



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Prevalence of Four Enteropathogens with Immunochromatographic Rapid Test in the Feces of Diarrheic Calves in East and Southeast of Turkey

Hasan İçen¹, Neval Berrin Arserim², Nurettin IŞIK³, Cumali Özkan^{4*} and Abdullah Kaya⁴

¹Department of Internal Diseases, ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Dicle, 21180, Diyarbakır; ³Laboratory of Research, Diagnosis and Control of Animal Diseases, Microbiology, 21010, Diyarbakır; ⁴Department of Internal Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Yuzuncu Yil, 65080, Van, Turkey

*Corresponding author: cozkanvet@hotmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: August 29, 2012
Revised: November 17, 2012
Accepted: May 18, 2013

Key words:

Calves
Coronavirus
Cryptosporidium
Diarrhea
E. coli K99
Rapid diagnostic test
rotavirus

ABSTRACT

In this study, fecal specimens taken from 192 diarrheic and 14 healthy calves (2-40 days old) were examined for the presence of bacterial and parasitic agents. Fecal samples from diarrheic calves with the four immunochromatographic rapid tests were 92.7% positive for four enteropathogens. The individual prevalence was 25, 21.8, 9.4 and 2.1% for Rotavirus, *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *E. coli* K99 and Coronavirus, respectively. Concomitant infections caused by two agents were 15.6% for Rotavirus+*Cryptosporidium*, 1.0% for Rotavirus+Coronavirus, 5.2 % for *Cryptosporidium*+*E. coli* K99, and 7.3% for Rotavirus+*E. coli* K99. Besides concomitant infections caused by three agents were 3.1% for *Cryptosporidium* +Rotavirus+*E.coli* K99 and 1.0%, *Cryptosporidium*+Rotavirus+Coronavirus. In addition one calf (1.0%) was infected by combination of four agents as *Cryptosporidium*, Rotavirus, Coronavirus, and *E. coli* K99. The calculated individual prevalence was 56.9% for Rotavirus, 47.8% for *C. parvum*, 26.0% for *E. coli* K99 and 5.2% for Coronavirus. However, 88 samples were positive in smear detection for *Cryptosporidium* while 92 were positive in rapid test. As a result of this study it can be concluded that multiple etiologies of diarrhea can be seen and this can help in the development of a specific treatment and preventative measures for practitioners in east and southeast of Turkey.

©2013 PVJ. All rights reserved

To Cite This Article: İçen H, NB Arserim, N Işık, C Özkan and A Kaya, 2013. Prevalence of four enteropathogens with immunochromatographic rapid test in the feces of diarrheic calves in east and southeast of Turkey. Pak Vet J, 33(4): 496-499.

INTRODUCTION

Neonatal calf diarrhea is a common disease affecting the newborn calf worldwide (Achá *et al.*, 2004; Khan and Zaman, 2007; Özkan *et al.*, 2011). Calf diarrhea is a complex syndrome with a complex etiopathogenesis, causing important economic losses due to morbidity and mortality, treatment costs, and reduced growth rates in affected calves (Zhu *et al.*, 2011). The pathogens most commonly incriminated in neonatal calf scours include viral (rotavirus and coronavirus), protozoal (*Cryptosporidium parvum*, *coccidia*) and bacterial pathogens (enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* K99 and *Salmonella* spp) (Bartels *et al.*, 2010; Kumar *et al.*, 2010; Izzo *et al.*, 2011). In addition to influence of environment, management and physiological and immunological conditions and nutritional factors such as improper diet or

feeding practices, or poor quality milk replacer trigger diarrhea (Aydın *et al.*, 2001; Millemann, 2009).

Epidemiologic studies of both beef and dairy calves have implicated *Escherichia coli* K99 as the major cause of neonatal diarrhea occurring in the first 4 days of life; however, it rarely leads to diarrhea in older calves or adult cattle (Foster and Smith, 2009). Rotavirus was one of the first identified viral causes of diarrhea, and was initially known as neonatal calf diarrhea virus. Subsequently, it has been found throughout the world and has been identified as a significant pathogen of children and most other mammals (Alkan, 1998; Foster and Smith, 2009). Classically, rotavirus diarrhea is thought to be primarily a malabsorptive diarrhea, but recent evidence indicates that there is also a toxin-mediated secretory component as well. Coronavirus typically affects calves in the first 3 weeks after birth, and peak incidence occurs between 7th

and 10th days. The virus is ingested from the environment, which is contaminated by other calves or adult cattle (Torres-Medina *et al.*, 1985).

Clinical signs begin approximately 2 days later and continue for 3 to 6 days (Torres-Medina *et al.*, 1985). Among protozoan, *Cryptosporidium spp.* is frequently seen in calves with or without diarrhea. Calves infected by cryptosporidium have ranged from one to three weeks after birth (Bhat *et al.*, 2012).

Several diagnostic methods are used to detect enteropathogenic agents. Diagnosis is done through collecting feces of animals suffering from diarrhea by a rectal swab or collecting intestinal contents (Busato *et al.*, 1998) and using laboratory diagnostic tests such as direct electron microscopic test, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), latex agglutination, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, and also immuno-electron microscopy (Cho *et al.*, 2010).

The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of Rotavirus, Coronavirus, *E. coli* K99 and *Cryptosporidium* in neonatal calves diarrhea in dairy farms in east and southeast of Turkey by rapid test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, 206 fecal samples from 192 diarrheic calves (from nine different farms within 24-48 h of onset of clinical signs from non-treated calves up to 2-40 days after birth) and 14 healthy calves were collected directly from the rectum in sterile plastic bottles and submitted to the laboratory in the same day. All the faecal samples were tested for the presence of Rotavirus, Coronavirus, *Cryptosporidium* and *E. coli* K99 by a commercial immunochromatography rapid test (Rainbow Calf Scour 4, Bio-X Diagnostics, Belgium), (Klein *et al.*, 2009) following manufacturer's instructions. After 10 minutes, the results were read. In the test red lined strip, yellow lined strip, blue lined strip, green lined strip were corresponds to rotavirus, coronavirus, *E. coli* attachment factor K99 and *C. parvum* respectively. Moreover, fecal samples were also tested for the presence of *Cryptosporidium*. Smears were made from non-concentrated faecal samples on glass slides for oocysts detection and oocysts were detected microscopically using Ziehl-Neelsen staining method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From November 2010 to November 2011, 206 fecal samples were collected from 9 farms. The analyses of the fecal samples with the immunochromatographic rapid test were positive for in 92.7% of the fecal samples in diarrheic calves. In healthy group (n=14) enteropathogens were detected as *Cryptosporidium* in one sample and rotavirus in two samples. The prevalence of individual or concomitant agents is shown in Table 1. Also the results of enteropathogens detection in the calves with different life durations are shown in Table 2. In addition, 88 samples were positive in smear detection for *Cryptosporidium* while 92 were positive in rapid test.

Diarrhea in neonatal calves is one of the major problems in Turkey and also in many other countries, but

Table 1: Detection of enteropathogen(s) in the diarrheic dairy calves studied (n: 192) through immune-chromatic test in the faecal material.

Enteropathogen(s) detected	Number	%
None	14	7.3
Cryptosporidium only	42	21.8
Rotavirus only	48	25.0
Coronavirus only	4	2.1
<i>E. coli</i> K99 only	18	9.4
Rotavirus+ <i>Cryptosporidium</i>	30	15.62
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> + <i>E. coli</i> K99	10	5.2
Rotavirus+Coronavirus	2	1.0
Rotavirus+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	14	7.3
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> +Rotavirus+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	6	3.1
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> +Rotavirus+Coronavirus	2	1.0
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> +Rotavirus+Coronavirus+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	2	1.0

there have been few studies, carried out for the pathogens causing this problem (Erdoğan *et al.*, 2003; Luginbühl *et al.*, 2005). Diagnostic testing for the etiologic agent responsible for diarrhea can only be performed in the laboratory because clinical signs do not allow differentiation of the causal microorganisms (Nussbaum *et al.*, 1998). The rapid detection of these pathogens should assist in treatment management. Although electron microscopy, virus culture on cell monolayers, PAGE, ELISA, and flotation techniques complemented by staining allow detection of rotavirus, coronavirus, *E. coli*, and *Cryptosporidium*, these methods are quite complicated require specialized equipment, and a regular time period (García *et al.*, 2000). They are, nevertheless, considered the gold standard for comparisons among different methods. In addition a new diagnostic method has been developed based on lateral immunochromatography test for Rotavirus, Coronavirus, *E. coli* f5 (K99), *Cryptosporidium* detection (Cho *et al.*, 2012). It has the advantage of not requiring special equipment or expertise and, therefore, it is suitable for small laboratories and field research (Klein *et al.*, 2009).

The most farms which included in this study have gaps in management and overcrowding, contaminated lots, calving heifers and cows together, cold temperatures. All this stressful to the newborn calf caused to increase its exposure to infectious agents.

In a study (De Graaf *et al.*, 1999) done for diarrheic calves in Belgium it is estimated that the prevalence of *E. coli*, Rotavirus, Coronavirus and *C. parvum* as 4, 20, 8 and 31%, respectively. In a recent Swiss study on diarrheic calves, the prevalence for these enteropathogens was 6, 59, 8 and 55%, respectively (Uhde *et al.*, 2008). For a similar study in Germany, these prevalence were 3, 43, 46 and, 0% respectively (Luginbühl *et al.*, 2005). In another study (Bartels *et al.*, 2010) performed among young dutch dairy calves the prevalence of enteropathogen were obtained as 2.6% for *E. coli*, 17.7% for Rotavirus, 3.1% for Coronavirus and 27.8% for *Cryptosporidiosis*. According to the prevalence of enteropathogen in Brazil were 25.1% for Rotavirus, 21.3% for *Cryptosporidiosis*, and 34.9% for *E. coli* (Langoni *et al.*, 2004). The results of present study, with detection rates of Rotavirus 25%, *Cryptosporidiosis* 21.8%, *E. coli* 9.4% and Coronavirus 2.1%, respectively were similar to the results obtained by the previously researcher (Luginbühl *et al.*, 2005; Bartels *et al.*, 2010).

Erdoğan *et al.* (2003) 19% for Rotavirus, 1% for Coronavirus, Gülyaz *et al.* (2010) indicated 27.1% for Rotavirus were determined in different survey about

Table 2: Detection of four enteropathogen(s) in the different age-groups of diarrheic calves

Enteropathogen(s) detected	Age of diarrheic calves (Days)							
	1-10 (n=60)		10-20 (n=60)		20-30 (n=54)		30-40 (n=18) (n=60)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
None	4	6.6	2	3.3	4	7.4	4	22.2
Cryptosporidium only	6	10	18	30	14	25.9	4	22.2
Rotavirus only	20	33.3	12	20	12	22.2	4	22.2
Coronavirus only	-	-	-	-	4	7.4	-	-
<i>E. coli</i> K99 only	10	16.6	6	10	2	3.7	-	-
Cryptosporidium+Rotavirus	10	16.6	14	23.3	4	7.4	2	11.1
Cryptosporidium+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	-	-	2	3.3	6	11.1	2	11.1
Rotavirus+Coronavirus	-	-	-	-	2	3.7	-	-
Rotavirus+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	6	10	2	3.3	4	7.4	2	11.1
Cryptosporidium+Rotavirus+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	4	6.6	2	3.3	-	-	-	-
Cryptosporidium+Rotavirus+Coronavirus	-	-	2	3.3	-	-	-	-
Cryptosporidium+Rotavirus+Coronavirus+ <i>E. coli</i> K99	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

neonatal diarrhea of calves in Turkey. In the present study only Rotavirus 25% and with concomitant agent were 53.1% in diarrhea and 14.3 % in healthy calves in this study. The importance of Rotavirus in the etiology of diarrhea is confirmed. The results obtained for Rotavirus in this study are higher than the previous studies while Coronavirus results were similar (Erdoğan *et al.*, 2003; Gülyaz *et al.*, 2010). *Cryptosporidium* is an important protozoan parasite that causes diarrhea in neonates and young bovine as this protozoan is hard to treat and can be found in animals with or without diarrhea (Díaz-Lee *et al.*, 2011). The prevalence of cryptosporidium 21.8% and with concomitant agent were 47.8% in calves with diarrhea and 7.1% in healthy calves in this study. Díaz-Lee *et al.* (2011) reported prevalence of cryptosporidium as 49.8% with Ziehl-Neelsen staining methods in calves with diarrhea in Chile while Soltane *et al.* (2007) reported as 86.7% in Tunisia. Also Aydın *et al.* (2001) stated this ratio 5.94% in Kars district and Gül *et al.* (2008) reported as 13.2% in Van district in Turkey. This study demonstrated that the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in dairy calves was higher than the studies performed by Aydın *et al.* (2001) and Gül *et al.* (2008) in Turkey while it was in agreement with Díaz-Lee *et al.* (2011) and lower than Soltane *et al.* (2007). It may be related to better overall management on the farms as a result of good hygiene practices. The diarrhea syndrome has a complex aetiopathogenesis, because of various infectious agents. Güneş *et al.* (2004) reported that *E. coli* O157 was detected in the 5.8% faeces of the diarrheic calves by ELISA. However, De La Fuente *et al.* (1998) determined that *E. coli* f5 was detected in the 11.9% faeces of the diarrheic calves by antigen ELISA. Ok *et al.* (2009) reported that *E. coli* K99 was detected not only as 13.4% in the diarrheic calves but also as 5.6% in the healthy calves by ELISA. The prevalence of *E. coli* K99 concomitant agent were 27.0% in calves with diarrhea. In this study the percentage of *E. coli* K99 in diarrheic calves was higher than the results reported by some researchers (Güneş *et al.*, 2004; Ok *et al.*, 2009). These differences in incidence rates among the studies may be attributed to different diagnostic methods used farm management practices exercised in different regions and related to aging of calf.

Conclusion: As a result, findings in this study show that rotavirus, coronavirus, cryptosporidiosis and *E. coli* play a role in the aetiology agents of diarrhea in the neonatal

calves. Identification of the possible causative agent in outbreaks of diarrhea is important because it allows targeted preventative measures such as vaccination and identification of possible risk factors or sources of infection. The multiple etiologies of diarrheas and the importance of microbiological diagnosis relating to the research of different enteropathogens of diarrheas in calves can help better understanding of their etiology, epidemiology and the development of a specific treatment and preventative measures for practitioners in east and southeast of Turkey. The rapid immunochromatographic assays test kit may be useful as a diagnostic tool in identification and characterization of *E. coli* isolated from calves. Also it is worth applying to field diagnosis for Rotavirus, Coronavirus, Cryptosporidiosis and *E. coli* K99.

REFERENCES

- Achá S, I Kühn, P Jonsson, G Mbazima, M Katouli and R Möllby, 2004. Studies on calf diarrhoea in Mozambique: Prevalence of bacterial pathogens. *Acta Vet Scand*, 45: 27-36.
- Alkan F, 1998. The role of rota- and corona viruses in calf diarrhea. *Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 45: 29-37.
- Aydın F, S Umur, G Gökçe, O Genç and MA Güler, 2001. The isolation and identification of bacteria and parasites from diarrhoeic calves in Kars district. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 7: 7-14.
- Bartels CJ, M Holzhauser, R Jorritsma, WA Swart and TJ Lam, 2010. Prevalence, prediction and risk factors of enteropathogens in normal and non-normal faeces of young Dutch dairy calves. *Prev Vet Med*, 93: 162-169.
- Bhat SA, PD Juyal and LD Singla, 2012. Prevalence of cryptosporidiosis in neonatal buffalo calves in Ludhiana district of Punjab, India. *Asian J Anim Vet Adv*, 7: 512-520.
- Busato A, T Lentze, D Hofer, A Burnens, B Hentrich and C Gaillard, 1998. A case control study of potential enteric pathogens for calves raised in cow-calf herds. *J Vet Med B*, 45: 519-528.
- Cho YI, WI Kim, S Liu, JM Kinyon and KJ Yoon, 2010. Development of a panel of multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assays for simultaneous detection of major agents causing calf diarrhea in feces. *J Vet Diagn Invest*, 22: 509-517.
- Cho YI, D Sun, V Cooper, G Dewell, K Schwartz and KJ Yoon, 2012. Evaluation of a commercial rapid test kit for detecting bovine enteric pathogens in feces. *J Vet Diagn Invest*, 24: 559-562.
- De Graaf DC, E Vanopdenbosch, LM Ortega-Mora, H Abbassi and JE Peeters, 1999. A review of the importance of cryptosporidiosis in farm animals. *Int J Parasitol*, 29: 1269-1287.
- De La Fuente R, A García, JA Ruiz-Santa-Quiteria, M Luzón, D Cid, S García, JA Orden and M Gómez-Bautista, 1998. Proportional morbidity rates of enteropathogens among diarrheic dairy calves in central Spain. *Prev Vet Med*, 36: 145-152.
- Díaz-Lee A, R Mercado, EO Onuoha, LS Ozaki, P Muñoz, V Muñoz, FJ Martínez and F Fredes, 2011. *Cryptosporidium parvum* in diarrheic calves detected by microscopy and identified by immunochromatographic and molecular methods. *Vet Parasitol*, 176: 139-144.

- Erdoğan HM, A Ünver, V Güneş and M Çitil, 2003. Frequency of rotavirus and coronavirus in neonatal calves in Kars district. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 9: 65-68.
- Foster DM and GW Smith, 2009. Pathophysiology of diarrhea in calves. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract*, 25: 13-36.
- García A, JA Ruiz-Santa-Quiteria, JA Orden, D Cid, R Sans, M Gómez-Bautista and R de la Fuente, 2000. Rotavirus and concurrent infections with other enteropathogens in neonatal diarrheic dairy calves in Spain. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis*, 23: 175-183.
- Gül A, M Çiçek and O Kılıç, 2008. Prevalence of *Eimeria* spp., *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp. in calves in the Van province. *T Parazitol Derg*, 32: 202-204.
- Gülyaz V, N Turan, S Özdemir and I Gülaçtı, 2010. The comparison of sensitivities between ELISA and virus isolation methods on detection of Rotaviruses from newborn calves with diarrhea. *Pendik Vet Mikrobiyol Derg*, 37: 11-17.
- Güneş V, A Ünver, M Çitil and HM Erdoğan, 2004. The prevalence of *Escherchia coli* O157 serotype and *Clostridium Perfringens* type A a-toxin in neonatal diarrhoeic calves in Kars district. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 10: 41-45.
- Izzo MM, PD Kirkland, VL Mohler, NR Perkins, AA Gunn and JK Housea, 2011. Prevalence of major enteric pathogens in Australian dairy calves with diarrhoea. *Aust Vet J*, 89: 167-173.
- Khan A and T Zaman, 2007. Effects of rehydration solution on hematological and biochemical parameters in induced buffalo neonatal calf diarrhea. *Italian J Anim Sci*, 6 (Suppl 2): 957-960.
- Klein D, A Kern, G Lapan, V Benetka, K Möstl, A Hassl and W Baumgartner, 2009. Evaluation of rapid assays for the detection of bovine coronavirus, rotavirus A and *Cryptosporidium parvum* in faecal samples of calves. *Vet J*, 182: 484-486.
- Kumar B, P Shekhar and N Kumar, 2010. A clinical study on neonatal calf diarrhea. *Intas Polivet*, 11: 233-235.
- Langoni H, AC Linhares, FA Avila, AV Da Silva and AO Elias, 2004. Contribution to the study of diarrhea etiology in neonate dairy calves in Sao Paulo state, Brazil. *Bras J Vet Res Anim Sci*, 41: 313-319.
- Luginbühl A, K Reitt, A Metzler, M Kollbrunner, L Corboz and P Deplazes, 2005. Field study of the prevalence and diagnosis of diarrhea-causing agents in the newborn calf in a Swiss veterinary practice area. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*, 147: 245-252.
- Millemann Y, 2009. Diagnosis of neonatal calf diarrhoea. *Revue Méd Vét*, 160: 404-409.
- Nussbaum DJ, JR Salord and DD Rimmele, 1998. Evaluation of quantitative latex agglutination for detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *E. coli* K99, and rotavirus in calf feces. *J Vet Diagn Invest*, 11: 314-318.
- Ok M, L Güler, K Turgut, U Ok, İ Şen, İK Gündüz, MF Birdane and H Güzelbekteş, 2009. The studies on the aetiology of diarrhoea in neonatal calves and determination of virulence gene markers of *Escherichia coli* strains by multiplex PCR. *Zoonoses Public Hlth*, 56: 94-101.
- Özkan C, N Altuğ, N Yüksek, A Kaya and Y Akgül, 2011. Assessment of electrocardiographic findings, serum nitric oxide, cardiac troponins and some enzymes in calves with hyperkalemia related to neonatal diarrhoea. *Revue Méd Vét*, 162: 171-176.
- Soltane R, K Guyot, E Dei-Cas and A Ayadi, 2007. *Cryptosporidium parvum* (Eucoccidiorida: Cryptosporiidae) in calves: results of a longitudinal study in a dairy farm in Sfax, Tunisia. *Parasite*, 14: 309-312.
- Torres-Medina A, DH Schlafer and CA Mebus, 1985. Rotaviral and coronavirus diarrhoea. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract*, 1: 471-493.
- Uhde FL, T Kaufmann, H Sager, S Albini, R Zanoni, E Schelling and M Meylan, 2008. Prevalence of four enteropathogens in the faeces of young diarrhoeic dairy calves in Switzerland. *Vet Rec*, 163: 362-366.
- Zhu W, J Dong, T Haga, Y Goto and M Sueyoshi, 2011. Rapid and sensitive detection of bovine coronavirus and group A bovine rotavirus from fecal samples by using one-step duplex RT-PCR assay. *J Vet Med Sci*, 73: 531-534.