



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Amelioration of Aflatoxicosis through a Bio-Technologically Derived Aflatoxin Degrading Commercial Product in Broilers

C Basavanta Kumar*, BS Venkatarami Reddy¹, R Gideon Gloridoss¹, TM Prabhu¹, BN Suresh² and S Naveen Kumar¹

Department of Animal Nutrition, Veterinary College, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bangalore, India-560024; ¹Veterinary College, Hebbal, Bangalore, India-560024; ²Department of Instructional Livestock Farm Complex, Veterinary College, Hassan, Karnataka, India-573202

*Corresponding author: basavantac216@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY (13-568)

Received: December 05, 2013

Revised: August 01, 2014

Accepted: January 18, 2015

Key words:

Aflatoxin

Biotechnologically derived product

Broilers

HSCAS

ABSTRACT

Aflatoxins (AF) are secondary fungal metabolites which severely depress performance in poultry. A trial was undertaken on broiler chicken to study the effects of AF (500 µg/kg) and to assess a biotechnologically derived product (BTP) comprised of culture extracts of toxin degrading microbes, antifungal agents, MOS and bio-antioxidants (Destrox^R) over hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate (HSCAS) to combat aflatoxicosis. The experimental design consisted of T₁-basal diet; T₂-AF (500 µg/kg); T₃-AF (500 µg/kg) + HSCAS (1 kg/ton); T₄ and T₅-AF (500 µg/kg) with BTP 200 and 400 g/ton, respectively. The 42 day study revealed that, addition of neither HSCAS nor BTP did significantly improve body weight gain and feed intake over T₂. However, significantly (P<0.05) improved feed conversion ratio was observed in T₄ (2.017) against T₂ (2.150). All serum biochemical parameters were significantly altered in T₂ in comparison to T₁. A significant improvement was observed in total protein, albumin and ALT in T₃ and T₄ groups as compared to T₂. An improvement in dressing percentage (69.63% v/s 66.76%) and relative weight of liver, heart and spleen were observed in T₄ in comparison to T₂, while in T₃ the dressing percentage and relative weight of liver and spleen were increased. Higher mortality was observed in T₂ and it was significantly (P<0.05) reduced in T₃ and T₄. In conclusion, AF (500 µg/kg) found to significantly depress bird performance and addition of BTP @ 200 g/ton was found to moderately alleviate toxicity, while HSCAS and higher BTP level did not show any ameliorative effects.

©2015 PVJ. All rights reserved

To Cite This Article: Kumar CB, BSV Reddy, RG Gloridoss, TM Prabhu, BN Suresh and SN Kumar, 2015. Amelioration of aflatoxicosis through a bio-technologically derived aflatoxin degrading commercial product in broilers. Pak Vet J, 35(2): 217-221.

INTRODUCTION

Aflatoxins (AF) are the most toxic and commonly encountered mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus*. Aflatoxins are known to cause severe growth depression, immunosuppression and mortality at higher levels in poultry (El Miniawy *et al.*, 2014; Bilal *et al.*, 2014). Aflatoxins are also concerned from consumer health point of view since they are responsible for toxin residues in poultry meat (Khan *et al.*, 2013; Ishfaq *et al.*, 2014; Nazir *et al.*, 2014), which may pose a carcinogenic threat to humans. Hence, prevention, decontamination and detoxification of AF are worldwide issues of great importance. Among various detoxification methods, addition of inert sorbents like hydrated sodium calcium

aluminosilicate (HSCAS), zeolites, bentonites and activated carbons is one of the approaches to reduce aflatoxicosis in animals. These compounds act to reduce the bioavailability of mycotoxins and prevent absorption from gut. However, some of them decrease the bioavailability of amino acids and/or minerals (Dawson, 1999). Nowadays research activities are increasingly focused upon the use of biological products (esterified-glucosylmannan, MOS) to alleviate aflatoxicosis and to overcome the inherent drawbacks of inorganic sorbents (Raju and Devegowda, 2002).

Among the biological methods, microbial degradation of AF is a new approach and appears more appropriate choice, since it is more specific, efficient and eco-friendly. Among microbes, *Flavobacterium*

aurantiacum NRRL B-184 (Smiley and Draughon, 2000), *Mycobacterium fluoranthenivorans* sp. Nov. DSM44556T (Teniola *et al.*, 2005), *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (Guan *et al.*, 2008) and *Mycobacterium segmentis* (Laplikar *et al.*, 2012) are found to biodegrade AF at *in vitro* conditions. A biotechnologically derived product (BTP) commercially available as Destrox^R (M/s Animal Biotech Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India) and containing culture extracts of toxin degrading microbes, antifungal agents, mannanoligo-saccharides (MOS), and bio-antioxidants, was tested *in vitro* and found to have a considerable toxin degrading/ binding ability (50.00 to 84.38%) and further *in vivo* broiler study with 250 µg/kg AF revealed numerical improvement in broiler performance with 200 g/ton of BTP (Kumar *et al.*, 2015). In continuation, this study was undertaken to study the effects of moderately high levels (500 µg/kg) AF and to comparatively evaluate BTP and HSCAS to overcome the toxicity in broiler chicken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Production and quantification of toxin: Aflatoxin was produced using *Aspergillus parasiticus* var *globosus* MTCC-411 as per the method of Shotwell *et al.* (1966). The fermented cultures were harvested (6th day), autoclaved, oven dried, pulverized and AF was extracted (Romer, 1975) and quantified by TLC (Anonymous, 1995). The culture material had a total aflatoxin content of 277.31 mg/kg (B₁ 63.12; B₂ 4.73; G₁ 31.56 and G₂ 0.59 per cent), which was used to derive experimental diets to contain total AF of 500 µg/kg of feed.

Mycotoxin binding agents: The BTP used was a commercial product (Destrox^R) from M/s Animal Biotech Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India and contained culture extracts of toxin degrading microbes, antifungal agents, MOS and bio-antioxidants. HSCAS was procured from a commercial supplier from Hyderabad, India.

Experimental design: One hundred fifty day-old straight run commercial broiler chicks were wing banded and allotted randomly to fifteen homogenous groups of ten chicks each. Each treatment was randomly assigned to three groups and all the chicks were reared on battery cages under uniform management practices throughout the experiment and vaccinated for New Castle Disease and Infectious Bursal Disease. A practical type broiler diet (Table 1) was prepared to serve as control (T₁). Four test diets were prepared by incorporating AF and toxin binders to the control diet *viz.*, T₂-AF (500 µg/kg; toxin control), T₃-AF (500 µg/kg) + HSCAS 1 kg/ton, T₄-AF (500 µg/kg) + BTP 200 g/ton and T₅-AF (500 µg/kg) + BTP 400 g/ton. Each of such diets prepared for starter (0-21 days) and finisher phase (22-42 days) were offered in mash form.

Parameters studied: The feed intake of chicks in each replicate was recorded daily and the BW of individual bird was recorded at weekly interval. The mortality of the bird was recorded as and when occurred. Mortality corrected feed conversion ratio was calculated as unit feed intake to the unit body weight gain.

At the end of the trial (42nd day), two birds from each replicate were randomly selected, starved for 12 hours with the provision of water *ad lib* and sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The dressing percentage was calculated as the per cent of carcass weight obtained after removing the feathers, neck, legs and internal viscera to its live body weight. The weight of the giblet organs *viz.*, heart without pericardium, liver without gall bladder, gizzard without food contents and spleen were recorded and expressed as the percentage of pre-slaughter BW (g/100 g).

Blood was collected from randomly selected birds (2 birds per replicate) on 42nd day of age. Serum was collected after 8 to 10 hours as per the standard procedures and was stored at -20^o C for subsequent analysis. The individual serum samples were analyzed for total proteins, albumin, total cholesterol, ALT and AST using an automatic analyzer. The data generated were analyzed statistically using GLM procedure of SAS and the Tukeys studentized range test was used to detect differences (P<0.05) among different treatment means.

RESULTS

The body weight gains (BWG; Table 2) of birds under different treatments were significantly (P<0.05) different during both the phases. At pre-starter phase, there was a significant (P<0.05) reduction in BWG in toxin control (T₂) by 27.99 and 19.53% at pre-starter and finisher phases, respectively. Addition of either HSCAS or BTP failed to significantly (P<0.05) improve BWG. However, the performance of T₄ although failed to significantly separate from T₂, was numerically higher (7.60 & 3.32%) during both the phases. However, during finisher phase there was a numerical reduction in BWG with higher level of BTP. The phase wise and cumulative feed intake under different treatments (Table 2) found to be significantly (P<0.05) affected by the treatments. In both phases the feed intake was significantly (P<0.05) reduced by 500 µg/kg of AF. However, in the treatments with added HSCAS or BTP, there was no significant improvement in the feed intake. In the feed conversion ratio (FCR), during starter phase as one could expect, the FCR was significantly (P<0.05) better in T₁ over rest of the treatments. In contrast, during the finisher phase a significantly (P<0.05) better FCR was observed in T₄ and T₁.

In serum biochemical profiles (Table 3), the total protein (TP) significantly (P<0.05) decreased by 54.3% in T₂ as compared to control and with addition of BTP @ 400 g/ton a significant (P<0.05) improvement equivalent to control was observed. Addition of BTP @ 200 g/ton significantly (P<0.05) improved TP over T₂ by 48.68% and addition of HSCAS numerically improved by 23.75% but both treatments still incomparable to control. The serum albumin was significantly (P<0.05) reduced in T₂ in comparison to T₁ and in the treatments T₃ and T₅ significant (P<0.05) improvement over T₂ was evident. The ALT and AST, were significantly (P<0.05) increased in T₂ and further a significant (P<0.05) reduction of ALT and a numerical reduction of AST was evident in the T₄ when compared to T₂, however was not comparable to control. On contrary, AST and ALT levels in T₃ and T₅

Table 1: Ingredient and chemical composition of the control diet

Ingredient (Kg/ton)	Starter diet	Finisher diet
Maize	525.00	600.00
Rice polish	58.80	32.80
Soya extractions	269.00	240.00
Ground nut extractions	80.00	60.00
Sun flower extractions	30.00	30.00
Dicalcium phosphate	20.50	20.50
Calcite powder	12.25	12.25
Salt	3.50	3.50
Trace mineral premix ¹	0.95	0.95
Total	1000.00	1000.00
Additives (Kg/ton)		
Breevit ²	0.75	0.75
Digistim ³	0.75	0.75
DL-Methionine	1.65	1.65
Lysine	0.80	0.80
Aaviax ⁴	0.50	0.50
Lipocare ⁵	1.00	1.00
Doxymix ⁶	0.03	0.03
Tyloxim ⁷	0.40	0.40
Analyzed composition of the basal diet (%)		
Dry matter	90.79	90.50
Crude protein	22.01	20.03
Ether extract	3.32	2.98
Crude fiber	6.27	5.84
Total ash	8.86	7.70
Nitrogen free extractives	59.54	63.45

¹Fe-90000 ppm, I-2000 ppm, Cu-15000 ppm, Mn-90000 ppm, Zn-80000 ppm, Se-300 ppm; ²Contained per Kg: Vit A-20 mIU, D₃-4.0, E-60.0 g, C-100 g, B₁-4.0 g, B₂-20.0 g, B₆-6.0 g, B₁₂-0.03 g, Niacin-60.0 g, Calcium-D-Pantothenate 30.0 g, Biotin-0.20 g, Folic Acid- 4.0 g and Vit-K-8.0 g; ³Herbal Liver stimulant; ⁴Semduramicin 5%; ⁵Lecithin derived choline along with specific enzymes; ⁶Doxycycline 99%; ⁷Tyloxin phosphate 10%.

were non-significant from T₂. The serum cholesterol levels were significantly (P<0.05) reduced in T₂ and nevertheless addition of neither HSCAS nor BTP was effective in correcting the hypocholestermia.

In dressing percentage (Table 4), with the addition of AF in T₂, a significant (P<0.05) reduction in was evident. Addition of either HSCAS or BTP @ 200 g/ton significantly (P<0.05) improved dressing percentage in comparison to T₂ however, the improvement was not comparable to the best observed in T₁. The relative weight of liver, kidney, heart and spleen were significantly (P<0.05) different whereas, gizzard weight was similar among the treatments. The relative liver weight significantly (P<0.05) increased in T₂ by 96.41%, and in T₃ and T₄ there was a significant (P<0.05) improvement in comparison to T₂ respectively, by 15.38 and 11.83%, yet the improvement was not equivalent to control. A similar trend was observed in relative weight of heart among the treatments. Whereas, in relative weight of kidney, the trend was slightly different in that, among toxin fed groups it was only T₃ which significantly (P<0.05) equated to control in spite of failing to separate from rest of the AF fed treatments. The relative size of the spleen was significantly (P<0.05) reduced with the addition of AF (T₂) by 33.33% as an indication of the immune-suppressive effect of AF. Addition of either HSCAS or BTP significantly (P<0.05) improved the relative weight of spleen by 42.31, 64.62 and 65.38% in T₃, T₄ and T₅, respectively over the least observed in T₂.

The mortality percentage was significantly (P<0.05) increased in T₂ (33.3%) in comparison to control (6.7%), and was significantly (P<0.05) reduced in treatments T₃ (20.0%) and T₄ (20.0%). Although, the mortality in T₅ (26.7%) was comparable to T₃ and T₄, yet failed to separate from the T₂.

DISCUSSION

The significant (P<0.05) growth depressing effect of 500 µg/kg of AF observed in this study is supported by studies of Girish and Devegowda (2006), Manegar *et al.* (2010) and Muhammed *et al.* (2012). The growth depression observed is obvious and is due to reduced protein synthesis (Yang *et al.*, 2012), reduced pancreatic enzyme secretion resulting in reduced nutrient assimilation (Marchioro *et al.*, 2013) and as a consequence of reduced feed intake as observed in this study. Very similar to our previous study (Kumar *et al.*, 2015), the relative ineffectiveness of HSCAS could be due to insufficient dosage as compared to other studies, where more than 5.0 kg was used (Girish and Devegowda, 2006; Zhao *et al.*, 2012) and/or because of undesirable adsorptive property of HSCAS to minerals and amino acids (Dawson, 1999) which might have aggravated the existing toxicity by impairing the nutrient availability. The improved performance with BTP @ 200 g/ton of feed is supportive to our previous findings (Kumar *et al.*, 2015) with low levels of AF (250 µg/kg). The trend observed in reduction of BWG with increased BTP dosage is similar to our previous findings with 250 µg/kg AF (Kumar *et al.*, 2015). The reduction in feed intake due to AF is suggestive of appetite reduction due to impaired nutrient metabolism caused due to damaged liver (Yang *et al.*, 2012) and is well documented in other studies (Girish and Devegowda, 2006; and Muhammad *et al.*, 2012). The 500 µg/kg of AF, as observed in this study is also well known to impair the FCR in other previous studies as well (Manegar *et al.*, 2010; Abd El-Ghany and Hatem, 2013). The improved FCR with low levels of BTP appears to be due to low feed intake and numerically better BWG observed in this treatment (T₄). In contrary, the poorest FCR observed in T₅ is quite obvious in view of its effect on BWG and feed intake. The negative impact of 500 µg/kg AF on FCR could be due to sparing much of the nutrients towards detoxification process which otherwise supposed to be utilized for BWG and also due to damaged liver which impairs nutrient metabolism.

The findings of this study that 500 µg/kg of AF significantly (P<0.05) decreases serum proteins is in accordance with the earlier reports of Manegar *et al.* (2010) and Muhammed *et al.* (2012) and can be attributable to impaired amino acid transport, mRNA transcription and hence the inhibited protein synthesis and due to impaired hepatic tissue and anti oxidant system leading to increased liver apoptosis which obviously ends up with reduced liver protein synthesis (Yang *et al.*, 2012). The increase in serum levels of ALT and AST is justifiable due to the damage of hepatocytes caused by the AF which enhance the leakage of these enzymes from the hepatocytes to the blood stream. Muhammed *et al.* (2012) reported a significant increase in ALT and AST levels in AF fed birds very similar to findings of the present study. In contrast, Manegar *et al.* (2010) and Zhao *et al.* (2010) reported significant (P<0.05) reduction in AST and non-significant alteration in the ALT of birds fed 200-600 µg/kg and 1 mg/kg AF, respectively. Neither the HSCAS nor the BTP could ameliorate the altered AST and ALT, which is quite obvious since the AST and ALT are known to alter even with a very low level of AF (Kumar *et al.*,

Table 2: Phase wise and cumulative growth performance of broilers under different treatments

Tr. No.	Body weight gain (g/bird)*		Feed intake (g/bird)*		Feed efficiency (unit feed/unit gain)*	
	Starter	Finisher	Starter	Finisher	Starter	Finisher
T ₁	690.4 ^a	1311 ^a	1262 ^a	2821 ^a	1.825 ^a	2.171 ^a
T ₂	497.1 ^b	1055 ^b	1008 ^b	2356 ^b	1.989 ^b	2.255 ^b
T ₃	500.3 ^b	949.2 ^b	969.0 ^b	2213 ^b	1.942 ^b	2.330 ^b
T ₄	534.9 ^b	1090 ^b	1013 ^b	2302 ^b	1.905 ^b	2.166 ^a
T ₅	495.6 ^b	974.6 ^b	1012 ^b	2276 ^b	2.050 ^b	2.337 ^b
SEM	16.62	44.6	36.48	113.2	0.046	0.030

T₁-Basal diet; T₂-AF (500 µg/kg); T₃-AF (500 µg/kg) + HSCAS (1 kg/ton); T₄ and T₅-AF (500 µg/kg) with BTP 200 and 400 g/ton, respectively. *Within a column, means bearing different superscript significantly (P<0.05) differ.

Table 3: Serum biochemical parameters of birds under different treatments at the end of 42-day trial

Treatment	Total Protein* (g/100ml)	Albumin* (g/100ml)	ALT* (IU/L)	AST* (IU/L)	Cholesterol* (mg/dl)
T ₁	4.615 ^a	1.915 ^a	16.47 ^c	147.45 ^b	135.1 ^b
T ₂	2.105 ^c	1.150 ^c	38.55 ^a	222.26 ^a	118.3 ^a
T ₃	2.605 ^{bc}	1.665 ^b	44.45 ^a	212.54 ^a	117.4 ^a
T ₄	3.130 ^b	1.135 ^c	33.71 ^b	238.73 ^a	117.4 ^a
T ₅	5.080 ^a	1.605 ^b	44.55 ^a	230.72 ^a	119.3 ^a
SEM	0.240	0.193	2.10	5.77	8.58

T₁-Basal diet; T₂-AF (500 µg/kg); T₃-AF (500 µg/kg) + HSCAS (1 kg/ton); T₄ and T₅-AF (500 µg/kg) with BTP 200 and 400 g/ton, respectively. *Within a column, means bearing different superscript significantly (P<0.05) differ.

Table 4: Dressing percentage and relative weight (g/100 g live weight) of organs under different treatments

Treatment	Dressing percentage*	Liver*	Kidney*	Heart*	Gizzard ^{NS}	Spleen*
T ₁	73.47 ^a	2.039 ^c	0.738 ^b	0.583 ^b	2.732	0.195 ^a
T ₂	66.76 ^c	4.005 ^a	1.154 ^a	0.746 ^a	2.787	0.130 ^b
T ₃	69.84 ^b	3.381 ^b	0.958 ^{ab}	0.649 ^{ab}	3.111	0.185 ^b
T ₄	69.63 ^b	3.531 ^b	1.054 ^a	0.546 ^b	2.794	0.214 ^b
T ₅	67.22 ^c	4.152 ^a	1.216 ^a	0.566 ^b	2.835	0.215 ^b
SEM	0.74	0.124	0.088	0.045	0.078	0.019

T₁-Basal diet; T₂-AF (500 µg/kg); T₃-AF (500 µg/kg) + HSCAS (1 kg/ton); T₄ and T₅-AF (500 µg/kg) with BTP 200 and 400 g/ton, respectively. *Within a column, means bearing different superscript significantly (P<0.05) differ; NS- non-significant (P≥0.05).

2015), and probably a low amount of toxin may still prevail in the gut after the action of HSCAS/BTP, resulting in alteration in serum enzyme profile. The reduction in serum cholesterol observed herein is similar to other studies (Al-Jubory *et al.*, 2001; Manegar *et al.*, 2010) where a reduction in cholesterol due to AF was reported as a result of limited biosynthesis due to damaged liver. The non-significant effect of HSCAS on the cholesterol is quite obvious since the used dosage was low as described previously.

The reduction in dressing percentage due to AF is perceptibly associated to the effect of aflatoxin on impairment of protein synthesis (Yang *et al.*, 2012) leading to decreased muscle mass production. The increased relative weight of liver observed is due to increased lipid deposits due to impaired fat metabolism and is well documented in other studies (Girish and Devegowda, 2006; Manegar *et al.*, 2010; Abd El-Ghany and Hatem, 2013). Addition of HSCAS or BTP @ 200 g/ton resulted in significant (P<0.05) reduction in liver weight in comparison to T₂ yet could not equate to be of no use similar to our findings in previous study (Kumar *et al.*, 2015). As regards relative weight of heart, a trend similar to relative weight of liver was observed. The relative weight of kidney was also significantly (P<0.05) increased due to nephrotoxic effect of AF. The significantly (P<0.05) reduced spleen relative weight with AF is indicative of its immunosuppressive role (Girish and Devegowda, 2006; Manegar *et al.*, 2010; Indresh *et al.*, 2013). Addition of either HSCAS or BTP significantly (P<0.05) increased the relative spleen size which shows immunomodulatory role of both these agents. A similar trend with 1 mg/kg AF on the relative weights of kidney,

spleen and gizzard was reported by Girish and Devegowda (2006) and addition of HSCAS and modified glucomannan was found to moderately improve these parameters.

The high mortality observed is attributed to combined effect of hepatotoxicity and necrosis leading to liver failure (Yang *et al.*, 2012) and immunosuppression resulting in increased susceptibility to diseases (Yunus *et al.*, 2009). The magnitude of mortality observed in this study is very close to the observation of Manegar *et al.* (2010) and Bhaskar *et al.* (2003) who reported 21.66 and 23.33% mortality, respectively with 600 and 200 µg/kg AF. The mortality was moderately reduced with addition of either HSCAS or BTP which is a clear reflection of moderate ameliorating effect of both these agents on serum protein profile, relative weights of liver, heart, kidney and spleen ensuing in improved general health and immune status of birds. This shows a positive role of toxin binders HSCAS and BTP in prevention of mortality. The BTP at higher levels did not ameliorate the toxic effects of AF, for which the reason is unknown at this moment and needs further research, with graded levels of BTP (50 to 500 g/ton) with narrow increments of 50 grams.

Conclusion: The study revealed significant (P<0.05) effect of 500 µg/kg AF on various growth performance parameters in broiler chicken. Addition of neither HSCAS nor BTP did significantly improve body weight gain and feed intake. In contrast, addition of BTP @ 200 g/ton significantly (P<0.05) improved the FCR on par with that of control. Considering all the parameters, it can be concluded that BTP @ 200 g/ton is moderately effective

in ameliorating effects of 500 µg/kg of AF and in contrast HSCAS @ 1 Kg/ton and higher level of BTP could not ameliorate the toxic effects of aflatoxin, which needs further investigations.

Author's contribution: BKC carried out the experiment, BSVR designed the experiment and guided during the experiment. RGG, TMP, BNS and SNK helped in laboratory work, analysis of data and drafting and revision of manuscript. All authors interpreted the data, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual contents and approved the final version.

Acknowledgement: The authors duly acknowledge the financial support given by M/S Animal Biotech Pvt Ltd., Bangalore, India and technical guidance given by late Krishna Swamy.

REFERENCES

- Al-Jubory KMT, AM Shareef and IK Ibrahim, 2001. Efficiency of sodium bentonite in reducing aflatoxicosis in growing chicks: effects on performance and blood chemistry. *Iraqi J Vet Sci*, 14: 223-230.
- Abd El-Ghany WA and ME Hatem, 2013. Evaluation of the efficacy of feed additives to counteract the toxic effects of aflatoxicosis in broiler chickens. *Res J Poult Sci*, 6: 1-12.
- Anonymous, 1995. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 16th Ed. AOAC International, Rockville, MD 20850-3250, USA.
- Bhaskar D, RK Sharma, SS Chauhan, S Harpal, and K Ashok, 2003. Effect of herbal detoxicants and liver stimulant on the performance of broiler chickens. *Indian J Poultry Sci*, 38: 110-114.
- Bilal T, DH Aksakal, S Sünnetci, O Keser and H Eseceli, 2014. Detection of aflatoxin, zearalenone and deoxynivalenol in some feed and feedstuffs in Turkey. *Pak Vet J*, 34: 459-463.
- Dawson AK, 1999. Mycotoxin-binding agents in nutritional strategies for improving animal performance and health. In: *Biotechnology in the feed industry. Proceedings of the Alltech's 1999 Asia Pacific Lecture Tour: Under the Microscope, Focal Points for the New Millennium* (Lyons TP and KA Jacques, eds) Alltech (UK), Stamford, UK, pp: 65-74.
- El Miniawy HMF, KA Ahmed, AA El-Sanousi and MMS Khattab, 2014. Effect of aflatoxin induced immunosuppression on pathogenesis of H9N2 avian influenza virus. *Pak Vet J*, 34: 234-238.
- Girish CK and G Devegowda, 2006. Efficacy of Glucomannan containing Yeast product (Mycosorb[®]) and hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate in preventing the individual and combined toxicity of Aflatoxin and T-2 Toxin in commercial broilers. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci*, 19: 877-883.
- Guan S, J Cheng, T Zhou, Li Junxia, Ma Qiugang and Niu Tianguai, 2008. Aflatoxin B₁ degradation by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and other microbes selected using coumarin medium. *Int J Mol Sci*, 9: 1489-1503.
- Indresh HC, G Devegowda, S Wilfred Ruben and MC Shivakumar, 2013. Effects of high grade bentonite on performance, organ weights and serum biochemistry during aflatoxicosis in broilers. *Vet World*, 6: 313-317.
- Ishfaq M, N Mahmood, IA Nasir and M Saleem, 2014. Molecular and biochemical screening of local *Aspergillus niger* strains efficient in catalase and laccase enzyme production. *Int J Agric Biol*, 16: 177-182.
- Khan MZ, MR Hameed, T Hussain, A Khan, I Javed, I Ahmad, A Hussain, MK Saleemi and NU Islam, 2013. Aflatoxin residues in tissues of healthy and sick broiler birds at market age in Pakistan: A one year study. *Pak Vet J*, 33: 423-427.
- Kumar CB, BSV Reddy, RG Gloridoss, TM Prabhu, DS Santosh Wodeyar and BN Suresh, 2015. Efficacy of biotechnologically derived product in counteracting the ill effects of aflatoxins in broiler chicken. *Indian J Anim Res*, (In Press).
- Laplilar GV, CT Matthew, AC Warden, C Scot, RJ Russell and JG Qakeshott, 2012. F₄₂₀ H₂-Dependent degradation of aflatoxin and furanocoumarins is widespread throughout the *Actinomycetales*. *Plos one*, 7: e30114.
- Manegar GA, BE Shambulingappa and KJ Ananda, 2010. Studies on tolerance limit of aflatoxin in commercial broilers. *Libyan Agric Res Cen J Intl*, 1: 177-183.
- Marchioro A, AO Mallmann, A Diel, P Dilkin, RH Rauber, FJH Blazquez, MGA Oliveira and CA Mallmann, 2013. Effects of aflatoxins on performance and exocrine pancreas of broiler chickens. *Avian Dis*, 57: 280-284.
- Muhammad D, N Chand, S Khan, A Sultan, M Mushtaq and Rafiullah, 2012. Hepatoprotective role of milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*) in meat type chicken fed aflatoxin B₁ contaminated feed. *Pak Vet J*, 32: 443-446.
- Nazir KHMNH, J Hassan, P Durairaj and H Yun, 2014. Isolation and identification of *Aspergillus flavus* from poultry feed samples using combined traditional-molecular approach and expression of CYP64A1 at mRNA level. *Pak J Agric Sci*, 51: 287-291.
- Raju MVLN and G Devegowda, 2002. Esterified-Glucomannan in broiler chicken diets contaminated with Aflatoxin, Ochratoxin and T₂ toxin: Evaluation of its binding ability (*in vitro*) and efficacy as immunomodulator. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci*, 15: 1051-1056.
- Romer TR, 1975. Screening method for the detection of aflatoxins in mixed feeds and other agricultural commodities with subsequent confirmation and quantitative measurement of aflatoxins in positive samples. *J Assoc Off Anal Chem*, 58: 500-506.
- Shotwell OL, CV Hesseltine, RD Stubblefield and WG Sorenson, 1966. Production of aflatoxin on rice. *Appl Microbiol*, 14: 425-428.
- Smiley RD and FA Draughon, 2000. Preliminary evidence that degradation of aflatoxin B₁ by *Flavobacterium aurantiacum* is enzymatic. *J Food Prot*, 63: 415-418.
- Teniola OD, PA Addo, IM Brost, P Färber, KD Jany, PS Steyn, and WH Halzapfel, 2005. Degradation of aflatoxin B₁ by cell free extracts of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Mycobacterium fluoranthenorans* sp. nov DSM44556T. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 105: 111-117.
- Yang J, F Bai, K Zhang, S Bai, X Peng, X Ding, Y Li, J Zhang and L Zhao, 2012. Effect of feeding corn naturally contaminated with aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ on hepatic functions of broilers. *Poult Sci*, 91: 2792-2801.
- Yunus AW, MK Nasir, T Aziz and J Bohm, 2009. Prevalence of poultry diseases in district Chakwal and their interaction with mycotoxicosis: 2. Effects of season and feed. *J Anim Plant Sci*, 19: 1-5.
- Zhao J, RB Shirley, JD Dibner, F Uraizee, M Officer, M Kitchell, M Vazquez-Anon and CD Knight, 2010. Comparison of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate and yeast cell wall on counteracting aflatoxicosis in broiler chicks. *Poultry Sci*, 89: 2147-2156.