	31.7 <sup>b</sup>	34.4 <sup>b</sup>	32.4 <sup>b</sup>	33.9 <sup>b</sup>	35.2 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	31.6 <sup>b</sup>	30.3 <sup>b</sup>	34.6 <sup>b</sup>	32.7 <sup>b</sup>	34.6 <sup>b</sup>	36.4 <sup>b</sup>	32.8 <sup>b</sup>	34.3 <sup>b</sup>	34.7 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	43.7 <sup>a</sup>	39.2 <sup>a</sup>	48.3 <sup>a</sup>	43.5 <sup>a</sup>	40.7 <sup>a</sup>	42.5 <sup>a</sup>	36.8 <sup>a</sup>	38.6 <sup>a</sup>	39.2 <sup>a</sup>
SEM	± 1.39	± 1.36	± 1.41	± 1.22	± 0.98	± 0.90	± 07.0	± 0.70	± 0.68

- Trt : Treatment  
W1 – W18 : Fortnightly body weights of ewes (i.e. 16<sup>th</sup> October – 16<sup>th</sup> June)  
T<sub>1</sub> : Extensive management, range grazing alone, no ration feeding  
T<sub>2</sub> : Semi-intensive management, range grazing + ration feeding @ 0.625kg/ewe/day  
T<sub>3</sub> : Intensive management, ration feeding along @ 1.5625 kg/ewe/day  
abc : Values in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).  
F (superscript) : Fall  
W (superscript) : Winter  
S (superscript) : Spring  
s (superscript) : Summer

down to plains. Although from precipitation and forage availability point of view the study year was above average one, even then it was only possible for animals to maintain live weights with some additional supplemental feed. The ewes under T<sub>1</sub> lost weight upto 7.9 kg during winter (Table 2). As soon as forage availability improved during spring, the animals were not only able to make up the losses but gained 2.4 kg over the initial weight (W<sub>1</sub>). Whereas ewes under T<sub>2</sub> managed to almost maintain live weight, which reflected the impact of better management and nutrition. The ewes under T<sub>3</sub> had higher body weights throughout winter and at one stage they gained 15.1 kg (W<sub>12</sub>) over the initial weight (W<sub>1</sub>), but later on this group started losing body weight, indicating any unknown stress on the animals.

Data on birth and weaning weights and mortality in ewes of three groups are given in Table 3. Birth and weaning weights under T<sub>3</sub> were significantly higher than both T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. There was no mortality under the T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>.

**Table 3: Birth, weaning weights and mortality of lambs**

Treat-ment	Birth weight (kg)	Weaning weight (kg)	Mortality (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	3.00 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	15.56 ± 0.66 <sup>b</sup>	16.7 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	3.10 ± 0.20 <sup>b</sup>	16.80 ± 0.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.0 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	3.84 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	19.22 ± 0.79 <sup>a</sup>	0.0 <sup>b</sup>

Values in the same column with different superscripts are different (P<0.05)

Mating of experimental females with breeding males took place in October and November, however, breeding rams remained with flock throughout the study period. Ewes not repeating oestrous cycles were monitored closely for pregnancy symptoms till visible confirmation of pregnancy.

The average lambing percentages in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were 75.0, 87.5 and 93.8 respectively. Highest lambing percentage was attained under T<sub>3</sub> (i.e. intensive management), followed by T<sub>2</sub> (semi-extensive management) and T<sub>1</sub> (extensive management). These results show that higher body weights resulted in higher conception rates but the differences among treatments were statistically non-significant. Lambing took place in March. There were no abortions as well as twins and triplets, leading to 100% lambing rate. These results are partially in line with the findings of Bianchi *et al.* (2001) and El-Hag *et al.* (2006). Similar findings were reported by Rafique *et al.* (1991) in Pakistan. Thomson and Bahaddy (1988) reported that fertility was related (r = 0.87) to live weight at mating in Awassi ewes in semi-arid North-West Syria.

Conclusively, appropriate supplementation on Balochistan ranges is critical and would change the overall socio-economic scenario of local pastoralists. However, it would ask for cheaper and local resource based balanced feed supplements to be formulated by the researchers. It would further demand to build up the capacity of local pastoralists by involving local extension services to purchase and feed these supplemental rations to their animals particularly during winter. Since this study did not focus on economic analysis of feed supplementation of Balochi ewes during winter, further studies would be required to assess its net economic returns for local small ruminants producers.

## REFERENCES

- Bianchi, G., J. Burgueno, D. F. Abella, G. Garibotto, R. C. Cesar and G. Jones, 2001. Post weaning feeding management and performance of Merino ewes grazing on natural and improved pastures at mating season. *Ciencia Rural*, 31(1): 105-110.
- El-Hag, F. M., M. K. A. Ahmed, A. M. Salih, M. A. M. Khair, B. Fadlalla, A. A. Iboaf and M. M. M. Ahmed, 2006. Supplementary feeding to improve desert sheep productivity under dryland farming. *Trop. Sci.*, 47(1): 26-32.
- ICARDA, 1989. High elevation research in Pakistan: The MART/AZR Project. Final Project Report and Annual Report. Arid Zone Research Centre, Quetta, Pakistan.
- Little, T. M. and F. J. Hills, 1978. *Agricultural Experimentation: Design and Analysis*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY, 10016, USA.
- Rafique S., M. Munir, M. I. Sultani and A. Rehman, 1991. Effect of winter supplementation on the performance of Balochi ewes grazing native rangelands in Highland Balochistan. *Asian-Austr. J. Anim. Sci.*, 4(4): 333-339.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie, 1984. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., McGraw-Hill Co., New York, USA.
- Taylor, N., P. G. Hatfield, B. F. Sowell and G. S. Lewis. 2002. Research Note – Influence of supplement form on ewe performance and reproduction. *Sheep and Goat Res. J.*, 17(2): 52-54.
- Thomson, E. F. and F. H. Bahaddy, 1988. A note on the effect of live weight at mating on fertility of Awassi ewes in semi-arid, North-West Syria. *Anim. Prod.*, 47: 505-508.
- Wahid, A., 1990. *Dietary composition and nutritional status of sheep and goats grazing two rangeland types in Balochistan, Pakistan*. PhD Dissertation, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA.